arXiv:alg-geom/9710026v1 23 Oct 1997

Hyperkähler structures on total spaces of holomorphic cotangent bundles

D. Kaledin

Independent University of Moscow

Introduction

A hyperkähler manifold is by definition a Riemannian manifold M equipped with two anti-commuting almost complex structures I, J parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Hyperkähler manifolds were introduced by Calabi in [C]. Since then they have become the topic of much research. We refer the reader to [B] and to [HKLR] for excellent overviews of the subject.

Let M be a hyperkähler manifold. The almost complex structures I and J generate an action of the quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H}$ in the tangent bundle $\Theta(M)$ to the manifold M. This action is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Every quaternion $h \in \mathbb{H}$ with $h^2 = -1$, in particular, the product $K = IJ \in \mathbb{H}$, defines by means of the $\mathbb{H}$-action an almost complex structure M_h on M. This almost complex structure is also parallel, hence integrable and Kähler. Thus every hyperkähler manifold M is canonically Kähler, and in many different ways. For the sake of convenience, we will consider M as a Kähler manifold by means of the complex structure M_I, unless indicated otherwise.

One of the basic facts about hyperkähler manifolds is that the Kähler manifold M_I underlying a hyperkähler manifold M is canonically holomorphically symplectic. To see this, let ω_J, ω_K be the Kähler forms for the complex structures M_J, M_K on the manifold M, and consider the 2-form $\Omega = \omega_J + \sqrt{-1}\omega_K$ on M. It is easy to check that the form Ω is of Hodge type $(2,0)$ for the complex structure M_I on M. Since it is obviously non-degenerate and closed, it is holomorphic, and the Kähler manifold M_I equipped with the form Ω is a holomorphically symplectic manifold.

It is natural to ask whether every holomorphically symplectic manifold $\langle M, \Omega\rangle$ underlies a hyperkähler structure on M, and if so, then how many such hyperkähler structures are there. Note that if such a hyperkähler structure exists, it is completely defined by the Kähler metric h on M. Indeed, the Kähler forms ω_J and ω_K are by definition the real and imaginary parts of the form Ω, and the forms ω_J and ω_K together with the metric define the complex structures J and K on M and, consequently, the whole $\mathbb{H}$-action in the tangent bundle $\Theta(M)$. For the sake of simplicity, we will call a metric h on a holomorphically symplectic manifold $\langle M, \Omega \rangle$ hyperkähler if the Riemannian manifold $\langle M, h \rangle$ with the quaternionic action associated to the pair $\langle \Omega, h \rangle$ is a hyperkähler manifold.

It is known (see, e.g., [Beau]) that if the holomorphically symplectic manifold M is compact, for example, if M is a $K3$-surface, then every Kähler class in $H^{1,1}(M)$ contains a unique hyperkähler metric. This is, in fact, a consequence of the famous Calabi-Yau Theorem, which provides the canonical Ricci-flat metric on M with the given cohomology class. This Ricci-flat metric turns out to be hyperkähler. Thus in the compact case holomorphically symplectic and hyperkähler manifolds are essentially the same.

The situation is completely different in the general case. For example, all holomorphically symplectic structures on the formal neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ in the $2n$-dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ are isomorphic by the appropriate version of the Darboux Theorem. On the other hand, hyperkähler structures on this formal neighborhood form an infinite-dimensional family (see, e.g., [HKLR], where there is a construction of a smaller, but still infinite-dimensional family of hyperkähler metrics defined on the whole $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$). Thus, to obtain meaningful results, it seems necessary to restrict our attention to holomorphically symplectic manifolds belonging to some special class.

The simplest class of non-compact holomorphically symplectic manifolds is formed by total spaces T^*M to the cotangent bundle to complex manifolds M. In fact, the first examples of hyperkähler manifolds given by Calabi in [C] were of this type, with M being a Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature (for example, a complex projective space). It has been conjectured for some time that every total space T^*M of the cotangent bundle to a Kähler manifold admits a hyperkähler structure. The goal of this paper is to prove that this is indeed the case, if one agrees to consider only an open neighborhood $U \subset T^*M$ of the zero section $M \subset T^*M$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1 *Let M be a complex manifold equipped with a Kähler metric. The metric on M extends to a hyperkähler metric h defined in the formal neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset T^*M$ in the total space T^*M to the holomorphic cotangent bundle to M. The extended metric h is invariant under the action of the group $U(1)$ on T^*M given by dilatations along the fibers of the canonical projection $\rho : T^*M \to M$. Moreover, every other $U(1)$-invariant hyperkähler metric on the holomorphically symplectic manifold T^*M becomes equal to h after a holomorphic symplectic $U(1)$-equivariant automorphism of T^*M over M. Finally, if the Kähler metric on M is real-analytic, then the formal hyperkähler metric h converges to a real-analytic metric in an open neighborhood $U \subset T^*M$ of the zero section $M \subset T^*M$.*

Many of the examples of hyperkähler metrics obtained by Theorem 1 are already known. (See, e.g., [Kr1], [Kr2], [N], [H2], [BG], [DS].) In these examples M is usually a generalized flag manifold or a homogeneous space of some kind. On the other hand, very little is known for manifolds of general type. In particular, it seems that even for curves of genus $g \geq 2$ Theorem 1 is new.

We would like to stress the importance of the $U(1)$-invariance condition on the metric in the formulation of Theorem 1. This condition for a total space

T^*M of a cotangent bundle is equivalent to a more general compatibility condition between a $U(1)$-action and a hyperkähler structure on a smooth manifold introduced by Hitchin (see, e.g., [H2]). Thus Theorem 1 can be also regarded as answering a question of Hitchin's in [H2], namely, whether every Kähler manifold can be embedded as the sub-manifold of $U(1)$-fixed points in a $U(1)$-equivariant hyperkähler manifold. On the other hand, it is this $U(1)$-invariance that guarantees the uniqueness of the metric h claimed in Theorem 1.

We also prove a version of Theorem 1 "without the metrics". The Kähler metric on M in this theorem is replaced with a holomorphic connection ∇ on the cotangent bundle to M without torsion and $(2,0)$-curvature. We call such connections *Kählerian.* The total space of the cotangent bundle T^*M is replaced with the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M. (Note that *a priori* there is no complex structure on $\overline{T}M$, but the $U(1)$-action by dilatations on this space is well-defined.) The analog of the notion of a hyperkähler manifold "without the metric" is the notion if a hypercomplex manifold (see, e.g., [B]). We define a version of Hitchin's condition on the $U(1)$-action for hypercomplex manifolds and prove the following.

Theorem 2 *Let M be a complex manifold, and let $\overline{T}M$ be the total space of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M equipped with an action of the group $U(1)$ by dilatation along the fibers of the projection $\overline{T}M \to M$. There exists a natural bijection between the set of all Kählerian connections on the cotangent bundle to M and the set of all isomorphism classes of $U(1)$-equivariant hypercomplex structures on the formal neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ in $\overline{T}M$ such that the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$ is holomorphic. If the Kählerian connection on M is real-analytic, the corresponding hypercomplex structure is defined in an open neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}M$ of the zero section.*

Our main technical tool in this paper is the relation between $U(1)$-equivariant hyperkähler manifolds and the theory of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures discovered by Deligne and Simpson (see [D2], [S1]). To emphasize this relation, we use the name *Hodge manifolds* for the hypercomplex manifolds equipped with a compatible $U(1)$-action.

It must be noted that many examples of hyperkähler manifolds equipped with a compatible $U(1)$-action are already known. Such are, for example, many of the manifolds constructred by the so-called hyperkähler redution from flat hyperkähler spaces (see [H2] and [HKLR]). An important class of such manifolds is formed by the so-called quiver varieties, studied by Nakajima ([N]). On the other hand, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}$ of flat connections on a complex manifold M, studied by Hitchin ([H1]) when M is a curve and by Simpson ([S2] in the general case, also belong to the class of Hodge manifolds, as Simpspn has shown in [S1]. Some parts of our theory, especially the uniqueness statement of Theorem 1, can be applied to these known examples.

We now give a brief outline of the paper. Sections 1-3 are preliminary and included mostly to fix notation and terminology. Most of the facts in these sections are well-known.

- In Section 1 we have collected the necessary facts from linear algebra about quaternionic vector spaces and $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. Everything is standard, with an exception, perhaps, of the notion of *weakly Hodge map*, which we introduce in Subsection 1.4.

- We begin Section 2 with introducing a technical notion of a *Hodge bundle* on a smooth manifold equipped with a $U(1)$-action. This notion will be heavily used throughout the paper. Then we switch to our main subject, namely, various differential-geomteric objects related to a quaternion action in the tangent bundle. The rest of Section 2 deals with almost quaternionic manifolds and the compatibility conditions between an almost quaternionic structure and a $U(1)$-action on a smooth manifold M.

- In Section 3 we describe various integrability conditions on an almost quaternionic structure. In particular, we recall the definition of a hypercomplex manifold and introduce $U(1)$-equivariant hypercomplex manifolds under the name of *Hodge manifolds*. We then rewrite the definition of a Hodge manifold in the more convenient language of Hodge bundles, to be used throughout the rest of the paper. Finally, in Subsection 3.3 we discuss metrics on hypercomplex and Hodge manifolds. We recall the definition of a hyperkähler manifold and define the notion of a *polarization* of a Hodge manifold. A polarized Hodge manifold is the same as a hyperkähler manifold equipped with a $U(1)$-action compatible with the hyperkähler structure of the sense of Hitchin, [H2].

- The main part of the paper begins in Section 4. We start with arbitrary Hodge manifolds and prove that in a neighborhood of the subset $M^{U(1)}$ of "regular" $U(1)$-fixed points every such manifold M is canonically isomorphic to an open neighborhood of the zero section in a total space $\overline{T}M^{U(1)}$ of the tangent bundle to the fixed point set. A fixed point $m \in M$ is "regular" if the group $U(1)$ acts on the tangent space T_mM with weights 0 and 1. We call this canonical isomorphism *the linearization* of the regular Hodge manifold.

  The linearization construction can be considered as a hyperkähler analog of the Darboux-Weinstein Theorem in the symplectic geometry. Apart from the cotangent bundles, it can be applied to the Hitchin-Simpson moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of flat connections on a Kähler manifold X. The regular points in this case correspond to stable flat connections such that the underlying holomorphic bundle is also stable. The linearization construction provides a canonical embedding of the subspace $\mathcal{M}^{reg} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of regular points into the total space $T^*\mathcal{M}_0$ of the cotangent bundle to the space $\mathcal{M}_0$ of stable holomorphic bundles on X. The unicity statement of Theorem 1 guarantees that the hyperkähler metric on $\mathcal{M}^{reg}$ provided by the Simpson theory is the same as the canonical metric constructed in Theorem 1.

- Starting with Section 5, we deal only with total spaces $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to tangent bundles to complex manifolds M. In Section 5 we describe Hodge manifolds structures on $\overline{T}M$ in terms of certain connections on the locally trivial fibration $\overline{T}M \to M$. "Connection" here is understood as a choice of the subbundle of horizontal vectors, regardless of the vector bundle structure on the fibration $\overline{T}M \to M$. We establish a correspondence between Hodge manifold structures on $\overline{T}M$ and connections on $\overline{T}M$ over M of certain type, which we call *Hodge connection.*

- In Section 6 we restrict our attention to the formal neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$. We introduce the appropriate "formal" versions of all the definitions and then establish a correspondence between formal Hodge connections on $\overline{T}M$ over M and certain differential operators on the manifold M itself, which we call *extended connections.* We also introduce a certain canonical algebra bundle $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ on the complex manifold M, which we call *the Weil algebra* of the manifold M. Extended connections give rise to natural derivations of the Weil algebra.

- Before we can proceed with classification of extended connections on the manifold M and therefore of regular Hodge manifolds, we need to derive some linear-algebraic facts on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$. This is the subject of Section 7. We begin with introducing a certain version of the de Rham complex of a smooth complex manifold, which we call *the total de Rham complex.* Then we combine it the material of Section 6 to define the so-called *total Weil algebra* of the manifold M and establish some of its properties. Section 7 is the most technical part of the whole paper. The reader is advised to skip reading it until needed.

- Section 8 is the main section of the paper. In this section we prove, using the technical results of Section 7, that extended connections on M are in a natural one-to-one correspondence with Kählerian connections on the cotangent bundle to M (Theorem 8.1). This proves the formal part of Theorem 2.

- In Section 9 we deal with polarizations. After some preliminaries, we use Theorem 2 to deduce the formal part of Theorem 1 (see Theorem 9.1).

- Finally, in Section 10 we study the convergence of our formal series and prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in the real-analytic case.

- We have also attached a brief Appendix, where we sketch a more conceptual approach to some of the linear algebra used in the paper, in particular, to our notion of a weakly Hodge map. This approach also allows us to describe a simple and conceptual proof of Proposition 7.1, the most technical of the facts proved in Section 7. The Appendix is mostly based on results of Deligne and Simpson ([D2], [S1]).

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank A. Beilinson, D. Kazhdan, A. Levin, L. Posicelsky, A. Shen and A. Tyurin for stimulating discussions. I am especially grateful to my friends Misha Verbitsky and Tony Pantev for innumerable discussions, constant interest and help, without which this paper most probably would not have been written. I would also like to mention here how much I have benefited from a course on moduli spaces and Hodge theory given by Carlos Simpson at MIT in the Fall of 1993. On a different note, I would like to express my dearest gratitude to Julie Lynch, formerly at International Press in Cambridge, and also to the George Soros's Foundation and to CRDF for providing me with a source of income during the preparation of this paper.

Contents

1 Preliminary facts from linear algebra 7
- 1.1 Quaternionic vector spaces . . . 7
- 1.2 The complementary complex structure . . . 9
- 1.3 $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures . . . 10
- 1.4 Weakly Hodge maps . . . 11
- 1.5 Polarizations . . . 13

2 Hodge bundles and quaternionic manifolds 15
- 2.1 Hodge bundles . . . 15
- 2.2 Equivariant quaternionic manifolds . . . 17
- 2.3 Quaternionic manifolds and Hodge bundles . . . 18
- 2.4 Holonomic derivations . . . 19

3 Hodge manifolds 21
- 3.1 Integrability . . . 21
- 3.2 The de Rham complex of a Hodge manifold . . . 22
- 3.3 Polarized Hodge manifolds . . . 24

4 Regular Hodge manifolds 25
- 4.1 Regular stable points . . . 25
- 4.2 Linearization of regular Hodge manifolds . . . 26
- 4.3 Linear Hodge manifold structures . . . 28

5 Tangent bundles as Hodge manifolds 31
- 5.1 Hodge connections . . . 31
- 5.2 The relative de Rham complex of U over M . . . 34
- 5.3 Holonomic Hodge connections . . . 37
- 5.4 Hodge connections and linearity . . . 39

6 Formal completions 43
- 6.1 Formal Hodge manifolds . . . 43
- 6.2 Formal Hodge manifold structures on tangent bundles . . . 44
- 6.3 The Weil algebra . . . 45

6.4 Extended connections 48

7 Preliminaries on the Weil algebra **51**
7.1 The total de Rham complex 51
7.2 The total Weil algebra 55
7.3 Derivations of the Weil algebra 58

8 Classification of flat extended connections **62**
8.1 Kählerian connections 62
8.2 Linearity and the total Weil algebra 65
8.3 The reduced Weil algebra 66
8.4 Reduction of extended connections 69

9 Metrics **71**
9.1 Hyperkähler metrics on Hodge manifolds 71
9.2 Preliminaries 72
9.3 The Dolbeault differential on $\overline{T}M_J$ 74
9.4 The proof of Theorem 9.1 76
9.5 The cotangent bundle 77

10 Convergence **79**
10.1 Preliminaries 79
10.2 Combinatorics 83
10.3 The main estimate 88
10.4 The proof of Theorem 10.1 93

Appendix **95**

1. Preliminary facts from linear algebra

1.1. Quaternionic vector spaces

1.1.1. Throughout the paper denote by $\mathbb{H}$ the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of quaternions.
Definition. A *quaternionic vector space* is a finite-dimensional left module over the algebra $\mathbb{H}$.

Let V be a quaternionic vector space. Every algebra embedding $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ defines by restriction an action of $\mathbb{C}$ on V. Denote the corresponding complex vector space by V_I.

Fix once and for all an algebra embedding $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ and call the complex structure V_I *the preferred complex structure* on V.

1.1.2. Let the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ by conjugation by $I(\mathbb{C}^*)$. Since $I(\mathbb{R}^*) \subset I(\mathbb{C}^*)$ lies in the center of the algebra $\mathbb{H}$, this action factors through the map $N : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{R}^* \cong U(1)$ from $\mathbb{C}^*$ to the one-dimensional unitary group defined by $N(a) = a^{-1}\overline{a}$. Call this action *the standard action* of $U(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}$.

The standard action commutes with the multiplication and leaves invariant the subalgebra $I(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore it extends to an action of the complex algebraic group $\mathbb{C}^* \supset U(1)$ on the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ considered as a right complex vector space over $I(\mathbb{C})$. Call this action *the standard action* of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{H}$.

1.1.3. Definition. An *equivariant* quaternionic vector space is a quaternionic vector space V equipped with an action of the group $U(1)$ such that the action map

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \to V$$

is $U(1)$-equivariant.

The $U(1)$-action on V extends to an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on the complex vector space V_I. The action map $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \to V$ factors through a map

$$\mathrm{Mult} : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_I \to V_I$$

of complex vector spaces. This map is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant if and only if V is an equivariant quaternionic vector space.

1.1.4. The category of complex algebraic representations V of the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ is equivalent to the category of graded vector spaces $V = \oplus V^{\bullet}$. We will say that a representation W is *of weight* i if $W = W^i$, that is, if an element $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on W by multiplication by z^k. For every representation W we will denote by $W(k)$ the representation corresponding to the grading

$$W(k)^i = W^{k+i}.$$

1.1.5. The algebra $\mathbb{H}$ considered as a complex vector space by means of right multiplication by $I(\mathbb{C})$ decomposes $\mathbb{H} = I(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the standard $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. The first summand is of weight 0, and the second is of weight 1. This decomposition is compatible with the left $I(\mathbb{C})$-actions as well and induces for every complex vector space W a decomposition

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W = W \oplus \overline{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W.$$

If W is equipped with an $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, the second summand is canonically isomorphic to $\overline{W}(1)$, where $\overline{W}$ is the vector space complex-conjugate to W.

1.1.6. Let V be an equivariant quaternionic vector space. The action map

$$\mathrm{Mult} : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_I \cong V_I \oplus \overline{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_I \to V_I$$

decomposes $\mathrm{Mult} = \mathsf{id} \oplus j$ for a certain map $j : \overline{V_I}(1) \to V_I$. The map j satisfies $j \circ \overline{j} = -\mathsf{id}$, and we obviously have the following.

Lemma. *The correspondence $V \longmapsto \langle V_I, j\rangle$ is an equivalence of categories between the category of equivariant quaternionic vector spaces and the category of pairs $\langle W, j\rangle$ of a graded complex vector space W and a map $j : \overline{W^{\bullet}} \to W^{1-\bullet}$ satisfying $j \circ \overline{j} = -\mathsf{id}$.*

1.1.7. We will also need a particular class of equivariant quaternionic vector spaces which we will call regular.

Definition. An equivariant quaternionic vector space V is called *regular* if every irreducible $\mathbb{C}^*$-subrepresentation of V_I is either trivial or of weight 1.

Lemma. *Let V be a regular $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant quaternionic vector space and let $V_I^0 \subset V_I$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant vectors. Then the action map*

$$V_I^0 \oplus \overline{V_I^0} \cong \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_I^0 \to V_I$$

is invertible.

Proof. Let $V_I^1 \subset V_I$ be the weight 1 subspace with respect to the gm-action. Since V is regular, $V_I = V_I^0 \oplus V_I^1$. On the other hand, $j : \overline{V_I} \to V_I$ interchanges V_I^0 and V_I^1. Therefore $V_I^1 \cong \overline{V_I^0}$ and we are done. $\square$

Thus every regular equivariant quaternionic vector space is a sum of several copies of the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ itself. The corresponding Hodge structure has Hodge numbers $h^{1,0} = h^{0,1}$, $h^{p,q} = 0$ otherwise.

1.2. The complementary complex structure

1.2.1. Let $J : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ be another algebra embedding. Say that embeddings I and J are *complementary* if

$$J(\sqrt{-1})I(\sqrt{-1}) = -I(\sqrt{-1})J(\sqrt{-1}).$$

Let V be an equivariant quaternionic vector space. The standard $U(1)$-action on $\mathbb{H}$ induces an action of $U(1)$ on the set of all algebra embeddings $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$. On the subset of embeddings complementary to I this action is transitive. Therefore for every two embeddings $J_1, J_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ complementary to I the complex vector spaces V_{J_1} and V_{J_2} are canonically isomorphic. We will from now on choose for convenience an algebra embedding $J : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ complementary to I and speak of *the complementary complex structure* V_J on V; however, nothing depends on this choice.

1.2.2. For every equivariant quaternionic vector space V the complementary embedding $J : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ induces an isomorphism

$$J \otimes \mathsf{id} : \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \to \mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} V_I$$

of complex vector spaces. Let $\mathrm{Mult} : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} V_I \to V_I$, $\mathrm{Mult} : \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \to V_J$ be the action maps. Then there exists a unique isomorphism $H : V_J \to V_I$ of complex vector spaces such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \arrow[r, "J\otimes\mathsf{id}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Mult}"'] & \mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} V_I \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Mult}"'] \\
V_J \arrow[r, "H"] & V_I
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Call the map $H : V_J \to V_I$ *the standard isomorphism* between the complementary and the preferred complex structures on the equivariant quaternionic vector space V.

1.2.3. Note that both V_I and V_J are canonically isomorphic to V as real vector spaces; therefore the map $H : V_J \to V_I$ is in fact an automorphism of the real vector space V. Up to a constant this automorphism is given by the action of the element $I(\sqrt{-1}) + J(\sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{H}$ on the $\mathbb{H}$-module V.

1.3. $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures

1.3.1. Recall that *a pure* $\mathbb{R}$*-Hodge structure* W *of weight* i is a pair of a graded complex vector space $W = \oplus_{p+q=i} W^{p,q}$ and a *real structure* map $\overline{} : \overline{W^{p,q}} \to W^{q,p}$ satisfying $\overline{} \circ \overline{} = \mathsf{id}$. The bigrading $W^{p,q}$ is called *the Hodge type bigrading.* The dimensions $h^{p,q} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^{p,q}$ are called *the Hodge numbers* of the pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure W. Maps between pure Hodge structures are by definition maps of their underlying complex vector spaces compatible with the bigrading and the real structure maps.

1.3.2. Recall also that for every k the *Hodge-Tate* pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\mathbb{R}(k)$ of weight $-2k$ is by definition the 1-dimensional complex vector space with complex conjugation equal to $(-1)^k$ times the usual one, and with Hodge bigrading

$$\mathbb{R}(k)^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}(k), & p = q = -k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V denote, as usual, by $V(k)$ the tensor product $V(k) = V \otimes \mathbb{R}(k)$.

1.3.3. We will also need special notation for another common $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure, which we now introduce. Note that for every complex V be a complex vector space the complex vector space $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ carries a canonical $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight 1 with Hodge bigrading given by

$$V^{1,0} = V \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \qquad\qquad V^{0,1} = \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ carries a natural $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight 1 with Hodge numbers $h^{1,0} = h^{0,1} = 1$. Denote this Hodge structure by $\mathcal{W}_1$.

1.3.4. Let $\langle W, \overline{} \rangle$ be a pure Hodge structure, and denote by $W_{\mathbb{R}} \subset W$ the $\mathbb{R}$-vector subspace of elements preserved by $\overline{}$. Define the *Weil operator* $C : W \to W$ by

$$C = (\sqrt{-1})^{p-q} : W^{p,q} \to W^{q,p}.$$

The operator $C : W \to W$ preserves the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure, in particular, the subspace $W_{\mathbb{R}} \subset W$. On pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight 0 the Weil operator C corresponds to the action of $-1 \in U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ in the corresponding representation.

1.3.5. For a pure Hodge structure W of weight i let

$$j = C \circ \overline{} : \overline{W^{\bullet,1-\bullet}} \to W^{1-\bullet,\bullet}.$$

If i is odd, in particular, if $i = 1$, then $j \circ \overline{j} = -\mathsf{id}$. Together with Lemma 1.1.6 this gives the following.

Lemma. *The category of equivariant quaternionic vector spaces is equivalent to the category of pure* $\mathbb{R}$*-Hodge structures of weight* 1.

1.3.6. Let V be an equivariant quaternionic vector space, and let $\langle W, \overline{} \rangle$ be $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight 1 corresponding to V under the equivalence of

Lemma 1.3.5. By definition the complex vector space W is canonically isomorphic to the complex vector space V_I with the preferred complex structure on V. It will be more convenient for us to identify W with the complementary complex vector space V_J by means of the standard isomorphism $H : V_J \to V_I$. The multiplication map

$$\mathrm{Mult} : V_I \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H} \to V_J$$

is then a map of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. The complex conjugation $\overline{\ \ } : W \to \overline{W}$ is given by

$$\overline{\ \ } = C \circ H \circ j \circ H^{-1} = C \circ i : V_J \to \overline{V_J}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $i : V_J \to \overline{V_J}$ is the action of the element $I(\sqrt{-1}) \subset \mathbb{H}$.

1.4. Weakly Hodge maps

1.4.1. Recall that the category of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight i is equivalent to the category of pairs $\langle V, F^\bullet \rangle$ of a real vector space V and a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ on the complex vector space $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \overline{F^q V_{\mathbb{C}}}.$$

The filtration $F^\bullet$ is called *the Hodge filtration.* The Hodge type grading and the Hodge filtration are related by $V^{p,q} = F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \overline{F^q V_{\mathbb{C}}}$ and $F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_{k \geq p} V^{k,i-k}$.

1.4.2. Let $\langle V, F^\bullet \rangle$ and $\langle W, F^\bullet \rangle$ be pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weights n and m respectively. Usually maps of pure Hodge structures are required to preserve the weights, so that $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W) = 0$ unless $n = m$. In this paper we will need the following weaker notion of maps between pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures.

Definition. An $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f : V \to W$ is said to be *weakly Hodge* if it preserves the Hodge filtrations.

Equivalently, the complexified map $f : V_{\mathbb{C}} \to W_{\mathbb{C}}$ must decompose

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq p \leq m-n} f^{p,m-n-p}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the map $f^{p,m-n-p} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \to W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of Hodge type $(p, m-n-p)$. Note that this condition is indeed weaker than the usual definition of a map of Hodge structures: a weakly Hodge map $f : V \to W$ can be non-trivial if m is strictly greater than n. If $m < n$, then f must be trivial, and if $m = n$, then weakly Hodge maps from V to W are the same as usual maps of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures.

1.4.3. We will denote by $\mathcal{WH}odge$ the category of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of arbitrary weight with weakly Hodge maps as morphisms. For every integer n let $\mathcal{WH}odge_n$ be the full subcategory in $\mathcal{WH}odge$ consisting of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight n, and let $\mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq n}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight not less than n. Since weakly Hodge maps between $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of the same weight are the same as usual maps of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures, the category $\mathcal{WH}odge_n$ is the usual category of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight n.

1.4.4. Let $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the pure Hodge structure of weight 1 with Hodge numbers $h^{1,0} = h^{0,1} = 1$, as in 1.3.3. The diagonal embedding $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ considered as a map $w_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{W}_1$ from the trivial pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\mathbb{R}$ of weight 0 to $\mathcal{W}_1$ is obviously weakly Hodge. It decomposes $w_1 = w_1^{1,0} + w_1^{0,1}$ as in (1.2), and the components $w_1^{1,0} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{W}_1^{1,0}$ and $w_1^{0,1} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{W}_1^{0,1}$ are isomorphisms of complex vectors spaces. Moreover, for every pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V of weight n the map w_1 induces a weakly Hodge map $w_1 : V \to \mathcal{W}_1 \otimes V$, and the components $w_1^{1,0} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_1^{1,0}$ and $w_1^{0,1} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_1^{0,1}$ are again isomorphisms of complex vector spaces.

More generally, for every $k \geq 0$ let $\mathcal{W}_k = S^k \mathcal{W}_1$ be the k-th symmetric power of the Hodge structure $\mathcal{W}_1$. The space $\mathcal{W}_k$ is a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight k, with Hodge numbers $h^{k,0} = h^{k-1,1} = \ldots = h^{0,k} = 1$. Let $w_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{W}_k$ be the k-th symmetric power of the map $w_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{W}_1$. For every pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V of weight n the map w_k induces a weakly Hodge map $w_k : V \to \mathcal{W}_k \otimes V$, and the components

$$w_k^{p,q} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^{p,k-p}, \qquad 0 \leq p \leq k$$

are isomorphisms of complex vector spaces.

1.4.5. The map w_k is a universal weakly Hodge map from a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures V of weight n to a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight $n+k$. More precisely, every weakly Hodge map $f : V \to V'$ from V to a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V' of weight $n+k$ factors uniquely through $w_k : V \to \mathcal{W}_k \otimes V$ by means of a map $f' : \mathcal{W}_k \otimes V \to V'$ preserving the pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. Indeed, $V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k = \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq k} V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^{p,k-p}$, and the maps $w_k^{p,k-p} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^{p,k-p}$ are invertible. Hence to obtain the desired factorization it is necessary and sufficient to set

$$f' = f^{p,k-p} \circ \left(w_k^{p,k-p}\right)^{-1} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^{p,k-p} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{V}'_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $f = \sum_{0 \leq p \leq k} f^{p,k-p}$ is the Hodge type decomposition (1.2).

1.4.6. It will be convenient to reformulate the universal properties of the maps w_k as follows. By definition $\mathcal{W}_k = S^k \mathcal{W}_1$, therefore the dual $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures equal $\mathcal{W}_k^* = S^k \mathcal{W}_1^*$, and for every $n, k \geq 0$ we have a canonical projection $\mathsf{can} : \mathcal{W}_n^* \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^* \to \mathcal{W}_{n+k}^*$. For every pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V of weight $k \geq 0$ let $\Gamma(V) = V \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^*$.

Lemma. *The correspondence $V \mapsto \Gamma(V)$ extends to a functor*

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0$$

adjoint on the right to the canonical embedding $\mathcal{WH}odge_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Consider a weakly Hodge map $f : V_n \to V_{n+k}$ from $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V_n of weight n to a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V_{n+k} of weight $n+k$. By the universal property the map f factors through the canonical map $w_k : V_n \to V_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_k$ by means of a map $f_k : V_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_k \to V_{n+k}$. Let $f_k' : V_k \to V_{n+k} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^*$ be the adjoint map, and let

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(f) = \mathsf{can} \circ f_k' :& \Gamma(V_n) = V_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^* \to V_{n+k} \otimes \mathcal{W}_n^* \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^* \to \\ \to& \Gamma(V_{n+k}) = V \otimes \mathcal{W}_{n+k}^*.\end{aligned}$$

This defines the desired functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0$. The adjointness is obvious. $\square$

Remark. See Appendix for a more geometric description of the functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0$.

1.4.7. The complex vector space $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is equipped with a canonical skew-symmetric trace pairing $\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{W}_1^*$ be the map dual to $w_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{W}_1$ under this pairing. The map γ is not weakly Hodge, but it decomposes $\gamma = \gamma^{-1,0} + \gamma^{0,-1}$ with respect to the Hodge type grading. Denote $\gamma_l = \gamma^{-1,0}$, $\gamma_r = \gamma^{0,-1}$. For every $0 \leq p \leq k$ the symmetric powers of the maps γ_l, γ_r give canonical complex-linear embeddings

$$\gamma_l, \gamma_r : \mathcal{W}_p^* \to \mathcal{W}_k^*.$$

1.4.8. The map γ_l if of Hodge type $(p-k, 0)$, while γ_r is of Hodge type $(0, p-k)$, and the maps γ_l, γ_r are complex conjugate to each other. Moreover, they are each compatible with the natural maps $\mathsf{can} : \mathcal{W}_p^* \otimes \mathcal{W}_q^* \to \mathcal{W}_{p+q}^*$ in the sense that $\mathsf{can} \circ (\gamma_l \otimes \gamma_l) = \gamma_l \circ \mathsf{can}$ and $\mathsf{can} \circ (\gamma_r \otimes \gamma_r) = \gamma_r \circ \mathsf{can}$. For every p, q, k such that $p + q \geq k$ we have a short exact sequence

(1.3)

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{p+q-k} \xrightarrow{\gamma_r - \gamma_l} \mathcal{W}_p^* \oplus \mathcal{W}_q^* \xrightarrow{\gamma_l + \gamma_l} \mathcal{W}_k^* \longrightarrow 0$$

of complex vector spaces. We will need this exact sequence in 7.1.7.

1.4.9. The functor Γ is, in general, not a tensor functor. However, the canonical maps $\mathsf{can} : \mathcal{W}_n^* \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^* \to \mathcal{W}_{n+k}^*$ define for every two pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures V_1, V_2 of non-negative weight a surjective map

$$\Gamma(V_1) \otimes \Gamma(V_2) \to \Gamma(V_1 \otimes V_2).$$

These maps are functorial in V_1 and V_2 and commute with the associativity and the commutativity morphisms. Moreover, for every algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in the tensor category $\mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0}$ they turn $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ into an algebra in $\mathcal{WH}odge_0$.

1.5. Polarizations

1.5.1. Consider a quaternionic vector space V, and let h be a Euclidean metric on V.

Definition. The metric h is called *Quaternionic-Hermitian* if for any algebra embedding $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ the metric h is the real part of an Hermitian metric on the complex vector space V_I.

Equivalently, a metric is Quaternionic-Hermitian if it is invariant under the action of the group $SU(2) \subset \mathbb{H}$ of unitary quaternions.

1.5.2. Assume that the quaternionic vector space V is equivariant. Say that a metric h on V is *Hermitian-Hodge* if it is Quaternionic-Hermitian and, in addition, invariant under the $U(1)$-action on V.

Let V_I be the vector space V with the preferred complex structure I, and let

$$V_I = \bigoplus V^{\bullet}$$

and $j : \overline{V^{\bullet}} \to V^{1-\bullet}$ be as in Lemma 1.1.6. The metric h is Hermitian-Hodge if and only if

(i) it is the real part of an Hermitian metric on V_I,

(ii) $h(V^p, V^q) = 0$ whenever $p \neq q$, and

(iii) $h(j(a), b) = -h(a, j(b))$ for every $a, b \in V$.

1.5.3. Recall that a *polarization* S on a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure W of weight i is a bilinear form $S : W \otimes W \to \mathbb{R}(-i)$ which is a morphism of pure Hodge structures and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S(a,b) &= (-1)^i S(b,a) \\ S(a,Ca) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $a, b \in W$. (Here $C : W \to W$ is the Weil operator.)

1.5.4. Let V be an equivariant quaternionic vector space equipped with an Euclidean metric h, and let $\langle W, \_\rangle$ be the pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight 1 associated to V by Lemma 1.3.5. Recall that $W = V_J$ as a complex vector space. Assume that h extends to an Hermitian metric h_J on V_J, and let $S : W \otimes W \to \mathbb{R}(-1)$ be the bilinear form defined by

$$S(a,b) = h(a, C\overline{b}), \qquad a, b \in W_{\mathbb{R}} \subset W.$$

The form S is a polarization if and only if the metric h is Hermitian-Hodge. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of Hermitian-Hodge metrics on V and the set of polarizations on the Hodge structure W.

1.5.5. Let W^* be the Hodge structure of weight -1 dual to W. The sets of polarizations on W and on W^* are, of course, in a natural one-to-one correspondence. It will be more convenient for us to identify the set of Hermitian-Hodge metrics on V with the set of polarizations on W^* rather then on W.

Assume that the metric h on the equivariant quaternionic vector space V is Hermitian-Hodge, and let $S \in \Lambda^2(W) \subset \Lambda^2(V \otimes \mathbb{C})$ be the corresponding polarization. Extend h to an Hermitian metric h_I on the complex vector space V with the preferred complex structure V_I, and let

$$\omega_I \in V_I \otimes \overline{V_I} \subset \Lambda^2(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$$

be the imaginary part of the corresponding Hermitian metric on the dual space V_I^*. Let $i : V_J \to \overline{V_J}$ the action of the element $I(\sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{H}$. By (1.1) we have

$$\omega_I(a,b) = h(a, i(b)) = h(a, C\overline{b}) = S(a,b)$$

for every $a, b \in V_J \subset V \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Since ω_I is real, and $V \otimes \mathbb{C} = V_J \oplus \overline{V_J}$, the 2-forms ω_I and S are related by

$$\omega_I = \frac{1}{2}(S + \nu(S)), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\nu : V \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \overline{V \otimes \mathbb{C}}$ is the usual complex conjugation.

2. Hodge bundles and quaternionic manifolds

2.1. Hodge bundles

2.1.1. Throughout the rest of the paper, our main tool in studying hyperkähler structures on smooth manifolds will be the equivalence between equivariant quaternionic vector spaces and pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight 1, established in Lemma 1.1.6. In order to use it, we will need to generalize this equivalence to the case of vector bundles over a smooth manifold M, rather than just vector spaces. We will also need to consider manifolds equipped with a smooth action of the group $U(1)$, and we would like our generalization to take this $U(1)$-action into account.

Such a generalization requires, among other things, an appropriate notion of a vector bundle equipped with a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure. We introduce and study one version of such a notion in this section, under the name of a Hodge bundle (see Definition 2.1.2).

2.1.2. Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a smooth $U(1)$-action (or *a $U(1)$-manifold*, to simplify the terminology), and let $\iota : M \to M$ be the action of the element $-1 \in U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$.

Definition. An *Hodge bundle of weight k* on M is a pair $\langle \mathcal{E}, \_ \rangle$ of a $U(1)$-equivariant complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on M and a $U(1)$-equivariant bundle map $\_ : \overline{\iota^*\mathcal{E}}(k) \to \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\_ \circ \iota^* \_ = \mathsf{id}$.

Hodge bundles of weight k over M form a tensor $\mathbb{R}$-linear additive category, denoted by $\mathcal{WH}odge_k(M)$.

2.1.3. Let $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$ be two Hodge bundles on M of weights m and n. Say that a bundle map. or, more generally, a differential operator $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ is *weakly Hodge* if

(i) $f = \overline{\iota^* f}$, and

(ii) there exists a decomposition $f = \sum_{0 \leq n-m} f_i$ with f_i being of degree i with respect to he $U(1)$-equivariant structure. (In particular, $f = 0$ unless $n \geq m$, and we always have $f_k = \overline{\iota^* f_{n-m-k}}$.)

Denote by $\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$ the category of Hodge bundles of arbitrary weight on M, with weakly Hodge bundle maps as morphisms. For every i the category $\mathcal{WH}odge_i(M)$ is a full subcategory in $\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$. Introduce also the category $\mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ with the same objects as $\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$ but with weakly Hodge differential operators as morphisms. Both the categories $\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$ and $\mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ are additive $\mathbb{R}$-linear tensor categories.

2.1.4. For a weakly Hodge map $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ call the canonical decomposition

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m-n} f_i$$

the H-type decomposition. For a Hodge bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on M of non-negative weight k let $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_k^*$, where $\mathcal{W}_k$ is the canonical pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodges structure introduced in 1.4.4. The universal properties of the Hodge structures $\mathcal{W}_k$ and Lemma 1.4.6 generalize immediately to Hodge bundles. In particular, Γ extends to a functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0}(M) \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0(M)$ adjoint on the right to the canonical embedding.

2.1.5. If the $U(1)$-action on M is trivial, then Hodge bundles of weight i are the same as real vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with a Hodge type grading $\mathcal{E} = \oplus_{p+q=i}\mathcal{E}^{p,q}$ on the complexification $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. In particular, if $M = \mathrm{pt}$ is a single point, then $\mathcal{WH}odge(M) \cong \mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ is the category of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. Weakly Hodge bundle map are then the same as weakly Hodge maps of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures considered in 1.4.2. (Thus the notion of a Hodge bundle is indeed a generalization of the notion of a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure.)

2.1.6. The categories of Hodge bundles are functorial in M, namely, for every smooth map $f : M_1 \to M_2$ of smooth $U(1)$-manifolds M_1, M_2 there exist pullback functors

$$f^* : \mathcal{WH}odge(M_1) \to \mathcal{WH}odge(M_1)$$
$$f^* : \mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}(M_1) \to \mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}(M_1).$$

In particular, let M be a smooth $U(1)$-manifold and let $\pi : M \to \mathrm{pt}$ be the canonical projection. Then every $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V of weight i defines a constant Hodge bundle π^*V on M, which we denote for simplicity by the same letter V. Thus the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R} = \Lambda^0(M) = \pi^*\mathbb{R}(0)$ has a natural structure of a Hodge bundle of weight 0.

2.1.7. To give a first example of Hodge bundles and weakly Hodge maps, consider a $U(1)$-manifold M equipped with a $U(1)$-invariant almost complex structure M_I. Let $\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C}) = \oplus\Lambda^{\bullet, i-\bullet}(M_I)$ be the usual Hodge type decomposition of the bundles $\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C})$ of complex valued differential forms on M. The complex vector bundles $\Lambda^{p,q}(M_I)$ are naturally $U(1)$-equivariant. Let

$$\bar{\ } : \Lambda^{p,q}(M_I) \to \iota^*\overline{\Lambda^{q,p}(M_I)}$$

be the usual complex conjugation, and introduce a $U(1)$-equivariant structure on $\Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ by setting

$$\Lambda^i(M,\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{0\leq j\leq i} \Lambda^{j,i-j}(M)(j).$$

The bundle $\Lambda^i(M,\mathbb{C})$ with these $U(1)$-equivariant structure and complex conjugation is a Hodge bundle of weight i on M. The de Rham differential d_M is weakly Hodge, and the H-type decomposition for d_M is in this case the usual Hodge type decomposition $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$.

2.1.8. Remark. Definition 2.1.2 is somewhat technical. It can be heuristically rephrased as follows. For a complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on M the space of smooth global section $C^\infty(M,\mathcal{E})$ is a module over the algebra $C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$ of smooth $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on M, and the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is completely defined by the module $C^\infty(M,\mathcal{E})$. The $U(1)$-action on M induces a representation of $U(1)$ on the algebra $C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. Let $\nu : C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C}) \to C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$ be composition of the complex conjugation and the map $\iota^* : C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C}) \to C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. The map ν is an anti-complex involution; together with the $U(1)$-action it defines a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight 0 on the algebra $C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. Giving a weight i Hodge bundle structure on $\mathcal{E}$ is then equivalent to giving a weight i pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure on the module $C^\infty(M,\mathcal{E})$ such that the multiplication map

$$C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^\infty(M,\mathcal{E}) \to C^\infty(M,\mathcal{E})$$

is a map of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures.

2.2. Equivariant quaternionic manifolds

2.2.1. We now turn to our main subject, namely, various differential-geometric structures on smooth manifolds associated to actions of the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions.

2.2.2. Definition. A smooth manifold M is called *quaternionic* if it is equipped with a smooth action of the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ on its cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M)$.

Let M be a quaternionic manifold. Every algebra embedding $J : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ defines by restriction an almost complex structure on the manifold M. Denote this almost complex structure by M_J.

2.2.3. Assume that the manifold M is equipped with a smooth action of the group $U(1)$, and consider the standard action of $U(1)$ on the vector space $\mathbb{H}$. Call the quaternionic structure and the $U(1)$-action on M *compatible* if the action map

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^1(M) \to \Lambda^1(M)$$

is $U(1)$-equivariant.

Equivalently, the quaternionic structure and the $U(1)$-action are compatible if the action preserves the almost complex structure M_I, and the action map

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^{1,0}(M_I) \to \Lambda^{1,0}(M_I)$$

is $U(1)$-equivariant.

2.2.4. Definition. A quaternionic manifold M equipped with a compatible smooth $U(1)$-action is called *an equivariant quaternionic manifold*.

For a $U(1)$-equivariant complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on M denote by $\mathcal{E}(k)$ the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with $U(1)$-equivariant structure twisted by the 1-dimensional representation of weight k. Lemma 1.1.6 immediately gives the following.

Lemma. *The category of quaternionic manifolds is equivalent to the category of pairs* $\langle M_I, j\rangle$ *of an almost complex manifold* M_I *and a* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear* $U(1)$*-equivariant smooth map* $j : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)(1) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ *satisfying* $j \circ \overline{j} = -\mathsf{id}$.

2.3. Quaternionic manifolds and Hodge bundles

2.3.1. Let M be a smooth $U(1)$-manifold. Recall that we have introduced in Subsection 2.1 a notion of a Hodge bundle on M. Hodge bundles arise naturally in the study of quaternionic structures on M in the following way. Define a *quaternionic bundle* on M as a real vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with a left action of the algebra $\mathbb{H}$, and let $\operatorname{Bun}(M, \mathbb{H})$ be the category of smooth quaternionic vector bundles on the manifold M. Let also $\operatorname{Bun}^{U(1)}(M, \mathbb{H})$ be the category of smooth quaternionic bundles $\mathcal{E}$ on M equipped with a $U(1)$-equivariant structure such that the $\mathbb{H}$-action map $\mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{E}nd\,(\mathcal{E})$ is $U(1)$-equivariant. Lemma 1.3.5 immediately generalizes to give the following.

Lemma. *The category* $\operatorname{Bun}^{U(1)}(M, \mathbb{H})$ *is equivalent to the category of Hodge bundles of weight* 1 *on* M*.*

2.3.2. Note that if the $U(1)$-manifold M is equipped with an almost complex structure, then the decomposition $\mathbb{H} = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus I(\mathbb{C})$ (see 1.1.5) induces an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{can} : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} \Lambda^{0,1} \cong \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \cong \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}).$$

The weight 1 Hodge bundle structure on $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the natural quaternionic structure on $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ is the same as the one considered in 2.1.7.

2.3.3. Assume now that the smooth $U(1)$-manifold M is equipped with a compatible quaternionic structure, and let M_I be the preferred almost complex structure on M. Since M_I is preserved by the $U(1)$-action on M, the complex vector bundle $\Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ of $(0,1)$-forms on M_I is naturally $U(1)$-equivariant.

The quaternionic structure on $\Lambda^1(M)$ induces by Lemma 2.3.1 a weight-1 Hodge bundle structure on $\Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$. The corresponding $U(1)$-action on $\Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ is induced by the action on M_I, and the real structure map

$$\overline{} : \Lambda^{1,0}(M_I)(1) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$$

is given by $\overline{} = \sqrt{-1}\,(\iota^* \circ j)$. (Here j is induced by quaternionic structure, as in Lemma 2.2.4).

2.3.4. Let M_J be the complementary almost complex structure on the equivariant quaternionic manifold M. Recall that in 1.2 we have defined for every equivariant quaternionic vector space V the standard isomorphism $H : V_J \to V_I$. This construction can be immediately generalized to give a complex bundle isomorphism

$$H : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I).$$

Let $P : \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$ be the natural projection, and let $\mathrm{Mult} : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ be the action map. By definition the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{can}"] \arrow[d, "P"'] & \mathbb{H} \otimes_{I(\mathbb{C})} \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Mult}"'] \\
\Lambda^{0,1}(M_J) \arrow[r, "H"] & \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Since the map Mult is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures, so is the projection P.

Remark. This may seems paradoxical, since the complex conjugation $\overline{}$ on $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ does not preserve $\operatorname{Ker} P = \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$. However, under our definition of a Hodge bundle the conjugation on $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is $\iota^* \circ \overline{}$ rather than $\overline{}$. Both $\overline{}$ and ι^* interchange $\Lambda^{1,0}(M_J)$ and $\Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$.

2.3.5. The standard isomorphism $H : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ does not commute with the Dolbeault differentials. They are, however, related by means of the Hodge bundle structure on $\Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$. Namely, we have the following.

Lemma. *The Dolbeault differential $D : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ for the almost complex structure M_J is weakly Hodge. The $U(1)$-invariant component D_0 in the H-type decomposition $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$ of the map D coincides with the Dolbeault differential for the almost complex structure M_I.*

Proof. The differential D is the composition $D = P \circ d_M$ of the de Rham differential $d_M : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ with the canonical projection P. Since both P and d_M are weakly Hodge, so is D. The rest follows from the construction of the standard isomorphism H. □

2.4. Holonomic derivations

2.4.1. Let M be a smooth $U(1)$-manifold. In order to give a Hodge-theoretic description of the set of all equivariant quaternionic structures on M, it is convenient to work not with various complex structures on M, but with associated Dolbeault differentials. To do this, recall the following universal property of the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M)$.

Lemma. *Let M be a smooth manifold, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex vector bundle on M. Every differential operator $\partial : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$ which is a derivation with respect to the algebra structure on $\Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C})$ factors uniquely through the de Rham differential $d_M : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ by means of a bundle map $P : \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$.*

2.4.2. We first use this universal property to describe almost complex structures. Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition. A derivation $D : \Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$ is called *holonomic* if the associated bundle map $P : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$ induces an isomorphism of the subbundle $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{R}) \subset \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ of real 1-forms with the real vector bundle underlying $\mathcal{E}$.

By Lemma 2.4.1 the correspondence

$$M_I \mapsto \left\langle \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I), \bar\partial \right\rangle$$

identifies the set of all almost complex structures M_I on M with the set of all pairs $\langle \mathcal{E}, D \rangle$ of a complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ and a holonomic derivation $D : \Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$.

2.4.3. Assume now that the smooth manifold M is equipped with smooth action of the group $U(1)$. Then we have the following.

Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a weight 1 Hodge bundle on the smooth $U(1)$-manifold M, and let*

$$D : \Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$$

be a weakly Hodge holonomic derivation. There exists a unique $U(1)$-equivariant quaternionic structure on M such that $\mathcal{E} \cong \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$ and D is the Dolbeault differential for the complementary almost complex structures M_J on M.

Proof. Since the derivation M is holonomic, it induces an almost complex structure M_J on M. To construct an almost complex structure M_I complementary to M_J, consider the H-type decomposition $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$ of the derivation $D : \Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$ (defined in 2.1.4).

The map D_0 is also a derivation. Moreover, it is holonomic. Indeed, by dimension count it is enough to prove that the associated bundle map $P : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{E}$ is injective. Since the bundle $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ is generated by exact 1-forms, it is enough to prove that any real valued function f on M with $D_0 f = 0$ is constant. However, since D is weakly Hodge,

$$Df = D_0 f + \overline{D_0} f = D_0 f + \overline{D_0 \overline{f}} = D_0 f + \overline{D_0 f},$$

hence $D_0 f = 0$ if and only if $Df = 0$. Since D is holonomic, f is indeed constant.

The derivation D_0, being holonomic, is the Dolbeault differential for an almost complex structure M_I on M. Since D_0 is by definition $U(1)$-equivariant, the almost complex structure M_I is $U(1)$-invariant. Moreover, $\mathcal{E} \cong \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ as $U(1)$-equivariant complex vector bundles. By Lemma 2.3.1 the weight 1 Hodge bundle structure on $\mathcal{E}$ induces an equivariant quaternionic bundle structure on $\mathcal{E}$ and, in turn, a structure of an equivariant quaternionic manifold on M. The almost complex structure M_I coincides by definition with the preferred almost complex structure.

It remains to notice that by Lemma 2.3.5 the Dolbeault differential $\bar\partial_J$ for the complementary almost complex structure on M indeed equals $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$. $\square$

Together with Lemma 2.3.5, this shows that the set of equivariant quaternionic structures on the $U(1)$-manifold M is naturally bijective to the set of pairs $\langle \mathcal{E}, D\rangle$ of a weight 1 Hodge bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on M and a weakly Hodge holonomic derivation $D : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$.

3. Hodge manifolds

3.1. Integrability

3.1.1. There exists a notion of integrability for quaternionic manifolds analogous to that for the almost complex ones.
Definition. A quaternionic manifold M is called *hypercomplex* if for two complementary algebra embeddings $I, J : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ the almost complex structures M_I, M_J are integrable.

In fact, if M is hypercomplex, then M_I is integrable for any algebra embedding $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$. For a proof see, e.g., [K].
3.1.2. When a quaternionic manifold M is equipped with a compatible $U(1)$-action, there exist a natural choice for a pair of almost complex structures on M, namely, the preferred and the complementary one.
Definition. An equivariant quaternionic manifold M is called a *Hodge manifold* if both the preferred and the equivariant almost complex structures M_I, M_J are integrable.

Hodge manifolds are the main object of study in this paper.
3.1.3. There exists a simple Hodge-theoretic description of Hodge manifolds based on Lemma 2.4.3. To give it (see Proposition 3.1), consider an equivariant quaternionic manifold M, and let M_J and M_I be the complementary and the preferred complex structures on M. The weight 1 Hodge bundle structure on $\Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$ induces a weight i Hodge bundle structure on the bundle $\Lambda^{0,i}(M_J)$ of $(0,i)$-forms on M_J. The standard identification $H : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ given in 2.3.4 extends uniquely to an algebra isomorphism $H : \Lambda^{0,i}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,i}(M_I)$.

Let $D : \Lambda^{0^\bullet}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,\bullet+1}(M_J)$ be the Dolbeault differential for the almost complex manifold M_J.

Lemma. *The equivariant quaternionic manifold M is Hodge if and only if the following holds.*

(i) *M_J is integrable, that is, $D \circ D = 0$, and*

(ii) *the differential $D : \Lambda^{0,i}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,i+1}(M_J)$ is weakly Hodge for every $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Assume first that the conditions (i), (ii) hold. Condition (i) means that the complementary almost complex structure M_J is integrable. By (ii) the map D is weakly Hodge.

Let $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$ be the H-type decomposition. The map D_0 is an algebra derivation of $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(M_I)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3.5 the map $D_0 : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to$

$\Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$ is the Dolbeault differential $\bar{\partial}_I$ for the preferred almost complex structure M_I on M. (Or, more precisely, is identified with $\bar{\partial}_I$ under the standard isomorphism H.) But the Dolbeault differential admits at most one extension to a derivation of the algebra $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(M_J)$. Therefore $D_0 = \bar{\partial}_I$ everywhere.

The composition $D_0 \circ D_0$ is the $(2,0)$-component in the H-type decomposition of the map $D \circ D$. Since $D \circ D = 0$,

$$D_0 \circ D_0 = \bar{\partial}_I \circ \bar{\partial}_I = 0.$$

Therefore the preferred complex structure M_I is also integrable, and the manifold M is indeed Hodge.

Assume now that M is Hodge. The canonical projection $P : \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J)$ extends then to a multiplicative projection

$$P : \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(M_J)$$

from the de Rham complex of the complex manifold M_I to the Dolbeault complex of the complex manifold M_J. The map P is surjective and weakly Hodge, moreover, it commutes with the differentials. Since the de Rham differential preserves the pre-Hodge structures, so does the Dolbeault differential D. $\square$

3.1.4. Lemma 3.1.3 and Lemma 2.4.3 together immediately give the following.

Proposition 3.1 *The category of Hodge manifolds is equivalent to the category of triples $\langle M, \mathcal{E}, D\rangle$ of a smooth $U(1)$-manifold M, a weight* 1 *Hodge bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on M, and a weakly Hodge algebra derivation*

$$D = D^\bullet : \Lambda^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(\mathcal{E})$$

such that $D \circ D = 0$, and the first component

$$D^0 : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E} = \Lambda^1(\mathcal{E})$$

is holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2.

3.2. The de Rham complex of a Hodge manifold

3.2.1. Let M be a Hodge manifold. In this subsection we study in some detail the de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the manifold M, in order to obtain information necessary for the discussion of metrics on M given in the Subsection 3.3. The reader is advised to skip this subsection until needed.

3.2.2. Let $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(M_J)$ be the Dolbeault complex for the complementary complex structure M_J on M. By Proposition 3.1 the complex vector bundle $\Lambda^{0,i}(M_J)$ is a Hodge bundle of weight i on M, and the Dolbeault differential $D : \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,\bullet+1}(M_J)$ is weakly Hodge. Therefore D admits an H-type decomposition $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$.

3.2.3. Consider the de Rham complex $\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the smooth manifold M. Let $\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C}) = \oplus_{p+q}\Lambda^{p,q}(M_J)$ be the Hodge type decomposition for the complementary complex structure M_J on M, and let $\nu : \Lambda^{p,q}(M_J) \to \overline{\Lambda^{q,p}(M_J)}$ be the usual complex conjugation. Denote also

$$f^\nu = \nu \circ f \circ \nu^{-1}$$

for any map $f : \Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$.

Let $d_M : \Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the de Rham differential, and let $d_M = D + D^\nu$ be the Hodge type decomposition for the complementary complex structure M_J on M. Since the Dolbeault differential, in turn, equals $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$, we have

$$d_M = D_0 + \overline{D_0} + D_0^\nu + \overline{D_0}^\nu.$$

3.2.4. Let $\bar\partial_I : \Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the Dolbeault differential for the preferred complex structure M_I on M. As shown in the proof of Lemma 3.1.3, the $(0,1)$-component of the differential $\bar\partial_I$ with respect to the complex structure M_J equals D_0. Therefore the $(1,0)$-component of the complex-conjugate map $\partial_I = \bar\partial_I^\nu$ equals D_0^ν. Since $d_M = \bar\partial_I + \partial_I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\partial_I &= D_0 + \overline{D_0}^\nu\\ \partial_I &= \overline{D_0} + D_0^\nu\end{aligned}$$

3.2.5. The standard isomorphism $H : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I)$ introduced in 3.1.3 extends uniquely to a bigraded algebra isomorphism $H : \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(M_I)$. By definition of the map H, on $\Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\partial_I &= H \circ D_0 \circ H^{-1}\\ \partial_I &= H \circ D_0^\nu \circ H^{-1}\\ d_M = \partial_I + \bar\partial_I &= H \circ (D_0 + D_0^\nu) H^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The right hand side of the last identity is the algebra derivation of the de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4.1 it holds not only on $\Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C})$, but on the whole $\Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$. The Hodge type decomposition for the preferred complex structure M_I then shows that the first two identities also hold on the whole de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$.

3.2.6. Let now $\xi = I(\sqrt{-1}) : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{1,0}(M_J)$ be the operator corresponding to the preferred almost complex structure M_I on M. Let also $\xi = 0$ on $\Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ and $\Lambda^{1,0}(M_J)$, and extend ξ to a derivation $\xi : \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet-1,\bullet+1}(M_J)$ by the Leibniz rule. We finish this subsection with the following simple fact.

Lemma. *On* $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(M_J) \subset \Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\xi \circ D_0 + D_0 \circ \xi &= \overline{D}_0^\nu\\ \xi \circ \overline{D}_0 + \overline{D}_0 \circ \xi &= -D_0^\nu.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that both identities hold on $\Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C})$. But both sides of these identities are algebra derivations of $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(M_J)$, and the right hand sides are holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2. Therefore by Lemma 2.4.1 both identities hold on the whole $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(M_J)$. □

3.3. Polarized Hodge manifolds

3.3.1. Let M be a quaternionic manifold. A Riemannian metric h on M is called *Quaternionic-Hermitian* if for every point $m \in M$ the induces metric h_m on the tangent bundle $T_m M$ is Quaternionic-Hermitian in the sense of 1.5.1.

Definition. A *hyperkähler manifold* is a hypercomplex manifold M equipped with a Quaternionic-Hermitian metric h which is Kähler for both integrable almost complex structures M_I, M_J on M.

Remark. In the usual definition (see, e.g., [B]) the integrability of the almost complex structures M_I, M_J is omitted, since it is automatically implied by the Kähler condition.

3.3.2. Let M be a Hodge manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric h. The metric h is called *Hermitian-Hodge* if it is Quaternionic-Hermitian and, in addition, invariant under the $U(1)$-action on M.

Definition. Say that the manifold M is *polarized* by the Hermitian-Hodge metric h if h is not only Quaternionic-Hermitian, but also hyperkähler.

3.3.3. Let M be a Hodge manifold. By Proposition 3.1 the holomorphic cotangent bundle $\Lambda^{1,0}(M_J)$ for the complementary complex structure M_J on M is a Hodge bundle of weight 1. The holomorphic tangent bundle $\Theta(M_J)$ is therefore a Hodge bundle of weight -1. By 1.5.4 the set of all Hermitian-Hodge metrics h on M is in natural one-to-one correspondence with the set of all polarizations on the Hodge bundle $\Theta(M_J)$.

Since $\theta(M)$ is of odd weight, its polarizations are skew-symmetric as bilinear forms and correspond therefore to smooth $(2,0)$-forms on the complex manifold M_J. A $(2,0)$-form Ω defines a polarization on $\Theta(M_J)$ if and only if $\Omega \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{2,0}(M_J))$ considered as a map

$$\Omega : \mathbb{R}(-1) \to \Lambda^{2,0}(M_J)$$

is a map of weight 2 Hodge bundles, and for an arbitrary smooth section $\chi \in C^\infty(M, \Theta(M_J))$ we have

$$\Omega(\chi, \overline{\iota^*(\chi)}) > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

3.3.4. Assume that the Hodge manifold M is equipped with an Hermitian-Hodge metric h. Let $\Omega \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{2,0}(M_J))$ be the corresponding polarization, and let $\omega_I \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{1,1}(M_I))$ be the $(1,1)$-form on the complex manifold M_I associated to the Hermitian metric h. Either one of the forms Ω, ω_I completely defines the metric h, and by (1.4) we have

$$\Omega + \nu(\Omega) = \omega_I,$$

where $\nu : \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the complex conjugation.

Lemma. *The Hermitian-Hodge metric h polarizes M if and only if the corresponding $(2,0)$-form Ω on M_J is holomorphic, that is,*

$$D\Omega = 0,$$

where D is the Dolbeault differential for complementary complex structure M_J.

Proof. Let $\omega_I, \omega_J \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ be the Kähler forms for the metric h and complex structures M_I, M_J on M. The metric h is hyperkähler, hence polarizes M, if and only if $d_M\omega_I = d_M\omega_J = 0$.

Let $D = D_0 + \overline{D_0}$ be the H-type decomposition and let $H : \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(M_J)$ be the standard algebra identification introduced in 3.2.5. By definition $H(\omega_I) = \omega_J$. Moreover, by (3.1) $H^{-1} \circ d_M \circ H = D_0 + \overline{D_0}^{\nu}$, hence

$$H(d_M\omega_J) = D_0\omega_I + \overline{D_0}^{\nu}\omega_I,$$

and the metric h is hyperkähler if and only if

$$d_M\omega_I = (D_0 + \overline{D_0}^{\nu})\omega_I = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

But $2\omega_I = \Omega + \nu(\Omega)$. Since Ω is of Hodge type $(2, 0)$ with respect to the complementary complex structure M_J, (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\overline{D_0}\Omega = D_0\Omega = \overline{D_0}^{\nu}\Omega = D_0^{\nu}\Omega = 0.$$

Moreover, let ξ be as in Lemma 3.2.6. Then $\xi(\Omega) = 0$, and by (3.2) $D_0\Omega = \overline{D}_0\Omega = 0$ implies that $D_0^{\nu}\Omega = \overline{D}_0^{\nu}\Omega = 0$ as well. It remains to notice that since the metric h is Hermitian-Hodge, Ω is of H-type $(1, 1)$ as a section of the weight 2 Hodge bundle $\Lambda^{2,0}(M_J)$. Therefore $D\Omega = 0$ implies $\overline{D_0}\Omega = D_0\Omega = 0$, and this proves the lemma. $\square$

Remark. This statement is wrong for general hyperkähler manifolds (everything in the given proof carries over, except for the implication $D\Omega = 0 \Rightarrow D_0\Omega = \overline{D_0}\Omega = \overline{D_0}^{\nu}\Omega = D_0^{\nu}\Omega = 0$, which depends substantially on the $U(1)$-action). To describe general hyperkähler metrics in holomorphic terms, one has to introduce the so-called *twistor spaces* (see, e.g., [HKLR]).

4. Regular Hodge manifolds

4.1. Regular stable points

4.1.1. Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a smooth $U(1)$-action with differential φ_M (thus φ_M is a smooth vector field on M). Since the group $U(1)$ is compact, the subset $M^{U(1)} \subset M$ of points fixed under $U(1)$ is a smooth submanifold.

Let $m \in M^{U(1)} \subset M$ be a point fixed under $U(1)$. Consider the representation of $U(1)$ on the tangent space T_m to M at m. Call the fixed point m *regular* if every irreducible subrepresentation of T_m is either trivial or isomorphic to the representation on $\mathbb{C}$ given by embedding $U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. (Here $\mathbb{C}$ is considered as a 2-dimensional real vector space.) Regular fixed points form a union of connected component of the smooth submanifold $M^{U(1)} \subset M$.

4.1.2. Assume that M is equipped with a complex structure preserved by the $U(1)$-action. Call a point $m \in M$ *stable* if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$ there exists $\exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)m$, and the limit

$$m_0 \in M, m_0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)m$$

also exists.

4.1.3. For every stable point $m \in M$ the limit m_0 is obviously fixed under $U(1)$. Call a point $m \in M$ *regular stable* if it is stable and the limit $m_0 \in M^{U(1)}$ is a regular fixed point.

Denote by $M^{reg} \subset M$ the subset of all regular stable points. The subset M^{reg} is open in M.

Example. Let Y be a complex manifold with a holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$ and let E be the total space of $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on M by dilatation along the fibers. Then every point $e \in E$ is regular stable.

4.1.4. Let M be a Hodge manifold. Recall that the $U(1)$-action on M preserves the preferred complex structure M_I.

Definition. A Hodge manifold M is called *regular* if $M_I^{reg} = M_I$.

4.2. Linearization of regular Hodge manifolds

4.2.1. Consider a regular Hodge manifold M. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disk equipped with the multiplicative semigroup structure. The group $U(1) \subset \Delta$ is embedded into Δ as the boundary circle.

Lemma. *The action $a : U(1) \times M \to M$ extends uniquely to a holomorphic action $\tilde{a} : \Delta \times M_I \to M_I$. Moreover, for every $x \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ the action map $\widetilde{a}(x) : M_I \to M_I$ is an open embedding.*

Proof. Since M is regular, the exponential flow $\exp(it\varphi_M)$ of the differential φ_M of the action is defined for all positive $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $a : U(1) \times M \to M$ extends uniquely to a holomorphic action

$$\tilde{a} : \Delta^* \times M_I \to M_I,$$

where $\Delta^* = \Delta \backslash \{0\}$ is the punctured disk. Moreover, the exponential flow converges as $t \to +\infty$, therefore $\tilde{a}$ extends to $\Delta \times M_I$ continuously. Since this extension is holomorphic on a dense open subset, it is holomorphic everywhere. This proves the first claim.

To prove the second claim, consider the subset $\widetilde{\Delta} \subset \Delta^*$ of points $x \in \Delta$ such that $\widetilde{a}(x)$ is injective and étale. The subset $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is closed under multiplication and contains the unit circle $U(1) \subset \Delta^*$. Therefore to prove that $\widetilde{\Delta} = \Delta^*$, it suffices to prove that $\widetilde{\Delta}$ contains the interval $]0,1] \subset \Delta^*$.

By definition we have $\widetilde{a}(h) = \exp(-\sqrt{-1}\log h\varphi_M)$ for every $h \in]0,1] \subset \Delta^*$. Thus we have to prove that if for some $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$ and for two points $m_1, m_2 \in M$ we have

$$\exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)(m_1) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)(m_2),$$

then $m_1 = m_2$. Let m_1, m_2 be such two points and let

$$t = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0, \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)(m_1) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)(m_2)\}.$$

If the point $m_0 = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)(m_1) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)(m_2) \in M$ is not $U(1)$-invariant, then it is a regular point for the vector field $\sqrt{-1}\varphi_M$, and by the theory of ordinary differential equations we have $t = 0$ and $m_1 = m_2 = m_0$.

Assume therefore that $m_0 \in M^{U(1)}$ is $U(1)$-invariant. Since the group $U(1)$ is compact, the vector field $\sqrt{-1}\varphi_M$ has only a simple zero at $m_0 \subset M^{U(1)} \subset M$. Therefore $m_0 = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t\varphi_M)m_1$ implies that the point $m_1 \in M$ also is $U(1)$-invariant, and the same is true for the point $m_2 \in M$. But $\widetilde{a}(\exp t)$ acts by identity on $M^{U(1)} \subset M$. Therefore in this case we also have $m_1 = m_2 = m_0$. □

4.2.2. Let $V = M_I^{U(1)} \subset M_I$ be the submanifold of fixed points of the $U(1)$ action. Since the action preserves the complex structure on M_I, the submanifold V is complex.

Lemma. *There exists a unique $U(1)$-invariant holomorphic map*

$$\rho_M : M_I \to V$$

such that $\rho_M|_V = \mathsf{id}$.

Proof. For every point $m \in M$ we must have $\rho_M(m) = \lim_{t\to+\infty} \exp(it\varphi_M)$, which proves uniqueness.

To prove that ρ_M thus defined is indeed holomorphic, notice that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_I \arrow[r, "0\times\mathsf{id}"] \arrow[d, "\rho_M"'] & \Delta \times M \arrow[d, "\tilde{a}"] \\
V \arrow[r] & M_I
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Since the action $\tilde{a} : \Delta \times M_I \to M_I$ is holomorphic, so is the map ρ_M. □

4.2.3. Call the canonical map $\rho_M : M_I \to M_I^{U(1)}$ the *canonical projection* of the regular Hodge manifold M onto the submanifold $V \subset M$ of fixed points.

Lemma. *The canonical projection $\rho_M : M \to M^{U(1)}$ is submersive, that is, for every point $m \in M$ the differential $d\rho_M : T_mM \to T_{\rho(m)}M^{U(1)}$ of the map ρ_M at m is surjective.*

Proof. Since $\rho_M|_{M^{U(1)}} = \mathsf{id}$, the differential $d\rho_M$ is surjective at points $m \in V \subset M$. Therefore it is surjective on an open neighborhood $U \supset V$ of V in M. For any point $m \in M$ there exists a point $x \in \Delta$ such that $x{\bullet}m \in U$. Since ρ_M is Δ-invariant, this implies that $d\rho_M$ is surjective everywhere on M. □

4.2.4. Let $\Theta(M/V)$ be the relative tangent bundle of the holomorphic map $\rho : M \to V$. Let $\Theta(M)$ and $\Theta(V)$ be the tangent bundles of M and V and consider the canonical exact sequence of complex bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \Theta(M/V) \longrightarrow \Theta(M) \xrightarrow{d\rho_M} \rho^*\Theta(V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $d\rho_M$ is the differential of the projection $\rho_M : M \to V$.

The quaternionic structure on M defines a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $j : \Theta(M) \to \overline{\Theta}(M)$. Restricting to $\Theta(M/V)$ and composing with $d\rho_M$, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $j : \Theta(M/V) \to \rho^*\overline{\Theta}(V)$.

4.2.5. Let $\overline{T}V$ be the total space of the bundle $\overline{\Theta}(V)$ complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle $\Theta(V)$, and let $\rho : \overline{T}V \to V$ be the projection. Let the group $U(1)$ act on $\overline{T}V$ by dilatation along the fibers of the projection ρ.

Since the canonical projection $\rho_M : M \to V$ is $U(1)$-invariant, the differential φ_M of the $U(1)$-action defines a section

$$\varphi_M \in C^\infty(M, \Theta(V/M)).$$

The section $j(\varphi_M) \in C^\infty(M, \rho_M^*\overline{\Theta}(V))$ defines a map $\operatorname{Lin}_M : M \to \overline{T}V$ such that $\operatorname{Lin}_M \circ \rho = \rho_M : M \to V$. Call the map Lin_M *the linearization* of the regular Hodge manifold M.

Proposition 4.1 *The linearization map* Lin_M *is a* $U(1)$*-equivariant open embedding.*

Proof. The map $j : \Theta(M/V) \to \rho^*\overline{\Theta}(V)$ is of degree 1 with respect to the $U(1)$-action, while the section $\varphi_M \in C^\infty(M, \Theta(M/V))$ is $U(1)$-invariant. Therefore the map Lin_M is $U(1)$-equivariant.

Consider the differential $d\operatorname{Lin}_M : T_m(M) \to T_m(\overline{T}V)$ at a point $m \in V \subset M$. We have

$$d\operatorname{Lin}_M = d\rho_M \oplus d\rho_M \circ j : T_m(M) \to T_m(V) \oplus \overline{T}_m(V)$$

with respect to the decomposition $T_m(\overline{T}V) = T_m(V) \oplus \overline{T}(V)$. The tangent space T_m is a regular quaternionic vector space. Therefore the map $d\operatorname{Lin}_M$ is bijective at m by Lemma 1.1.7. Since Lin_M is bijective on V, this implies that Lin_M is an open embedding on an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ of the submanifold $V \subset M$.

To finish the proof of proposition, it suffices prove that the linearization map $\operatorname{Lin}_M : M_I \to \overline{T}V$ is injective and étale on the whole M_I. To prove injectivity, consider arbitrary two points $m_1, m_2 \in M_I$ such that $\operatorname{Lin}_M(m_1) = \operatorname{Lin}_M(m_2)$. There exists a point $x \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x{\cdot}m_1, x{\cdot}m_2 \in U$. The map Lin_M is $U(1)$-equivariant and holomorphic, therefore it is Δ-equivariant, and we have

$$\operatorname{Lin}_M(x{\cdot}m_1) = x{\cdot}\operatorname{Lin}_M(m_1) = x{\cdot}\operatorname{Lin}_M(m_2) = \operatorname{Lin}_M(x{\cdot}m_2).$$

Since the map $\operatorname{Lin}_M : U \to \overline{T}V$ is injective, this implies that $x{\cdot}m_1 = x{\cdot}m_2$. By Lemma 4.2.1 the action map $x : M_I \to M_I$ is injective. Therefore this is possible only if $m_1 = m_2$, which proves injectivity.

To prove that the linearization map is étale, note that by Lemma 4.2.1 the action map $x : M_I \to M_I$ is not only injective, but also étale. Since Lin_M is ëtale on U, so is the composition $\operatorname{Lin}_M \circ x : M_I \to U \to \overline{T}V$ is étale. Since $\operatorname{Lin}_M \circ x = x \circ \operatorname{Lin}_M$, the map $\operatorname{Lin}_M : M_I \to \overline{T}V$ is étale at the point $m_1 \in M_I$.

Thus the linearization map is also injective and étale on the whole of M_I. Hence it is indeed an open embedding, which proves the propostion. □

4.3. Linear Hodge manifold structures

4.3.1. By Proposition 4.1 every regular Hodge manifold M admits a canonical open embedding $\operatorname{Lin}_M : M \to \overline{T}V$ into the total space $\overline{T}V$ of the (complex-conjugate) tangent bundle to its fixed points submanifold $V \subset M$. This embedding induces a Hodge manifold structure on a neighborhood of the zero section $V \subset \overline{T}V$.

In order to use the linearization construction, we will need a characterization of all Hodge manifold structures on neighborhoods of $V \subset \overline{T}V$ obtained in this way (see 4.3.5). It is convenient to begin with an invariant characterization of the linearization map $\operatorname{Lin}_M : M \to \overline{T}V$.

4.3.2. Let V be an arbitrary complex manifold, let $\overline{T}V$ be the total space of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle $\Theta(V)$ to V, and let $\rho : \overline{T}V \to V$ be the canonical projection. Contraction with the tautological section of the bundle $\rho^*\overline{\Theta(V)}$ defines for every p a bundle map

$$\tau : \rho^*\Lambda^{p+1}(V,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^p(V,\mathbb{C}),$$

which we call *the tautological map.* In particular, the induced map

$$\tau : C^\infty(V,\Lambda^{0,1}(V)) \to C^\infty(\overline{T}V,\mathbb{C})$$

identifies the space $C^\infty(V,\Lambda^{0,1}(V))$ of smooth $(0,1)$-forms on V with the subspace in $C^\infty(\overline{T}V,\mathbb{C})$ of function linear along the fibers of the projection $\overline{T}V \to V$.

4.3.3. Let now M be a Hodge manifold. Let $V \subset M_I$ be the complex submanifold of $U(1)$-fixed points, and let $\rho_M : M \to V$ be the canonical projection. Assume that M is equipped with a smooth $U(1)$-equivariant map $f : M \to \overline{T}V$ such that $\rho_M = \rho \circ f$. Let $\bar\partial_I$ be the Dolbeault differential for the preferred complex structure M_I on M, and let $\varphi \in \Theta(M/V)$ be the differential of the $U(1)$-action on M. Let also $j : \Lambda^{0,1}(M_I) \to \Lambda^{1,0}(M_I)$ be the map induced by the quaternionic structure on M.

Lemma. *The map $f : M \to \overline{T}V$ coincides with the linearization map if and only if for every $(0,1)$-form $\alpha \in C^\infty(V,\Lambda^{0,1}(V))$ we have*

$$f^*\tau(\alpha) = \langle\varphi, j(\rho_M^*\alpha)\rangle. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, if $f = \operatorname{Lin}_M$, then we have

$$f^*\tau(\beta) = \langle\varphi, j(f^*\beta)\rangle \tag{4.2}$$

for every smooth section $\beta \in C^\infty(\overline{T}V, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. Since functions on $\overline{T}V$ linear along the fibers separate points, the correspondence

$$f^* \circ \tau : C^\infty(V,\Lambda^{0,1}(V)) \to C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$$

characterizes the map f uniquely, which proves the “only if” part of the first claim. Since by assumption $\rho_M = \rho \circ f$, the equality (4.1) is a particular case of (4.2) with $\beta = \rho^*\alpha$. Therefore the "if" part of the first claim follows from the second claim, which is a rewriting of the definition of the linearization map $\mathrm{Lin}_M : M \to \overline{T}V$ (see 4.2.5). $\square$

4.3.4. Let now $\mathrm{Lin}_M : M \to \overline{T}V$ be the linearization map for the regular Hodge manifold M. Denote by $U \subset \overline{T}V$ the image of Lin_M. The subset $U \subset \overline{T}V$ is open and $U(1)$-invariant. In addition, the isomorphism $\mathrm{Lin}_M : M \to U$ induces a regular Hodge manifold structure on U.

Donote by Lin_U the linearization map for the regular Hodge manifold U. Lemma 4.3.3 implies the following.

Corollary. *We have* $\mathrm{Lin}_M \circ \mathrm{Lin}_U = \mathrm{Lin}_M$*, thus the linearization map* $\mathrm{Lin}_U : U \to \overline{T}V$ *coincides with the given embedding* $U \hookrightarrow \overline{T}V$*.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in C^\infty(V, \Lambda^{0,1}(V)$ be a $(0,1)$-form on V. By Lemma 4.3.3 we have $\mathrm{Lin}_U^* \tau(\alpha) = \langle \varphi_U, j_U(\rho_U^*\alpha)\rangle$, and it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{Lin}_M^*(\mathrm{Lin}_U^*(\tau(\alpha))) = \langle \varphi_M, j_M(\rho_M^*\alpha)\rangle.$$

By definition we have $\rho_M = \rho_U \circ \mathrm{Lin}_M$. Moreover, the map Lin_M is $U(1)$-equivariant, therefore it sends φ_M to φ_U. Finally, by definition it commutes with the quaternionic structure map j. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Lin}_M^*(\mathrm{Lin}_U^*(\tau(\alpha))) = \mathrm{Lin}_M^*(\langle \varphi_U, j_U(\rho_U^*\alpha)\rangle) = \langle \varphi_M, j_M(\rho_M^*\alpha)\rangle,$$

which proves the corollary. $\square$

4.3.5. Definition. Let $U \subset \overline{T}V$ be an open $U(1)$-invariant neighborhood of the zero section $V \subset \overline{T}V$. A Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}V$ is called *linear* if the associated linearization map $\mathrm{Lin}_U : U \to \overline{T}V$ coincides with the given embedding $U \hookrightarrow \overline{T}V$.

By Corollary 4.3.4 every Hodge manifold structure on a subset $U \subset \overline{T}V$ obtained by the linearization construction is linear.

4.3.6. We finish this section with the following simple observation, which we will need in the next section.

Lemma. *Keep the notations of Lemma* 4.3.3*. Moreover, assume given a subspace* $\mathcal{A} \subset C^\infty(\overline{T}V, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$ *such that the image of* $\mathcal{A}$ *under the restriction map*

$$\mathrm{Res} : C^\infty(\overline{T}V, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C})) \to C^\infty(V, \Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$$

onto the zero section $V \subset \overline{T}V$ *is the whole space* $C^\infty(V, \Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$*. If* (4.2) *holds for every section* $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$*, then it holds for every smooh section*

$$\beta \in C^\infty(\overline{T}V, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C})).$$

Proof. By assumptions sections $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ generate the restriction of the bundle $\rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C})$ onto the zero section $V \subset \overline{T}V$. Therefore there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}V$ of the zero section $V \subset \overline{T}V$ such that the $C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$-submodule

$$C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C}){\cdot}\mathcal{A} \subset C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$$

is dense in the space $C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$ of smooth sections of the pull-back bundle $\rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C})$. Since (4.2) is continuous and linear with respect to multiplication by smooth functions, it holds for all sections $\beta \in C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(\underline{V},\mathbb{C}))$. Since it is also compatible with the natural unit disc action on M and $\overline{T}V$, it holds for all sections $\beta \in C^\infty(\overline{T}V, \rho^*\Lambda^1(V,\mathbb{C}))$ as well. □

5. Tangent bundles as Hodge manifolds

5.1. Hodge connections

5.1.1. The linearization construction reduces the study of arbitrary regular Hodge manifolds to the study of linear Hodge manifold structures on a neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}V$ of the zero section $V \subset \overline{T}V$ of the total space of the complex conjugate to the tangent bundle of a complex manifold V. In this section we use the theory of Hodge bundles developed in Subsection 2.1 in order to describe Hodge manifold structures on U in terms of connections on the locally trivial fibration $U \to V$ of a certain type, which we call *Hodge connections* (see 5.1.7). It is this description, given in Proposition 5.1, which we will use in the latter part of the paper to classify all such Hodge manifold structures.

5.1.2. We begin with some preliminary facts about connections on locally trivial fibrations. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an arbitrary smooth map of smooth manifolds X and Y. Assume that the map f is submersive, that is, the codifferential $\delta_f : f^*\Lambda^1(Y) \to \Lambda^1(X)$ is an injective bundle map. Recall that a *connection* on f is by definition a splitting $\Theta : \Lambda^1(X) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y)$ of the canonical embedding δ_f.

Let d_X be the de Rham differential on the smooth manifold X. Every connection Θ on $f : X \to Y$ defines an algebra derivation

$$D = \Theta \circ d_X : \Lambda^0(X) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y),$$

satisfying

$$D\rho^*h = \rho^* d_Y h \tag{5.1}$$

for every smooth function $h \in C^\infty(Y,\mathbb{R})$. Vice versa, by the universal property of the cotangent bundle (Lemma 2.4.1) every algebra derivation $D : \Lambda^0(X) \to \Lambda^1(Y)$ satisfying (5.1) comes from a unique connection Θ on f.

5.1.3. Recall also that a connection Θ is called *flat* if the associated derivation D extends to an algebra derivation

$$D : f^*\Lambda^\bullet(Y) \to f^*\Lambda^{\bullet+1}(Y)$$

so that $D \circ D = 0$. The splitting $\Theta : \Lambda^1(X) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y)$ extends in this case to an algebra map

$$\Theta : \Lambda^\bullet(X) \to f^*\Lambda^\bullet(Y)$$

compatible with the de Rham differential $d_X : \Lambda^\bullet(X) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(X)$.

5.1.4. We will need a slight generalization of the notion of connection.

Definition. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth submersive morphism of complex manifolds. A $\mathbb{C}$-*valued connection* Θ on f is a splitting $\Theta : \Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C}) \to f^*\Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ of the codifferential map $\delta f : f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ of complex vector bundles. A $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection Θ is called *flat* if the associated algebra derivation

$$D = \Theta \circ d_X : \Lambda^0(X, \mathbb{C}) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C})$$

extends to an algebra derivation

$$D : f^*\Lambda^{\bullet}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \to f^*\Lambda^{\bullet+1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$$

satisfying $D \circ D = 0$.

As in 5.1.2, every derivation $D : \Lambda^0(X, \mathbb{C}) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying (5.1) comes from a unique $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection Θ on $f : X \to Y$.

Remark. By definition for every flat connection on $f : X \to Y$ the subbundle of horizontal vectors in the the tangent bundle $\Theta(X)$ is an involutive distribution. By Frobenius Theorem this implies that the connection defines locally a trivialization of the fibration f.

This is no longer true for flat $\mathbb{C}$-valued connections: the subbundle of horizontal vectors in $\Theta(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is only defined over $\mathbb{C}$, and the Frobenius Theorem does not apply. One can try to correct this by replacing the splitting $\Theta : \Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C})$ with its real part $\operatorname{Re}\Theta : \Lambda^1(X) \to \Lambda^1(Y)$, but this real part is, in general, no longer flat.

5.1.5. For every $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection $\Theta : \Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \to f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C})$ on a fibration $f : X \to Y$ the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\Theta \subset \Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ is canonically isomorphic to the quotient $\Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C})/\delta_f(f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C}))$, and the composition

$$R = \Theta \circ d_X : \Lambda^1(X, \mathbb{C})/\delta_f(f^*\Lambda^1(Y, \mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{Ker}\Theta \to f^*\Lambda^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$$

is in fact a bundle map. This map is called *the curvature* of the $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection Θ. The connection Θ is flat if and only if its curvature R vanishes.

5.1.6. Let now M be a complex manifold, and let $U \subset \overline{T}M$ be an open neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ in the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M. Let $\rho : U \to M$ be the natural projection. Assume that U is invariant with respect to the natural action of the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ on $\overline{T}M$.

5.1.7. Since M is complex, by 2.1.7 the bundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is equipped with a Hodge bundle structure of weight 1. The pullback bundle $\rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is then also equipped with a weight 1 Hodge bundle structure.

Our description of the Hodge manifold structures on the subset $U \in \overline{T}M$ is based on the following notion.

Definition. A *Hodge connection* on the pair $\langle M, U\rangle$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection on $\rho : U \to M$ such that the associated derivation

$$D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

is weakly Hodge in the sense of 2.1.3. A Hodge connection is called *flat* if it extends to a weakly Hodge derivation

$$D : \rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C})$$

satisfying $D \circ D = 0$.

5.1.8. Assume given a flat Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ on the pair $\langle U, M\rangle$, and assume in addition that the derivation D is holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2. Then the pair $\langle D, \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})\rangle$ defines by Proposition 3.1 a Hodge manifold structure on U.

It turns out that every Hodge manifold structure on U can be obtained in this way. Namely, we have the following.

Proposition 5.1 *There correspondence $D \mapsto \langle \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}), D\rangle$ is a bijection between the set of all flat Hodge connections D on the pair $\langle U, M\rangle$ such that $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is holonomic in the sense of* 2.4.2*, and the set of all Hodge manifold structures on the $U(1)$-manifold U such that the projection $\rho : U_I \to M$ is holomorphic for the preferred complex structure U_I on U.*

5.1.9. The crucial part of the proof of Proposition 5.1 is the following observation.

Lemma. *Assume given a Hodge manifold structure on the $U(1)$-manifold $U \subset \overline{T}M$. Let $\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})$ be the codifferential of the projection $\rho : U \to M$, and let $P : \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$ be the canonical projection. The bundle mao given by the composition*

$$P \circ \delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector bundles.

Proof. Since the bundles $\rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ and $\Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$ are of the same rank, and the maps $\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})$ and $P \circ \delta_\rho$ are equivariant with respect to the action of the unit disc on U, it suffices to prove the claim on $M \subset U$. Let $m \in M$ be an arbitrary point, and let $V = T^*_m\overline{T}M$ be the cotangent bundle at m to the Hodge manifold $U \subset \overline{T}M$. Let also $V^0 \subset V$ be the subspace of $U(1)$-invariant vectors in V.

The space V is an equivariant quaternionic vector space. Moreover, the fibers of the bundles $\rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ and $\Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$ at the point m are complex vector spaces, and we have canonical identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})|_m &\cong V^0_I \oplus \overline{V^0_I}, \\ \Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)|_m &\cong V_J. \end{aligned}$$

Under these identifications the map $P \circ \delta_\rho$ at the point m coincides with the action map $V^0_I \oplus \overline{V^0_I} \to V_J$, which is invertible by Lemma 1.1.7. □

5.1.10. By Proposition 3.1 every Hodge manifold structure on U is given by a pair $\langle \mathcal{E}, D\rangle$ of a Hodge bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on U of weight 1 and a holonomic derivation

$D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{E}$. Lemma 5.1.9 gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \cong \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$, so that D becomes a flat $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection on U over M. To prove Proposition 5.1 it suffices now to prove the following.

Lemma. *The complex vector bundle isomorphism*

$$P \circ \delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$$

associated to a Hodge manifold structure on U is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures if and only if the projection $\rho : U_I \to M$ is holomorphic for the preferred complex structure U_I on U.

Proof. The preferred complex structure U_I induces a Hodge bundle structure of weight 1 on $\Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ by 2.1.7, and the canonical projection $P : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$ is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures by 2.3.4. If the projection $\rho : U_I \to M$ is holomorphic, then the codifferential $\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ sends the subbundles $\rho^*\Lambda^{1,0}(M), \rho^*\Lambda^{0,1}(M) \subset \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ into, respectively, the subbundles $\Lambda^{1,0}(U_I), \Lambda^{0,1}(U_I) \subset \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore the map $\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures, which implies the "if" part of the lemma.

To prove the "only if" part, assume that $P \circ \delta_\rho$ is a Hodge bundle isomorphism. Since the complex conjugation $\nu : \overline{\Lambda^{0,1}(U_I)} \to \Lambda^{1,0}(U_J)$ is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures, the projection $\overline{P} : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{1,0}(U_J)$ and the composition $\overline{P} \circ \delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{1,0}(U_J)$ are also compatible with the Hodge bundle structures. Therefore the map

$$P \oplus \overline{P} : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{1,0}(U_J) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}(U_J)$$

is a Hodge bundle isomorphism, and the composition

$$\delta_\rho \circ (P \oplus \overline{P}) : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$$

is a Hodge bundle map. Therefore the codifferential $\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures. This means precisely that the projection $\rho : U_I \to M$ is holomorphic, which finishes the proof of the lemma and of Proposition 5.1. □

5.2. The relative de Rham complex of U over M

5.2.1. Keep the notation of the last subsection. To use Proposition 5.1 in the study of Hodge manifold structures on the open subset $U \subset \overline{T}M$, we will need a way to check whether a given Hodge connection on the pair $\langle U, M \rangle$ is holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2. We will also need to rewrite the linearity condition 4.3.5 for a Hodge manifold structure on U in terms of the associated Hodge connection D. To do this, we will use the so-called *relative de Rham complex* of U over M. For the convenience of the reader, and to fix notation, we recall here its definition and main properties.

5.2.2. Since the projection $\rho : U \to M$ is submersive, the codifferential

$$\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$$

is injective. The *relative cotangent bundle* $\Lambda^1(U/M, C)$ is by definition the quotient bundle

$$\Lambda^1(U/M, \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})/\delta_\rho(\rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})).$$

Let $\pi : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U/M, \mathbb{C})$ be the natural projection. We have by definition the short exact sequence

(5.2)
$$0 \longrightarrow \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta_\rho} \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \Lambda^1(U/M, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

of complex vector bundles on U.

5.2.3. The composition $d^r = \pi \circ d_U$ of the de Rham differential d_U with the projection π is an algebra derivation

$$d^r : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U/M, \mathbb{C}),$$

called *the relative de Rham differential*. It is a first order differential operator, and $d^r f = 0$ if and only if the smooth function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ factors through the projection $\rho : U \to M$.

Let $\Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C})$ be the exterior algebra of the bundle $\Lambda^1(U/M, \mathbb{C})$. The projection π extends to an algebra map

$$\pi : \Lambda^\bullet(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}).$$

The differential d^r extends to an algebra derivation

$$d^r : \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(U/M, \mathbb{C})$$

satisfying $d^r \circ d^r = 0$, and we have $\pi \circ d_U = d^r \circ \pi$. The differential graded algebra $\langle \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}), d^r \rangle$ is called *the relative de Rham complex* of U over M.

5.2.4. Since the relative de Rham differential d^r is linear with respect to multiplication by functions of the form $\rho^* f$ with $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$, it extends canonically to an operator

$$d^r : \rho^*\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(U/M, \mathbb{C}).$$

The two-step filtration $\rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ induces a filtration on the de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet(U, \mathbb{C})$, and the i-th associated graded quotient of this filtration is isomorphic to the complex $\langle \rho^*\Lambda^i(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}), d^r \rangle$.

5.2.5. Since $U \subset \overline{T}M$ lies in the total space of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M, we have a canonical algebra isomorphism

$$\mathsf{can} : \overline{\rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})} \to \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let $\tau : C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})) \to C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$ be the tautological map sending a 1-form to the corresponding linear function on $\overline{T}M$, as in 4.3.2. Then for every smooth 1-form $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$ we have

$$\mathsf{can}(\rho^*\alpha) = d^r\tau(\alpha). \tag{5.3}$$

5.2.6. The complex vector bundle $\Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ has a natural real structure, and it is naturally $U(1)$-equivariant. Moreover, the decomposition $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ induces a decomposition

$$\Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C}) = \mathsf{can}(\Lambda^{1,0}(M)) \oplus \mathsf{can}(\Lambda^{0,1}(M)).$$

This allows to define, as in 2.1.7, a canonical Hodge bundle structure of weight 1 on $\Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C})$. It gives rise to a Hodge bundle structure on $\Lambda^i(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ of wieght i, and the relative de Rham differential $d^r : \Lambda^\bullet(U/M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ is weakly Hodge.

5.2.7. The canonical isomorphism $\mathsf{can} : \overline{\rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})} \to \Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ is not compatible with the Hodge bundle structures. The reason for this is that the real structure on the Hodge bundles $\Lambda^\bullet(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ is, by definition 2.1.7, twisted by ι^*, where $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$ is the action of $-1 \in U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, while can is $U(1)$-equivariant, it is not real. To correct this, introduce an involution $\zeta : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} \mathsf{id} & \text{on } \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \subset \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \\ -\mathsf{id} & \text{on } \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \subset \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

and set

$$\eta = \mathsf{can} \circ \rho^*\overline{\zeta} : \rho^*\overline{\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})} \to \rho^*\overline{\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})} \to \Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C}) \tag{5.5}$$

Unlike can, the map η preserves the Hodge bundle structures.

It will also be convenient to twist the tautological map $\tau : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C})$ by the involution ζ. Namely, define a map $\sigma : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\sigma = \tau \circ \rho^*\overline{\zeta} : \rho^*\overline{\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})} \to \rho^*\overline{\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})} \to \Lambda^0(U/M,\mathbb{C}) \tag{5.6}$$

By (5.3) the twisted tautological map σ and the canonical map η satisfy

$$\eta(\rho^*\alpha) = d^r\sigma(\alpha) \tag{5.7}$$

for every smooth 1-form $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$.

5.2.8. Let $\varphi \in \Theta(U)$ be the differential of the canonical $U(1)$-action on $U \subset \overline{T}M$. The vector field φ is real and tangent to the fibers of the projection $\rho : U \to M$. Therefore the contraction with φ defines an algebra derivation

$$\begin{gathered} \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(U/M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(U/M,\mathbb{C}) \\ \alpha \mapsto \langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle \end{gathered}$$

The following lemma gives a relation between this derivation, the canonical weakly Hodge map $\eta : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ given by (5.5), and the tautological map $\tau : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. *For every smooth section* $\alpha \in C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ *we have*

$$\sqrt{-1}\tau(\alpha) = \langle \varphi, \eta(\alpha) \rangle \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Since the equality that we are to prove is linear with respect to multiplication by smooth functions on U, we may assume that the section α is the pull-back of a smooth 1-form $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$. The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_\varphi : \Lambda^\bullet(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(U, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the vector field φ is compatible with the projection $\pi : \Lambda^\bullet(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C})$ and defines therefore an algebra derivation $\mathcal{L}_\varphi : \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(U/M, \mathbb{C})$. The Cartan homotopy formula gives

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi \tau(\alpha) = \langle \varphi, d^r \tau(\alpha) \rangle. \tag{5.8}$$

The function $\tau(\alpha)$ on $\overline{T}M$ is by definition $\mathbb{R}$-linear along the fibers of the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$. The subspace $\tau(C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))) \subset C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ of such functions decomposes as

$$\tau(C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))) = \tau(C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{1,0}(M))) \oplus \tau(C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{0,1}(M, \mathbb{C}))),$$

and the group $U(1)$ acts on the components with weight 1 and -1. Therefore the derivative $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ of the $U(1)$-action acts on the components by multiplication with $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$. By definition of the involution ζ (see (5.4)) this can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi \tau(\alpha) = \sqrt{-1}\tau(\zeta(\alpha)). \tag{5.9}$$

On the other hand, by (5.3) and the definition of the map η we have

$$d^r \tau(\alpha) = \mathsf{can}(\alpha) = \eta(\zeta(\alpha)). \tag{5.10}$$

Substituting (5.9) and (5.10) into (5.8) gives

$$\sqrt{-1}\tau(\zeta(\alpha)) = \langle \varphi, \eta(\zeta(\alpha)),$$

which is equivalent to the claim of the lemma. □

5.3. Holonomic Hodge connections

5.3.1. We will now describe a convenient way to check whether a given Hodge connection D on the pair $\langle U, M \rangle$ is holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2. To do this, we proceed as follows.

Consider the restriction $\Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})|_M$ of the bundle $\Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ to the zero section $M \subset U \subset \overline{T}M$, and let

$$\mathrm{Res} : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})|_M \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

be the restriction map. The kernel of the map Res coincides with the conormal bundle to the zero section $M \subset U$, which we denote by $S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. The map Res splits the restriction of exact sequence (5.2) onto the zero section $M \subset U$, and we have the direct sum decomposition

$$\Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})|_M = S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}). \tag{5.11}$$

5.3.2. The $U(1)$-action on $U \subset \overline{T}M$ leaves the zero section $M \subset U$ invariant and defines therefore a $U(1)$-action on the conormal bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$. Together with the usual real structure twisted by the action of the map $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$, this defines a Hodge bundle structure of weight 0 on the bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$.

Note that the automorphism $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$ acts as $-\mathsf{id}$ on the Hodge bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$, so that the real structure on $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is minus the usual one. Moreover, as a complex vector bundle the conormal bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to $M \subset \overline{T}M$ is canonically isomorphic to the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$. The Hodge type grading on $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is given in terms of this isomorphism by

$$S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = S^{1,-1}(M) \oplus S^{-1,1}(M) \cong \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}(M) = \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}).$$

5.3.3. Let

$$C^\infty_{lin}(U,\mathbb{C}) = \tau(C^\infty(M,\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))) \subset C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$$

be the subspace of smooth functions linear along the fibers of the canonical projection $\rho : U \subset \overline{T}M \to M$. The relative de Rham differential defines an isomorphism

$$d^r : C^\infty_{lin}(U,\mathbb{C}) \to C^\infty(M,S^1(M,\mathbb{C})). \tag{5.12}$$

This isomorphism is compatible with the canonical Hodge structures of weight 0 on both spaces, and it is linear with respect to multiplication by smooth functions $f \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$.

5.3.4. Let now $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ be a Hodge connection on the pair $\langle U,M\rangle$, and let $\Theta : \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the corresponding bundles map. Since D is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued connection, the restriction $\Theta|_M$ onto the zero section $M \subset M$ decomposes as

$$\Theta = D_0 \oplus \mathsf{id} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \tag{5.13}$$

with respect to the direct sum decomposition (5.11) for a certain bundle map $D_0 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$.

Definition. The bundle map $D_0 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is called the *principal part* of the Hodge connection D.

5.3.5. Consider the map $D_0 : C^\infty(M,S^1(M,\mathbb{C})) \to C^\infty(M,\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$ on the spaces of smooth sections induced by the principal part D_0 of a Hodge connection D. Under the isomorphism (5.12) this map coincides with the restriction of the composition

$$\operatorname{Res}\circ D : C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C}) \to C^\infty(U,\rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})) \to C^\infty(M,\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$$

onto the subspace $C^\infty_{lin}(U,\mathbb{C}) \subset C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$. Each of the maps Res, D is weakly Hodge, so that this composition also is weakly Hodge. Since the isomorphism

(5.12) is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures, this implies that the principal part D_0 of the Hodge connection D is a weakly Hodge bundle map. In particular, it is purely imaginary with respect to the usual real structure on the conormal bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$.

5.3.6. We can now formulate the main result of this subsection.

Lemma. *A Hodge connection* $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ *on the pair* $\langle U, M\rangle$ *is holonomic in the sense of* 2.4.2 *on an open neighborhood* $U_0 \subset U$ *of the zero section* $M \subset U$ *if and only if its principal part* $D_0 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ *is a complex vector bundle isomorphism.*

Proof. By definition the derivation $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2 if and only if the corresponding map

$$\Theta : \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{R}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism of real vector bundles. This is an open condition. Therefore the derivation D is holonomic on an open neighborhood $U_0 \supset M$ of the zero section $M \subset U$ if and only if the map Θ is an isomorphism on the zero section $M \subset U$ itself.

According to (5.13), the restriction $\Theta|_M$ decomposes as $\Theta_M = D_0 + \mathsf{id}$, and the principal part $D_0 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ of the Hodge connection D is purely imaginary with respect to the usual real structure on $\Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})|_M$, while the identity map $\mathsf{id} : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is, of course, real. Therefore Θ_M is an isomorphism if and only is D_0 is an isomorphism, which proves the lemma. ☐

5.4. Hodge connections and linearity

5.4.1. Assume now given a Hodge manifold structure on the subset $U \subset \overline{T}M$, and let $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the associated Hodge connection on the pair $\langle U, M\rangle$ given by Proposition 5.1. We now proceed to rewrite the linearity condition 4.3.5 in terms of the Hodge connection D.

Let $j : \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \overline{\Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})}$ be the canonical map defined by the quaternionic structure on U, and let $\iota^* : \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \iota^*\Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})$ be the action of the canonical involution $\iota : U \to U$. Let also $D^\iota : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the operator ι^*-conjugate to the Hodge connection D.

We begin with the following identity.

Lemma. *For every smooth function* $f \in C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$ *we have*

$$d^r f = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\pi(j(\delta_\rho(D - D^\iota)(f))),$$

where $\pi : \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U/M,\mathbb{C})$ *is the canonical projection, and*

$$\delta_\rho : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})$$

is the codifferential of the projection $\rho : U \to M$.

Proof. By definition of the Hodge connection D the Dolbeault derivative $\bar\partial_J f$ coincides with the $(0,1)$-component of the 1-form $\delta_\rho(Df) \in \Lambda^1(U,\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the complementary complex structure U_J on U. Therefore

$$\bar\partial_J f = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\rho(Df) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} j(\delta_\rho(Df)).$$

Applying the complex conjugation $\nu : \Lambda^\bullet(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \overline{\Lambda^\bullet(U,\mathbb{C})}$ to this equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_J f &= \nu\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_\rho(D\nu(f)) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} j(\delta_\rho(D\nu(f)))\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\nu(\delta_\rho(D\nu(f))) - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} j(\nu(\delta_\rho(D\nu(f)))).\end{aligned}$$

Since the map $\delta_\rho \circ D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is weakly Hodge, we have

$$\delta_\rho(D(\iota^*\nu(f))) = \iota^*\nu(\delta_\rho(Df)).$$

Therefore $\nu(\delta_\rho(D(f))) = \delta_\rho(D^\iota(\nu(f)))$, and we have

$$\partial_J f = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\rho(D^\iota f) - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} j(\delta_\rho(D^\iota f)).$$

Thus the de Rham derivative $d_U f$ equals

$$d_U f = \partial_J f + \bar\partial_J f = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\rho((D + D^\iota)f) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} j(\delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)f)).$$

Now, by definition $\delta_\rho \circ \pi = 0$. Therefore

$$d^r f = \pi(d_U f) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\pi(j(\delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)f))),$$

which is the claim of the lemma. □

5.4.2. We will also need the following fact. It can be derived directly from Lemma 5.4.1, but it is more convenient to use Lemma 5.3.6 and the fact that the Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U,\mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is holonomic.

Lemma. *In the notation of Lemma* 5.4.1*, let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \delta_\rho\left((D - D^\iota)\left(C^\infty_{lin}(U,\mathbb{C})\right)\right) \subset C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$$

be the subspace of sections $\alpha \in C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$ of the form $\alpha = \delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)f)$, where $f \in C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$ lies in the subspace $C^\infty_{lin}(U,\mathbb{C}) \subset C^\infty(U,\mathbb{C})$ of smooth functions on U linear along the fibers of the projection $\rho : U \to M$. The restriction $\operatorname{Res}(\mathcal{A}) \subset C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$ *of the subspace $\mathcal{A}$ onto the zero section $M \subset U$ is the whole space $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof. Let $D_0 = \operatorname{Res} \circ D : C^\infty_{lin}(U, \mathbb{C}) \to C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ be the principal part of the Hodge connection D in the sense of Definition 5.3.4. Since the canonical automorphism $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$ acts as $-\mathsf{id}$ on $C^\infty_{lin}(U, \mathbb{C})$, we have $D_0^\iota = -D_0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Res}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{Res} \circ (D - D^\iota)\,(C^\infty_{lin}(U, \mathbb{C})) = \\ = (D_0 - D_0^\iota)\,(C^\infty_{lin}(U, \mathbb{C})) = D_0\,(C^\infty_{lin}(U, \mathbb{C}))\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since the Hodge connection D is holonomic, this space coincides with the whole $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ by Lemma 5.3.6. □

5.4.3. We now apply Lemma 5.4.1 to prove the following criterion for the linearity of the Hodge manifold structure on U defined by the Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. *The Hodge manifold structure on $U \subset \overline{T}M$ corresponding to a Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is linear in the sense of* 4.3.5 *if and only if for every smooth function $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ linear along the fibers of the projection $\rho : U \subset \overline{T}M \to M$ we have*

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\left((D - D^\iota)f\right), \tag{5.14}$$

where $\sigma : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C})$ is the twisted tautological map introduced in (5.6)*, and $D^\iota : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the operator ι^*-conjugate to D, as in* 5.4.1.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3.3 the Hodge manifold structure on U is linear if and only if for every $\alpha \in C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ we have

$$\langle \varphi, j(\alpha) \rangle = \tau(\alpha), \tag{5.15}$$

where φ is the differential of the $U(1)$-action on U, $j : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \overline{\Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C})}$ is the operator given by the quaternionic structure on U, and $\tau : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C})$ is the tautological map sending a 1-form on M to the corresponding linear function on $\overline{T}M$, as in 4.3.2. Moreover, by Lemma 5.4.2 and Lemma 4.3.6 the equality (5.15) holds for all smooth sections $\alpha \in C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ if and only if it holds for sections of the form

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)f), \tag{5.16}$$

where $f \in C^\infty_{lin}(U, \mathbb{C}) \subset C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ is linear along the fibers of $\rho : U \to M$.

Let now $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ be a smooth function on U linear along the fibers of $\rho : U \to M$, and let α be as in (5.16). Since φ is a vertical vector field on U over M, we have $\langle \varphi, j(\alpha) \rangle = \langle \varphi, \pi(j(\alpha)) \rangle$, where $\pi : \Lambda^1(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(U/M, \mathbb{C})$ is the canonical projection. By Lemma 5.4.1

$$\langle \varphi, j(\alpha) \rangle = \langle \varphi, \pi(j(\alpha)) \rangle = \langle \varphi, d^r f \rangle. \tag{5.17}$$

Since the function f is linear along the fibers of $\rho : U \to M$, we can assume that $f = \sigma(\beta)$ for a smooth 1-form $\beta \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. Then by (5.7) and by Lemma 5.2.8 the right hand side of (5.17) is equal to

$$\langle \varphi, d^r f \rangle = \langle \varphi, d^r(\sigma(\beta)) \rangle = \langle \varphi, \eta(\beta) \rangle = \sqrt{-1}\tau(\beta).$$

Therefore, (5.15) is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{-1}\tau(\beta) = \tau\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)\sigma(\beta))\right). \tag{5.18}$$

But we have $\tau = \sigma \circ \zeta$, where $\zeta : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the invulution introduced in (5.4). In particular, the map ζ is invertible, so that (5.18) is in turn equivalent to

$$\sigma(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)\sigma(\beta))),$$

or, substituting back $f = \sigma(\beta)$, to

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\delta_\rho((D - D^\iota)f)),$$

which is exactly the condition (5.14). □

5.4.4. Definition. A Hodge connection D on the pair $\langle U, M \rangle$ is called *linear* if it satisfies the condition 5.14.

We can now formulate and prove the following more useful version of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.2 *Every linear Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ on the pair $\langle U, M \rangle$ defines a linear Hodge manifold structure on an open neighborhood $V \subset U$ of the zero section $M \subset U$, and the canonical projection $\rho : V_I \to M$ is holomorphic for the preferred complex structure V_I on V. Vice versa, every such linear Hodge manifold structure on U comes from a unique linear Hodge connection D on the pair $\langle U, M \rangle$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.4.3, to prove this proposition suffices to prove that if a Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is linear, then it is holonomic in the sense of 2.4.2 on a open neighborhood $V \subset U$ of the zero section $M \subset U$. Lemma 5.3.6 reduces this to proving that the principal part $D_0 : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ of a linear Hodge connection $D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is a bundle isomorphism.

Let $D : \Lambda^0(U, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ be such connection. By (5.14) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma \circ (D_0 - D_0^\iota) = \mathsf{id} : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since $\sigma : \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is a bundle isomorphism, so is the bundle map $D_0 - D_0^\iota : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.4.2, we have $D_0 = -D_0^\iota$. Thus $D_0 = \frac{1}{2}(D_0 - D_0^\iota) : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ also is a bundle isomorphism, which proves the proposition. □

6. Formal completions

6.1. Formal Hodge manifolds

6.1.1. Proposition 5.2 reduces the study of arbitrary regular Hodge manifolds to the study of connections of a certain type on a neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}M$ of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ in the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the tangent bundle to a complex manifold M. To obtain further information we will now restrict our attention to the *formal* neighborhood of this zero section. This section contains the appropriate definitions. We study the convergence of our formal series in Section 10.

6.1.2. Let X be a smooth manifold and let $\operatorname{Bun}(X)$ be the category of smooth real vector bundles over X. Let also $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$ be the category with the same objects as $\operatorname{Bun}(X)$ but with differential operators as morphisms.

Consider a closed submanifold $Z \subset X$. For every two real vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ on X the vector space $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ of bundle maps from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ is naturally a module over the ring $C^\infty(X)$ of smooth functions on X. Let $\mathfrak{J}_Z \subset C^\infty(X)$ be the ideal of functions that vanish on Z and let $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ be the $\mathfrak{J}_Z$-adic completion of the $C^\infty(X)$-module $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$.

For any three bundles $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$ the composition map

$$\operatorname{Mult} : \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{G})$$

is $C^\infty(X)$-linear, hence extends to a map

$$\operatorname{Mult} : \operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{G}).$$

Let $\operatorname{Bun}_Z(X)$ be the category with the same objects as $\operatorname{Bun}(X)$ and for every two objects $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Ob}\operatorname{Bun}(X)$ with $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ as the space of maps between $\mathcal{F}$ anf $\mathcal{F}$. The category $\operatorname{Bun}_Z(X)$, as well as $\operatorname{Bun}(X)$, is an additive tensor category.

6.1.3. The space of differential operators $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ is also a $C^\infty(X)$ module, say, by left multiplication. Let $\operatorname{Diff}_Z(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ be its $\mathfrak{J}_Z$-completion. The composition maps in $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$ are no longer $C^\infty(X)$-linear. However, they still are compatible with the $\mathfrak{J}_Z$-adic topology, hence extend to completions. Let $\operatorname{Diff}_Z(X)$ be the category with the same objects as $\operatorname{Bun}(X)$ and with $\operatorname{Diff}_Z(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ as the space of maps between two objects $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Ob}\operatorname{Bun}(X)$.

By construction we have canonical *Z-adic completion functors*

$$\operatorname{Bun}(X) \to \operatorname{Bun}_Z(X) \text{ and } \operatorname{Diff}(X) \to \operatorname{Diff}_Z(X).$$

Call the categories $\operatorname{Bun}_Z(X)$ and $\operatorname{Diff}_Z(X)$ *the Z-adic completions* of the categories $\operatorname{Bun}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$.

6.1.4. When the manifold X is equipped with a smooth action of compact Lie group G fixing the submanifold Z, the completion construction extends to the categories of G-equivariant bundles on M. When $G = U(1)$, the categories $\mathcal{WH}odge(X)$ and $\mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ defined in 2.1.3 also admit canonical completions, denoted by $\mathcal{WH}odge_Z(X)$ and $\mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}_Z(X)$.

6.1.5. Assume now that the manifold X is equipped with a smooth $U(1)$-action fixing the smooth submanifold $Z \subset X$.

Definition. A *formal quaternionic structure* on X along the submanifold $Z \subset X$ is given by an algebra map

$$\mathrm{Mult} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\,\mathrm{Bun}_Z(X)}\left(\Lambda^1(X)\right)$$

from the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ to the algebra $\mathcal{E}nd_{\,\mathrm{Bun}_Z(X)}\left(\Lambda^1(X)\right)$ of endomorphisms of the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(X)$ in the category $\mathrm{Bun}_Z(X)$. A formal quaternionic structure is called *equivariant* if the map Mult is equivariant with respect to the natural $U(1)$-action on both sides.

6.1.6. Note that Lemma 2.4.1 still holds in the situation of formal completions. Consequently, everything in Section 2 carries over word-by-word to the case of formal quaternionic structures. In particular, by Lemma 2.4.3 giving a formal equivariant quaternionic structure on X along Z is equivalent to giving a pair $\langle \mathcal{E}, D\rangle$ of a Hodge bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on X and a holonomic algebra derivation $D : \Lambda^0(X) \to \mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{WH}odge_Z^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$.

6.1.7. The most convenient way to define Hodge manifold structures on X in a formal neighborhood of Z is by means of the Dolbeault complex, as in Proposition 3.1.

Definition. A *formal Hodge manifold structure* on X along Z is a pair of a pre-Hodge bundle $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{WH}odge_Z(X)$ of weight 1 and an algebra derivation $D^{\bullet} : \Lambda^{\bullet}\mathcal{E} \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{WH}odge_Z^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ such that $D^0 : \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}$ is holonomic and $D^0 \circ D^1 = 0$.

6.1.8. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset containing $Z \subset X$. For every Hodge manifold structure on U the Z-adic completion functor defines a formal Hodge manifold structure on X along Z. Call it *the Z-adic completion* of the given structure on U.

Remark. Note that a Hodge manifold structure on U is completely defined by the preferred and the complementary complex structures U_I, U_J, hence always real-analytic by the Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem. Therefore, if two Hodge manifold structures on U have the same completion, they coincide on every connected component of U intersecting Z.

6.2. Formal Hodge manifold structures on tangent bundles

6.2.1. Let now M be a complex manifold, and let $\overline{T}M$ be the total space of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M equipped with an action of $U(1)$by dilatation along the fibers of the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$. All the discussion above applies to the case $X = \overline{T}M$, $Z = M \subset \overline{T}M$. Moreover, the linearity condition in the form given in Lemma 4.3.3 generalizes immediately to the formal case.

Definition. A formal Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$ along M is called *linear* if for every smooth $(0,1)$-form $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{0,1}(M))$ we have

$$\tau(\alpha) = \langle \varphi, j(\rho^*)\rangle \in C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}),$$

where j is the map induced by the formal quaternionic structure on $\overline{T}M$ and φ and τ are as in Lemma 4.3.3.

6.2.2. As in the non-formal case, linear Hodge manifold structures on $\overline{T}M$ along $M \subset \overline{T}M$ can be described in terms of differential operators of certain type.

Definition. A *formal Hodge connection* on $\overline{T}M$ along $M \subset \overline{T}M$ is an algebra derivation

$$D : \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

in $\mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}_M(\overline{T}M)$ such that for every smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ we have $D\rho^* = \rho^* d_M f$, as in (5.1). A formal Hodge connection is called *flat* if it extends to an algebra derivation

$$D : \rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

in $\mathcal{WH}odge^{\mathcal{D}}_M(\overline{T}M)$ such that $D \circ D = 0$. A formal Hodge connection is called *linear* if it satisfies the condition (5.14) of Lemma 5.4.3, that is, for every function $f \in C^\infty_{lin}(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})$ linear along the fibers of the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$ we have

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\left((D - D^\iota)f\right),$$

where $\sigma : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})$ is the twisted tautological map introduced in (5.6), the automorphism $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$ is the multiplication by $-1 \in \mathbb{C}$ on every fiber of the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$, and $D^\iota : \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the operator ι^*-conjugate to D, as in 5.4.1.

The discussion in Section 5 generalizes immediately to the formal case and gives the following.

Lemma. *Linear formal Hodge manifold structures on $\overline{T}M$ along the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ are in a natural one-to-one correspondence with linear flat formal Hodge connections on $\overline{T}M$ along M.*

6.3. The Weil algebra

6.3.1. Let, as before, M be a complex manifold and let $\overline{T}M$ be the total space of the complex conjugate to its tangent bundle, as in 4.2.5. In the remaining part of this section we give a description of the set of all formal Hodge connections on $\overline{T}M$ along M in terms of certain differential operators on M rather than on $\overline{T}M$. We call such operators *extended connections* on M (see 6.4.1 for the definition). Together with a complete classification of extended connections given in the next Section, this description provides a full classification of regular Hodge manifolds "in the formal neighborhood of the subset of $U(1)$-fixed points".

6.3.2. Before we define extended connections in Subsection 6.4), we need to introduce a certain algebra bundle in $\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$ which we call *the Weil algebra.* We begin with some preliminary facts.

Recall (see, e.g., [D1]) that every additive category $\mathcal{A}$ admits a canonical completion $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{A}$ with respect to filtered projective limits. The category $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{A}$

is also additive, and it is tensor if $\mathcal{A}$ was tensor. Objects of the canonical completion $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{A}$ are called *pro-objects in* $\mathcal{A}$.

6.3.3. Let $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$ be the canonical projection. Extend the pullback functor $\rho^* : \operatorname{Bun}(M) \to \operatorname{Bun}(\overline{T}M)$ to a functor

$$\rho^* : \operatorname{Bun}(M) \to \operatorname{Bun}_M(\overline{T}M)$$

to the M-adic completion $\operatorname{Bun}_M(\overline{T}M)$. The functor ρ^* admits a right adjoint direct image functor

$$\rho_* : \operatorname{Bun}_M(\overline{T}M) \to \underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\operatorname{Bun}(M).$$

Moreover, the functor ρ_* extends to a functor

$$\rho_* : \operatorname{Diff}_M(\overline{T}M) \to \underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\operatorname{Diff}(M).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C}) = \rho_*\Lambda^0(\overline{T}M)$ the direct image under the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$ of the trivial bundle $\Lambda^0(\overline{T}M)$ on $\overline{T}M$.

The compact Lie group $U(1)$ acts on $\overline{T}M$ by dilatation along the fibers, and the functor $\rho_* : \operatorname{Diff}_M(\overline{T}M) \to \underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ obviously extends to a functor $\rho_* : \mathcal{WH}odge_M^{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{T}M) \to \underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$. The restriction of ρ_* to the subcategory $\mathcal{WH}odge_M(\overline{T}M) \subset \mathcal{WH}odge_M^{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{T}M)$ is adjoint on the right to the pullback functor $\rho^* : \mathcal{WH}odge(M) \to \mathcal{WH}odge_M(\overline{T}M)$.

6.3.4. The constant bundle $\Lambda^0(\overline{T}M)$ is canonically a Hodge bundle of weight 0. Therefore $\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C}) = \rho_*\Lambda^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ is also a Hodge bundle of weight 0. Moreover, it is a commutative algebra bundle in $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{WH}odge_0(M)$. Let $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the conormal bundle to the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ equipped with a Hodge bundle structure of weight 0 as in 5.3.2, and denote by $S^i(M,\mathbb{C})$ the i-th symmetric power of the Hodge bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$. Then the algebra bundle $\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ in $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{WH}odge_0(M)$ is canonically isomorphic

$$\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \widehat{S}^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$$

to the completion $\widehat{S}^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ of the symmetric algebra $S^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ of the Hodge bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the augmentation ideal $S^{>0}(M,\mathbb{C})$.

Since the $U(1)$-action on M is trivial, the category $\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$ of Hodge bundles on M is equivalent to the category of pairs $\langle\mathcal{E},\bar{\ }\rangle$ of a complex bundle $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with a Hodge type grading

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{p,q} \mathcal{E}^{p,q}$$

and a real structure $\bar{\ } : \mathcal{E}^{p,q} \to \overline{\mathcal{E}^{q,p}}$. The Hodge type grading on $\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ is induced by the Hodge type grading $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) = S^{1,-1}(M) \oplus S^{-1,1}(M)$ on the generators subbundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$, which was described in 5.3.2.

Remark. The complex vector bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is canonically isomorphic to the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$. However, the Hodge bundle structures on these two bundles are different (in fact, they have different weights).

6.3.5. Consider the pro-bundles

$$\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) = \rho_*\rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$$

on M. The direct sum $\oplus\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ is a graded algebra in $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\operatorname{Bun}(M,\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, since for every $i \geq 0$ the bundle $\Lambda^i(M,\mathbb{C})$ is a Hodge bundle of weight i (see 2.1.7), $\mathcal{B}^i(M,\mathbb{C})$ is also a Hodge bundle of weight i. Denote by

$$\mathcal{B}^i(M,\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i}\mathcal{B}^{p,q}(M,\mathbb{C})$$

the Hodge type bigrading on $\mathcal{B}^i(M,\mathbb{C})$.

The Hodge bundle structures on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ are compatible with the multiplication. By the projection formula

$$\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}),$$

and this isomorphism is compatible with the Hodge bundle structures on both sides.

Definition. Call the algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ in $\underleftarrow{\operatorname{Lim}}\,\mathcal{WH}odge(M)$ *the Weil algebra* of the complex manifold M.

6.3.6. The canonical involution $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$ induces an algebra involution $\iota^* : \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$. It acts on generators as follows

$$\iota^* = -\mathsf{id} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \qquad \iota^* = \mathsf{id} : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}).$$

For every operator $N : \mathcal{B}^p(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^q(M,\mathbb{C})$, p and q arbitrary, we will denote by

$$N^\iota = \iota^* \circ N \circ \iota^* : \mathcal{B}^p(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^q(M,\mathbb{C})$$

the operator ι^*-conjugate to N.

6.3.7. The twisted tautological map $\sigma : \rho^*\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M,\mathbb{C})$ introduced in 5.6 induces via the functor ρ_* a map $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$. Extend this map to a derivation

$$\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$$

by setting $\sigma = 0$ on $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$. The derivation $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ is not weakly Hodge. However, it is real with respect to the real structure on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$.

6.3.8. By definition of the twisted tautological map (5.6, 4.3.2), the derivation $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ maps the subbundle $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to the subbundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ and defines a complex vector bundle isomorphism $\sigma : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$. To describe this isomorphism explicitly, recall that sections of the bundle $\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ are the same as formal germs along $M \subset \overline{T}M$ of smooth functions on the manifold $\overline{T}M$. The sections of the subbundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$ form the subspace of functions linear along the fibers of the canonical projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$. The isomorphism $\sigma : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ induces an isomorphism between the space of smooth 1-forms on the manifold M and the space of smooth functions on $\overline{T}M$ linear long the fibers of $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$. This isomorphism coincides with the tautological one on the subbundle $\Lambda^{1,0} \subset \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$, and it is minus the tautological isomorphism on the subbundle $\Lambda^{0,1} \subset \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$.

Denote by

$$C = \sigma^{-1} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$$

the bundle isomorphism inverse to σ. Note that the complex vector bundle isomorphism $\sigma : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is real. Moreover, it sends the subbundle $\Lambda^{1,0}(M) \subset \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to $S^{1,-1}(M) \subset S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$, and it sends $\Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ to $S^{-1,1}(M)$. Therefore the inverse isomorphism $C : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is weakly Hodge. It coincides with the tautological isomorphism on the subbundle $S^{1,-1} \subset S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$, and it equals minus the tautological isomorphism on the subbundle $S^{-1,1} \subset S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$.

6.4. Extended connections

6.4.1. We are now ready to introduce the extended connections. Keep the notation of the last subsection.

Definition. An *extended connection* on a complex manifold M is a differential operator $D : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ which is weakly Hodge in the sense of 2.1.3 and satisfies

$$D(fa) = fDa + a \otimes df \tag{6.1}$$

for any smooth function f and a local section a of the pro-bundle $\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$.

6.4.2. Let D be an extended connection on the manifold M. By 6.3.5 we have canonical bundle isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} S^i(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore the operator $D : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ decomposes

$$D = \sum_{p\geq 0} D_p, \quad D_p : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^i(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}). \tag{6.2}$$

By (6.1) all the components D_p except for the D_1 are weakly Hodge bundle maps on M, while

$$D_1 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$$

is a connection in the usual sense on the Hodge bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$.

Definition. The weakly Hodge bundle map $D_0 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is called *the principal part* of the extended connection D on M. The connection D_1 is called *the reduction* of the extended connection D.

6.4.3. Extended connection on M are related to formal Hodge connections on the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M by means of the direct image functor

$$\rho_* : \mathcal{WHodge}^{\mathcal{D}}_M(\overline{T}M) \to \varprojlim \mathcal{WHodge}^{\mathcal{D}}(M).$$

Namely, let $D : \Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ be a formal Hodge connection on $\overline{T}M$ along M in the sense of 6.2.2. The restriction of the operator

$$\rho_* D : \mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

to the generators subbundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C})$ is then an extended connection on M in the sense of 6.4.1. The principal part $D_0 : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the Hodge connection D in the sense of 5.3.4 coincides with the principal part of the extended connection $\rho_* D$.

6.4.4. We now write down the counterparts of the flatness and linearity conditions on a Hodge connection on $\overline{T}M$ for the associated extended connection on M. We begin with the linearity condition 5.4.4. Let $D : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ be an extended connection on M, let $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C})$ be the algebra derivation introduced in 6.3.7, and let

$$D^\iota : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

be the operator ι^*-conjugate to D as in 6.3.6.

Definition. An extended connection D is called *linear* if for every local section f of the bundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ we have

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\sigma((D - D^\iota)f).$$

This is, of course, the literal rewriting of Definition 5.4.4. In particular, a formal Hodge connection D on $\overline{T}M$ is linear if and only if so is the extended connection $\rho_* D$ on M.

6.4.5. Next we rewrite the flatness condition 5.1.7. Again, let

$$D : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

be an extended connection on M. Since the algebra pro-bundle $\mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C})$ is freely generated by the subbundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$, by (6.1) the operator $D : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ extends uniquely to an algebra derivation

$$D : \mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover, we can extend this derivation even further to a derivation of the Weil algebra

$$D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

by setting

$$D(f \otimes \alpha) = Df \otimes \alpha + f \otimes d\alpha \tag{6.3}$$

for any smooth section $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}))$ and any smooth form $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}))$. We will call this extension *the derivation, associated to the extended connection D.*

Vice versa, the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by the subbundles

$$S^1(M, \mathbb{C}), \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover, for every algebra derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ the condition (6.3) completely defines the restriction of D to the generator subbundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore an algebra derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying (6.3) is completely determined by its restriction to the generators subbundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. If the derivation D is weakly Hodge, then this restriction is an extended connection on M.

6.4.6. Definition. The extended connection D is called *flat* if the associated derivation satisfies $D \circ D = 0$.

If a formal Hodge connection D on $\overline{T}M$ is flat in the sense of 5.1.7, then we have a derivation $D : \rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ such that $D \circ D = 0$. The associated derivation $\rho_*D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies (6.3). Therefore the extended connection $\rho_*D : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is also flat.

6.4.7. It turns out that one can completely recover a Hodge connection D on $\overline{T}M$ from the corresponding extended connection ρ_*D on M. More precisely, we have the following.

Lemma. *The correspondence $D \mapsto \rho_*D$ is a bijection between the set of formal Hodge connections on $\overline{T}M$ along $M \subset \overline{T}M$ and the set of extended connections on M. A connection D is flat, resp. linear if and only if ρ_*D is flat, resp. linear.*

Proof. To prove the first claim of the lemma, it suffices to prove that every extended connection on M comes from a unique formal Hodge connection on the pair $\langle \overline{T}M, M\rangle$. In general, the functor ρ_* is not fully faithful on the category $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$, in other words, it does not induce an isomorphism on the spaces of differential operators between vector bundles on $\overline{T}M$. However, for every complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\overline{T}M$ the functor ρ_* does induce an isomorphism

$$\rho_* : \operatorname{Der}_M(\Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C}), \mathcal{E}) \cong \operatorname{Der}_{\mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}), \rho_*\mathcal{E})$$

between the space of *derivations* from $\Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C})$ to $\mathcal{F}$ completed along $M \subset \overline{T}M$ and the space of derivations from the algebra $\mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}) = \rho_*\Lambda^0(M, \mathbb{C})$ to the $\mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C})$-module $\rho_*\mathcal{E}$. Therefore every derivation

$$D' : \mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C}) = \rho_*\rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

must be of the form $D' = \rho_*D$ for some derivation

$$D : \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$$

It is easy to check that D is a Hodge connection if and only if $D' = \rho_*D$ is weakly Hodge and satisfies (6.1). By 6.4.5 the space of all such derivations

$D' : \mathcal{B}^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ coincides with the space of all extended connections on M, which proves the first claim of the lemma.

Analogously, for every extended connection $D' = \rho_* D$ on M, the canonical extension of the operator D' to an algebra derivation $D' : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ constructed in 6.4.5 must be of the form $\rho_* D$ for a certain weakly Hodge differential operator $D : \rho^* \Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$. If the extended connection D' is flat, then $D' \circ D' = 0$. Therefore $D \circ D = 0$, which means that the Hodge connection D is flat. Vice versa, if the Hodge connection D is flat, then it extends to a weakly Hodge derivation $D : \rho^* \Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ so that $D \circ D = 0$. The equality $D \circ D = 0$ implies, in particular, that the operator $\rho_* D$ vanishes on the sections of the form

$$Df = df \in C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})) \subset C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})),$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{C})$ is a smooth function on M. Therefore $\rho_* D$ coincides with the de Rham differential on the subbundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. Hence by 6.4.5 it is equal to the canonical derivation $D' : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$. Since $D \circ D = 0$, we have $D' \circ D' = 0$, which means that the extended connection D' is flat.

Finally, the equivalence of the linearity conditions on the Hodge connection D and on the extended connection $D' = \rho_* D$ is trivial and has already been noted in 6.4.4. $\square$

This lemma together with Lemma 6.2.2 reduces the classification of linear formal Hodge manifold structures on $\overline{T}M$ along the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ to the classification of extended connections on the manifold M itself.

7. Preliminaries on the Weil algebra

7.1. The total de Rham complex

7.1.1. Before we proceed further in the study of extended connections on a complex manifold M, we need to establish some linear-algebraic facts on the structure of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C})$ defined in 6.3.5. We also need to introduce an auxiliary Hodge bundle algebra on M which we call *the total Weil algebra*. This is the subject of this section. Most of the facts here are of a technical nature, and the reader is advised to skip this section until needed.

7.1.2. We begin with introducing and studying a version of the de Rham complex of a complex manifold M which we call *the total de Rham complex*. Let M be a smooth complex $U(1)$-manifold. Recall that by 2.1.7 the de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the complex manifold M is canonically a Hodge bundle algebra on M. Let $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}))$ be the weight 0 Hodge bundle obtained by applying the functor Γ defined in 2.1.4 to the de Rham algebra $\Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C})$. By 1.4.9 the bundle $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$ carries a canonical algebra structure. By 2.1.7 the de Rham differential d_M is weakly Hodge. Therefore it induces an algebra derivation $d_M : \Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}_{tot}(M)$ which is compatible with the Hodge bundle structure and satisfies $d_M \circ d_M = 0$.

Definition. The weight 0 Hodge bundle algebra $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$ is called *the total de Rham complex* of the complex manifold M.

7.1.3. By definition

$$\Lambda^{i}_{tot}(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^i(M,\mathbb{C})) = \Lambda^i(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_i,$$

where $\mathcal{W}^*_i = S^i\mathcal{W}^*_1$ is the symmetric power of the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\mathcal{W}^*_1$, as in 1.4.4. To describe the structure of the algebra $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$, we will use the following well-known general fact. (For the sake of completeness, we have included a sketch of its proof, see 7.1.12.)

Lemma. *Let A, B be two objects in an arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$-linear symmetric tensor category $\mathcal{A}$, and let $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet} = S^{\bullet}(A \otimes B)$ be the sum of symmetric powers of the object $A \otimes B$. Note that the object $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$ is naturally a commutative algebra in $\mathcal{A}$ in the obvious sense. Let also $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet} = \bigoplus_k S^kA \otimes S^kB$ with the obvious commutative algebra structure. The isomorphism $\mathcal{C}^1 \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^1 \cong A \otimes B$ extends to a surjective algebra map $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}$, and its kernel $\mathfrak{J}^{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$ is the ideal generated by the subobject $\mathfrak{J}^2 = \Lambda^2(A) \otimes \Lambda^2(B) \subset S^2(A \otimes B)$.*

7.1.4. The category of complexes of Hodge bundles on M is obviously $\mathbb{Q}$-linear and tensor. Applying Lemma 7.1.3 to $A = \mathcal{W}^*_1$, $B = \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})[1]$ immediately gives the following.

Lemma. *The total de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$ of the complex manifold M is generated by its first component $\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)$, and the kernel of the canonical surjective algebra map*

$$\Lambda^{\bullet}(\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)) \to \Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$$

from the exterior algebra of the bundle $\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)$ to $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$ is the ideal generated by the subbundle

$$\Lambda^2\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes S^2(\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})) \subset S^2(\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)).$$

7.1.5. We can describe the Hodge bundle $\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)$ more explicitly in the following way. By definition, as a $U(1)$-equivariant complex vector bundle it equals

$$\Lambda^1_{tot}(M) = \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1 = \left(\Lambda^{1,0}(M)(1) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}(M)(0)\right) \otimes (\mathbb{C}(0) \oplus \mathbb{C}(-1)),$$

where $\Lambda^{p,q}(M)(i)$ is the $U(1)$-equivariant bundle $\Lambda^{p,q}(M)$ tensored with the 1-dimensional representation of weight i, and $\mathbb{C}(i)$ is the constant $U(1)$-bundle corresponding to the representation of weight i. If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) &= \Lambda^{1,0}(M)(1) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}(M)(-1) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M), \\ \Lambda^1_{ll}(M) &= \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M), \\ \Lambda^1_{rr}(M) &= \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\Lambda^1_{tot}(M) = S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Lambda^1_{ll}(M) \oplus \Lambda^1_{rr}(M).$$

The complex conjugation $\bar{-} : \Lambda^1_{tot}(M) \to \iota^* \overline{\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)}$ preserves the subbundle

$$S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

and interchanges $\Lambda^1_{ll}(M)$ and $\Lambda^1_{rr}(M)$.

7.1.6. If the $U(1)$-action on the manifold M is trivial, then Hodge bundles are the same as bigraded complex vector bundles with a real structure. In this case the Hodge bigrading on the Hodge bundle $\Lambda^1_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)\right)^{1,-1} &= S^{1,-1}(M, \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^{1,0}(M)(1), \\
\left(\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)\right)^{-1,1} &= S^{-1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^{0,1}(M)(-1), \\
\left(\Lambda^1_{tot}(M)\right)^{0,0} &= \Lambda^1_{ll}(M) \oplus \Lambda^1_{rr}(M) = \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}).
\end{aligned}$$

Under these identifications, the real structure on $\Lambda^1_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$ is minus the one induced by the usual real structure on the complex vector bundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark. The Hodge bundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is canonically isomorphic to the conormal bundle to the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$, which we have described in 5.3.2.

7.1.7. Recall now that we have defined in 1.4.7 canonical embeddings $\gamma_l, \gamma_r : \mathcal{W}^*_p \to \mathcal{W}^*_k$ for every $0 \leq p \leq k$. Since $\mathcal{W}^*_0 = \mathbb{C}$, for every $p, q \geq 0$ we have by (1.3) a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^*_p \oplus \mathcal{W}^*_q \xrightarrow{\gamma_l \oplus \gamma_r} \mathcal{W}^*_{p+q} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7.1}$$

of complex vector spaces. Recall also that the embeddings γ_l, γ_r are compatible with the natural maps $\mathsf{can} : \mathcal{W}^*_p \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_q \to \mathcal{W}^*_{p+q}$. Therefore the subbundles defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^k_l(M) &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq k} \gamma_l(\mathcal{W}^*_p) \otimes \Lambda^{p,k-p}(M) \subset \Lambda^k_{tot}(M) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq k} \mathcal{W}^*_k \otimes \Lambda^{p,k-p}(M) \\
\Lambda^k_r(M) &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq k} \gamma_r(\mathcal{W}^*_p) \otimes \Lambda^{k-p,p}(M) \subset \Lambda^k_{tot}(M) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq k} \mathcal{W}^*_k \otimes \Lambda^{k-p,p}(M)
\end{aligned}$$

are actually subalgebras in the total de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$.

7.1.8. To describe the algebras $\Lambda^{\bullet}_l(M)$ and $\Lambda^{\bullet}_r(M)$ explicitly, note that we obviously have $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M) = \Lambda^{\bullet}_l(M) + \Lambda^{\bullet}_r(M)$. Moreover, in the notation of 7.1.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^1_l(M) &= S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \Lambda^1_{ll}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M), \\
\Lambda^1_r(M) &= S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \Lambda^1_{rr}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.1.3, the algebra

$$\Lambda^{\bullet}_l(M) = \left(\bigoplus_p \mathcal{W}^*_p \otimes \Lambda^{p,0}(M) \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_q \Lambda^{0,q}(M) \right)$$

is the subalgebra in the total de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet_{tot}(M)$ generated by $\Lambda^1_l(M)$, and the ideal of relations is generated by the subbundle

$$S^2(\Lambda^{1,0}(M)) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathcal{W}_1^*) \subset \Lambda^2(\Lambda^1_l(M)).$$

Analogously, the subalgebra $\Lambda^\bullet_r(M) \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}(M)$ is generated by $\Lambda^1_r(M)$, and the relations are generated by

$$S^2(\Lambda^{0,1}(M)) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathcal{W}_1^*) \subset \Lambda^2(\Lambda^1_r(M)).$$

7.1.9. We will also need to consider the ideals in these algebras defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^k_{ll}(M) &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq p \leq k} \gamma_l(\mathcal{W}_p^*) \otimes \Lambda^{p,k-p}(M) \subset \Lambda^k_l(M) \\
\Lambda^k_{rr}(M) &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq p \leq k} \gamma_r(\mathcal{W}_p^*) \otimes \Lambda^{k-p,p}(M) \subset \Lambda^k_r(M)
\end{aligned}$$

The ideal $\Lambda^\bullet_{ll}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_l(M)$ is generated by the subbundle $\Lambda^1_{ll}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_l(M)$, and the ideal $\Lambda^\bullet_{rr}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_r(M)$ is generated by the subbundle $\Lambda^1_{rr}(M) \subset \Lambda^1_r(M)$.

7.1.10. Denote by $\Lambda^\bullet_o(M) = \Lambda^\bullet_l(M) \cap \Lambda^\bullet_r(M) \subset \Lambda^\bullet_{tot}(M)$ the intersection of the subalgebras $\Lambda^\bullet_l(M)$ and $\Lambda^\bullet_r(M)$. Unlike either of these subalgebras, the subalgebra $\Lambda^\bullet_o(M) \subset \Lambda^\bullet_{tot}(M)$ is compatible with the weight 0 Hodge bundle structure on the total de Rham complex. By (7.1) we have a short exact sequence

(7.2)
$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^\bullet_l(M) \oplus \Lambda^\bullet_r(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^\bullet_{tot}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

of complex vector bundles on M. Therefore the algebra $\Lambda^\bullet_o(M)$ is isomorphic, as a complex bundle algebra, to the usual de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$. As a Hodge bundle algebra it is canonically isomorphic to the exterior algebra of the Hodge bundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ of weight 0 on the manifold M.

Finally, note that the short exact sequence (7.2) induces a direct sum decomposition

$$\Lambda^\bullet_{tot}(M) \cong \Lambda^\bullet_{ll}(M) \oplus \Lambda^\bullet_o(M) \oplus \Lambda^\bullet_{rr}(M).$$

7.1.11. Remark. The total de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet_{tot}(M)$ is related to Simpson's theory of Higgs bundles (see [S3]) in the following way. Recall that Simpson has proved that every (sufficiently stable) complex bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a compact complex manifold M equipped with a flat connection ∇ admits a unique Hermitian metric h such that ∇ and the 1-form $\theta = \nabla - \nabla^h \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(\mathcal{E}nd\,\mathcal{E}))$ satisfy the so-called *harmonicity condition*. He also has shown that this condition is equivalent to the vanishing of a certain curvature-like tensor $R \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathcal{E}nd\,\mathcal{E})$ which he associated canonically to every pair $\langle \nabla, \theta \rangle$.

Recall that flat bundles $\langle \mathcal{E}, \nabla \rangle$ on the manifold M are in one-to-one correspondence with free differential graded modules $\mathcal{E} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ over the de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$. It turns out that complex bundles $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with a flat connection ∇ and a 1-form $\theta \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(\mathcal{E}nd\,\mathcal{E}))$ such that Simpson's tensor R

vanishes are in natural one-to-one correspondence with free differential graded modules $\mathcal{E} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$ over the total de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$. Moreover, a pair $\langle \theta, \nabla \rangle$ comes from a variation of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure on $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if there exists a Hodge bundle structure on $\mathcal{E}$ such that the product Hodge bundle structure on the free module $\mathcal{E} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot}(M)$ is compatible with the differential.

7.1.12. *Proof of Lemma* 7.1.3. For every $k \geq 0$ let $G = \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_k$ be the product of two copies of the symmetric group Σ_k on k letters. Let $\mathcal{V}_k$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-representation of G_k induced from the trivial representation of the diagonal subgroup $\Sigma_k \subset G_k$. The representation $\mathcal{V}_k$ decomposes as

$$\mathcal{V}_k = \bigoplus_V V \boxtimes V,$$

where the sum is over the set of irreducible representations V of Σ_k. We obviously have

$$\mathcal{C}^k = \operatorname{Hom}_{G_k}\left(\mathcal{V}_k, A^{\otimes k} \otimes B^{\otimes k}\right) = \bigoplus_V \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma_k}\left(V, A^{\otimes k}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma_k}\left(V, A^{\otimes k}\right).$$

Let $\mathfrak{J}^{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$ be the ideal generated by $\Lambda^2 A \otimes \Lambda^2 B \subset S^2(A \otimes B)$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{J}^k = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \bigoplus_V \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma_k}\left(V, A^{\otimes k}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma_k}\left(V, A^{\otimes k}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}^k,$$

where the first sum is taken over the set of $k-1$ subgroups $\Sigma_2 \subset \Sigma_k$, the l-th one transposing the l-th and the $l+1$-th letter, while the second sum is taken over all irreducible constituents V of the representation of Σ_k induced from the sign representation of the corresponding $\Sigma_2 \subset \Sigma_k$. Now, there is obviously only one irreducible representation of Σ_k which is not encountered as an index in this double sum, namely, the trivial one. Hence $\mathcal{C}^k / \mathfrak{J}^k = S^k A \otimes S^k B$, which proves the lemma. □

7.2. The total Weil algebra

7.2.1. Assume from now on that the $U(1)$-action on the complex manifold M is trivial. We now turn to studying the Weil algebra of the manifold M. Let $S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) = S^{1,-1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \oplus S^{-1,1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ be the weight 0 Hodge bundle on M introduced in 5.3.2. To simplify notation, denote

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\bullet} &= \widehat{S}^{\bullet}(S^1(M, \mathbb{C})) \\ \Lambda^{\bullet} &= \Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{S}^{\bullet}$ is the completed symmetric power, and let

$$\mathcal{B}^{\bullet} = \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) = S^{\bullet} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}$$

be the Weil algebra of the complex manifold M introduced in 6.3.5. Recall that the algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ carries a natural Hodge bundle structure. In particular, it is equipped with a Hodge type bigrading $\mathcal{B}^i = \sum_{p+q=i} \mathcal{B}^{p,q}$.

7.2.2. We now introduce a different bigrading on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$. The commutative algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is freely generated by the subbundles

$$S^1 = S^{1,-1} \oplus S^{-1,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^1 = \Lambda^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^1,$$

therefore to define a multiplicative bigrading on the algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ it suffices to assign degrees to these generator subbundles $S^{1,-1}, S^{-1,1}, \Lambda^{1,0}, \Lambda^{0,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$.

Definition. The *augmentation bigrading* on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is the multiplicative bigrading defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \deg S^{1,-1} &= \deg \Lambda^{1,0} = (1,0) \\ \deg S^{-1,1} &= \deg \Lambda^{0,1} = (0,1) \end{aligned}$$

on generators $S^{1,-1}, S^{-1,1}, \Lambda^{1,0}, \Lambda^{0,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet,\bullet}$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet;\bullet}_{p;q}$ the component of the Weil algebra of augmentation bidegree (p,q). For any linear map $a : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ we will denote by $a = \sum_{p,q} a_{p,q}$ its decomposition with respect to the augmentation bidegree.

It will also be useful to consider a coarser *augmentation grading* on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$, defined by $\deg \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q} = p+q$. We will denote by $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q}$ the component of $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ of augmentation degree k.

7.2.3. Note that the Hodge bidegree and the augmentation bidegree are, in general, independent. Moreover, the complex conjugation $\bar{-} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \overline{\mathcal{B}^\bullet}$ sends $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{q,p}}$. Therefore the augmentation bidegree components $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ are not Hodge subbundles. However, the coarser augmentation grading is compatible with the Hodge structures, and the augmentation degree k-component $\mathcal{B}^i_k \subset \mathcal{B}^i$ carries a natural Hodge bundle structure of weight i. Moreover, the sum $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q} + \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{q,p} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is also a Hodge subbundle.

7.2.4. We now introduce an auxiliary weight 0 Hodge algebra bundle on M, called the total Weil algebra. Recall that we have defined in 2.1.4 a functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0}(M) \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0(M)$ adjoint on the right to the canonical embedding. Consider the Hodge bundle $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} = \Gamma(\mathcal{B}^\bullet)$ of weight 0 on M. By 1.4.9 the multiplication on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ induces an algebra structure on $\Gamma(\mathcal{B}^\bullet)$.

Definition. The Hodge algebra bundle $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ of weight 0 is called *the total Weil algebra* of the complex manifold M.

Remark. For a more conceptual description of the functor Γ and the total Weil algebra, see Appendix.

7.2.5. By definition of the functor Γ we have $\mathcal{B}^k_{tot} = \mathcal{B}^k \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_k = S^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_k = S^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k_{tot}$, where $\Lambda^k_{tot} = \Lambda^k \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_\bullet = \Gamma(\Lambda^k)$ is the total de Rham complex introduced in Subsection 7.1. We have also introduced in Subsection 7.1 Hodge bundle subalgebras $\Lambda^\bullet_o, \Lambda^\bullet_l, \Lambda^\bullet_r \subset \Lambda^\bullet_{tot}$ in the total de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet_{tot}$ and ideals $\Lambda^\bullet_{ll} \subset \Lambda^\bullet_l$, $\Lambda^\bullet_{rr} \subset \Lambda^\bullet_r$ in the algebras $\Lambda^\bullet_l$, $\Lambda^\bullet_r$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^k_o &= S^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k_o \subset \mathcal{B}^k_{tot} \\ \mathcal{B}^k_l &= S^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k_l \subset \mathcal{B}^k_{tot} \\ \mathcal{B}^k_r &= S^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k_r \subset \mathcal{B}^k_{tot} \end{aligned}$$

be the associated subalgebras in the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ and let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}^k_{ll} &= S^{\bullet} \otimes \Lambda^k_{ll} \subset \mathcal{B}^k_l \\ \mathcal{B}^k_{rr} &= S^{\bullet} \otimes \Lambda^k_{rr} \subset \mathcal{B}^k_r\end{aligned}$$

be the corresponding ideals in the Hodge bundle algebras $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l$, $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r$.

By 7.1.10 we have bundle isomorphisms $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot} = \Lambda^{\bullet}_l + \Lambda^{\bullet}_r$ and $\Lambda^{\bullet}_o = \Lambda^{\bullet}_l \cap \Lambda^{\bullet}_r$, and the direct sum decomposition $\Lambda^{\bullet}_{tot} \cong \Lambda^{\bullet}_{ll} \oplus \Lambda^{\bullet}_o \oplus \Lambda^{\bullet}_{rr}$. Therefore we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} &= \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l + \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r = \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_o \oplus \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{rr} \\ \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_o &= \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l \bigcap \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Moreover, the algebra $\Lambda^{\bullet}_o$ is isomorphic to the usual de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet}$, therefore the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_o \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is isomorphic to the usual Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$. These isomorphisms are *not* weakly Hodge.

7.2.6. The total Weil algebra carries a canonical weight 0 Hodge bundle structure, and we will denote the corresponding Hodge type grading by upper indices: $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} = \oplus_p \left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}\right)^{p,-p}$. The augmentation bigrading on the Weil algebra introduced in 7.2.2 extends to a bigrading of the total Weil algebra, which we will denote by lower indices. In general, both these grading and the direct sum decomposition (7.3) are independent, so that, in general, for every $i \geq 0$ we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}^i_{tot} = \bigoplus_{n,p,q} \left(\mathcal{B}^i_{ll}\right)^{n,-n}_{p,q} \oplus \left(\mathcal{B}^i_o\right)^{n,-n}_{p,q} \oplus \left(\mathcal{B}^i_{rr}\right)^{n,-n}_{p,q}.$$

We would like to note, however, that some terms in this decomposition vanish when $i = 0, 1$. Namely, we have the following fact.

Lemma. *Let n, k be arbitrary integers such that $k \geq 0$.*

- (i) *If $n + k$ is odd, then $\left(\mathcal{B}^0_{tot}\right)^{n,-n}_k = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $n + k$ is even, then $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{ll}\right)^{n,-n}_k = \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{rr}\right)^{n,-n}_k = 0$, while if $n + k$ is odd, then $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_o\right)^{n,-n}_k = 0$.*

Proof.

- (i) The bundle $\mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$ by definition coincides with $\mathcal{B}^0$, and it is generated by the subbundles $S^{1,-1}, S^{-1,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^0$. Both these subbundles have augmentation degree 1 and Hodge degree ± 1, so that the sum $n + k$ of the Hodge degree with the augmentation degree is even. Since both gradings are multiplicative, for all non-zero components $\mathcal{B}^{n,-n}_k \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ the sum $n + k$ must also be even.
- (ii) By definition we have $\mathcal{B}^1_{tot} = \mathcal{B}^0 \otimes \Lambda^1_{tot}$. The subbundle $\Lambda^1_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ has augmentation degree 1, and it decomposes

$$\Lambda^1_{tot} = \Lambda^1_o \oplus \Lambda^1_{ll} \oplus \Lambda^1_{rr}.$$

By 7.1.5 we have $\Lambda^1_o \cong S^1 = S^{1,-1} \oplus S^{-1,1}$ as Hodge bundles, so that the Hodge degrees on $\Lambda^1_o \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}$ are odd. On the other hand, the subbundles $\Lambda^1_{ll}, \Lambda^1_{rr} \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}$ are by 7.1.6 of Hodge bidegree $(0,0)$. Therefore the sum $n+k$ of the Hodge and the augmentation degrees is even for Λ^1_o and odd for Λ^1_{ll} and Λ^1_{rr}. Together with (i) this proves the claim. □

7.3. Derivations of the Weil algebra

7.3.1. We will now introduce certain canonical derivations of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ which will play an important part in the rest of the paper. First of all, to simplify notation, for any two linear maps a, b let

$$\{a,b\} = a \circ b + b \circ a$$

be their anticommutator, and for any linear map $a : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+i}$ let $a = \sum_{p+q=i} a^{p,q}$ be the Hodge type decomposition. The following fact is well-known, but we have included a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma. *For every two odd derivations P, Q of a graded-commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$, their anticommutator $\{P,Q\}$ is an even derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Indeed, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{P,Q\}(ab) &= P(Q(ab)) + Q(P(ab)) \\
&= P(Q(a)b + (-1)^{\deg a} aQ(b)) + Q(P(a)b + (-1)^{\deg a} aP(b)) \\
&= P(Q(a))b + (-1)^{\deg Q(a)} Q(a)P(b) + (-1)^{\deg a} P(a)Q(b) \\
&\quad + aP(Q(b)) + Q(P(a))b + (-1)^{\deg P(a)} P(a)Q(b) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\deg a} Q(a)P(b) + aQ(P(b)) \\
&= P(Q(a))b - (-1)^{\deg a} Q(a)P(b) + (-1)^{\deg a} P(a)Q(b) \\
&\quad + aP(Q(b)) + Q(P(a))b - (-1)^{\deg a} P(a)Q(b) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\deg a} Q(a)P(b) + aQ(P(b)) \\
&= P(Q(a))b + aP(Q(b)) + Q(P(a))b + aQ(P(b)) \\
&= \{P,Q\}(a)b + a\{P,Q\}(b).
\end{aligned}$$

□

7.3.2. Let $C : S^1 \to \Lambda^1$ be the canonical weakly Hodge map introduced in 6.3.8. Extend C to an algebra derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ by setting $C = 0$ on $\Lambda^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^1$. By 6.3.8 the derivation C is weakly Hodge. The composition

$$C \circ C = \frac{1}{2}\{C,C\} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+2}$$

is also an algebra derivation, and it obviously vanishes on generators $S^1, \Lambda^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$. Therefore $C \circ C = 0$ everywhere.

Let also $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ be the derivation introduced in 6.3.7. The derivation σ is not weakly Hodge; however, it is real and admits a decomposition $\sigma = \sigma^{-1,0} + \sigma^{0,-1}$ into components of Hodge types $(-1,0)$ and $(0,-1)$. Both these components are algebra derivations of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$. We obviously have $\sigma \circ \sigma = \sigma^{-1,0} \circ \sigma^{-1,0} = \sigma^{0,-1} \circ \sigma^{0,-1} = 0$ on generators $S^1, \Lambda^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$, and, therefore, on the whole Weil algebra.

Remark. Up to a sign the derivations C, σ and their Hodge bidegree components coincide with the so-called *Koszul differentials* on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet} = S^{\bullet} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}$.

7.3.3. The derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ is by definition weakly Hodge. Applying the functor Γ to it, we obtain a derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ preserving the weight 0 Hodge bundle structure on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$. The canonical identification $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{o} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is compatible with the derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$. Moreover, by 7.3.2 this derivation satisfies $C \circ C = 0 : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+2}_{tot}$. Therefore the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ equipped with the derivation C is a complex of Hodge bundles of weight 0.

The crucial linear algebraic property of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ of the manifold M which will allow us to classify flat extended connections on M is the following.

Proposition 7.1 *Consider the subbundle*

$$\bigoplus_{p,q \geq 1} (\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot})_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \tag{7.4}$$

of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ consisting of the components of augmentation bidegrees (p,q) with $p, q \geq 1$. This subbundle equipped with the differential $C : (\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot})_{\bullet,\bullet} \to \left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}\right)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ is an acyclic complex of Hodge bundles of weight 0 on M.

7.3.4. We sketch a more or less simple and conceptual proof of Proposition 7.1 in the Appendix. However, in order to be able to study in Section 10 the analytic properties of our formal constructions, we will need an explicit contracting homotopy for the complex (7.4), which we now introduce.

The restriction of the derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^{0}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{1}_{tot}$ to the subbundle $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \cong \mathcal{B}^{0}_{tot}$ induces a Hodge bundle isomorphism

$$C : S^1 \to \Lambda^1_o \subset \Lambda^1_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}.$$

Define a map $\sigma_{tot} : \Lambda^1_{tot} \to S^1$ by

$$\sigma_{tot} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \Lambda^1_{ll}, \Lambda^1_{rr} \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}, \\ C^{-1} & \text{on} \quad \Lambda^1_o \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}. \end{cases} \tag{7.5}$$

The map $\sigma_{tot} : \Lambda^1_{tot} \to S^1$ preserves the Hodge bundle structures of weight 0 on both sides. Moreover, its restriction to the subbundle $\Lambda^1 \cong \Lambda^1_o \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}$ coincides with the canonical map $\sigma : \Lambda^1 \to S^1$ introduced in 7.3.2.

7.3.5. Unfortunately, unlike $\sigma : \Lambda^1 \to S^1$, the map $\sigma_{tot} : \Lambda^1_{tot} \to S^1$ does *not* admit an extension to a derivation $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$. We will extend it to a *bundle map* $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ in a somewhat roundabout way. To do this, define a map $\sigma_l : \Lambda^1_l \to S^0 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ by

$$\sigma_l = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \Lambda^1_{ll} \subset \Lambda^1_l \quad \text{and on} \quad \left(\Lambda^1_o\right)^{-1,1} \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}, \\ C^{-1} & \text{on} \quad \left(\Lambda^1_o\right)^{1,-1} \subset \Lambda^1_{tot}. \end{cases}$$

and set $\sigma_l = 0$ on S^1. By 7.1.7 we have

$$\Lambda^1_l = \Lambda^{1,0} \oplus \left(\Lambda^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1\right).$$

The map $\sigma_l : \Lambda^1_l \to S^1$ vanishes on the second summand in this direct sum, and it equals $C^{-1} : \Lambda^{1,0} \to S^{1,-1} \subset S^1$ on the first summand. The restriction of the map σ_l to the subbundle

$$\Lambda^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} = \Lambda^1 \cong \Lambda^1_o \subset \Lambda^1_l$$

vanishes on $\Lambda^{0,1}$ and equals C^{-1} on $\Lambda^{1,0}$. Thus it is equal to the Hodge type-$(0,-1)$ component $\sigma^{0,-1} : \Lambda^1 \to S^1$ of the canonical map $\sigma : \Lambda^1 \to S^1$.

7.3.6. By 7.1.8 the algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l$ is generated by the bundles S^1 and Λ^1_l, and the ideal of relations is generated by the subbundle

$$S^2\left(\Lambda^{0,1}\right) \otimes \Lambda^2\left(\mathcal{W}^*_1\right) \subset \Lambda^2\left(\Lambda^1_l\right). \tag{7.6}$$

Since the map $\sigma_l : \Lambda^1_l \to S^1$ vanishes on $\Lambda^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1 \subset \Lambda^1_l$, it extends to an algebra derivation $\sigma_l : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_l \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l$. The restriction of the derivation σ_l to the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ coincides with the $(0,-1)$-component $\sigma^{0,-1}$ of the derivation $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$.

Analogously, the $(-1,0)$-component $\sigma^{-1,0}$ of the derivation $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ extends to an algebra derivation $\sigma_r : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_r \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r$ of the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$. By definition, the derivation σ_l preserves the decomposition $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l = \mathcal{B}_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_o$, while the derivation σ_r preserves the decomposition $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r = \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{rr} \oplus \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_o$. Both these derivations vanish on $\mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$, therefore both are maps of $\mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$-modules. In addition, the compositions $\sigma_l \circ \sigma_l$ and $\sigma_r \circ \sigma_r$ vanish on generator and, therefore, vanish identically.

7.3.7. Extend both σ_l and σ_r to the whole $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ by setting

$$\sigma_l = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_r \qquad \sigma_r = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_l, \tag{7.7}$$

and let

$$\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_l + \sigma_r : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}.$$

On $\Lambda^1_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ this is the same map as in (7.5). The bundle map $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ preserves the direct sum decomposition (7.3), and its restriction to $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_o \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ coincides with the derivation σ. Note that neither of the maps σ_l, σ_r, σ_{tot} is a derivation of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$. However, all these maps are linear with respect to the $\mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$-module structure on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ and preserve the decomposition (7.3). The map $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is equal to σ_l on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{ll} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$, to σ_r on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{rr}$ and to $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{o} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$. Since $\sigma_l \circ \sigma_l = \sigma_r \circ \sigma_r = \sigma \circ \sigma = 0$, we have $\sigma_{tot} \circ \sigma_{tot} = 0$.

7.3.8. The commutator

$$h = \{C, \sigma_{tot}\} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$$

of the maps C and σ_{tot} also preserves the decomposition (7.3), and we have the following.

Lemma. *The map h acts as multiplication by p on $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{ll}\right)_{p,q}$, as multiplication by q on $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{rr}\right)_{p,q}$ and as multiplication by $(p+q)$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{o}\right)_{p,q}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the claim separately on each term in the decomposition (7.3). By definition $\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_l + \sigma_r$, and $h = h_l + h_r$, where $h_l = \{\sigma_l, C\}$ and $h_r = \{\sigma_r, C\}$. Moreover, h_l vanishes on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{rr}$ and h_r vanishes on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{ll}$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $h_l = p\mathsf{id}$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{l}\right)_{p,q}$ and that $h_r = q\mathsf{id}$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{r}\right)_{p,q}$. The proofs of these two identities are completely symmetrical, and we will only give a proof for h_l.

The algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{l}$ is generated by the subbundles $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0_l$ and $\Lambda^1_l \subset \mathcal{B}^1_l$. The augmentation bidegree decomposition of S^1 is by definition given by

$$S^1_{1,0} = S^{1,-1} \qquad\qquad S^1_{0,1} = S^{-1,1},$$

while the augmentation bidegree decomposition of Λ^1_l is given by

$$\left(\Lambda^1_l\right)_{1,0} = \Lambda^{1,0} \qquad \left(\Lambda^1_l\right)_{0,1} = \Lambda^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1.$$

By the definition of the map $\sigma_l : \Lambda^1_l \to S^1$ (see 7.3.5) we have $h_l = \{C, \sigma_l\} = \mathsf{id}$ on $\Lambda^{1,0}$ and $S^{1,-1}$, and $h_l = 0$ on $\Lambda^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1$ and on $S^{-1,1}$. Therefore for every $p, q \geq 0$ we have $h_l = p\mathsf{id}$ on the generator subbundles $S^1_{p,q}$ and on $\left(\Lambda^1_l\right)_{p,q}$. Since the map h_l is a derivation and the augmentation bidegree is multiplicative, the same holds on the whole algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{l} = \oplus \left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{l}\right)_{p,q}$. $\square$

Lemma 7.3.8 shows that the map σ_{tot} is a homotopy, contracting the subcomplex (7.4) in the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$, which immediately implies Proposition 7.1.

Remark. In fact, in our classification of flat extended connections given in Section 8 it will be more convenient for us to use Lemma 7.3.8 directly rather than refer to Proposition 7.1.

7.3.9. We finish this section with the following corollary of Lemma 7.2.6 and Lemma 7.3.8, which we will need in Section 10.

Lemma. *Let $n = \pm 1$. If the integer $k \geq 1$ is odd, then the map $h : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ acts on $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)^{n,-n}_{k}$ by multiplication by k. If $k = 2m \geq 1$ is even, then the endomorphism $h : \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)^{n,-n}_{k} \to \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)^{n,-n}_{k}$ is diagonalizable, and its only eigenvalues are m and $m-1$.*

Proof. If k is odd, then $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)^{n,-n}_k = \left(\mathcal{B}^1_o\right)^{n,-n}_k$ by Lemma 7.2.6, and Lemma 7.3.8 immediately implies the claim. Assume that the integer $k = 2m$ is even. By Lemma 7.2.6 we have

$$(\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot})^{n,-n}_k = (\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{ll})^{n,-n}_k \oplus (\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{rr})^{n,-n}_k = \mathcal{B}^{n,-n}_{k-1} \otimes \left(\Lambda^1_{ll} \oplus \Lambda^1_{rr}\right).$$

The bundle $\mathcal{B}^0$ is generated by subbundles $S^{1,-1}$ and $S^{-1,1}$. The first of these subbundles has augmentation bidegree $(1,0)$, while the second one has augmentation bidegree $(0,1)$. Therefore for every augmentation bidegree component $\mathcal{B}^{n,-n}_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{B}^{n,-n}_{k-1}$ we have $p - q = n$ and $p + q = k - 1$. This implies that $\mathcal{B}^{n,-n}_{k-1} = \mathcal{B}^{n,-n}_{p,q}$ with $p = m - (1-n)/2$ and $q = m - (1+n)/2$.

By definition the augmentation bidegrees of the bundles Λ^1_{ll} and Λ^1_{rr} are, respectively, $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$. Lemma 7.3.8 shows that the only eigenvalue of the map h on $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{ll}\right)_{k+1}$ is $p = (m - (1-n)/2))$, while its only eigenvalue on $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{rr}\right)_{k+1}$ is $q = (m - (1+n)/2)$. Since $n = \pm 1$, one of these numbers equals m and the other one equals $m - 1$. ☐

8. Classification of flat extended connections

8.1. Kählerian connections

8.1.1. Let M be a complex manifold. In Section 6 we have shown that formal Hodge manifold structures on the tangent bundle $\overline{T}M$ are in one-to-one correspondence with linear flat extended connections on the manifold M (see 6.4.1–6.4.6 for the definitions). It turns out that flat linear extended connections on M are, in turn, in natural one-to-one correspondence with differential operators of a much simpler type, namely, connections on the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$ satisfying certain vanishing conditions (Theorem 8.1). We call such connections *Kählerian.* In this section we use the results of Section 7 establish the correspondence between extended connections on M and Kählerian connections on $\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$.

8.1.2. We first give the definition of Kählerian connections. Assume that the manifold M is equipped with a connection

$$\nabla : \Lambda^1(M) \to \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M)$$

on its cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M)$. Let

$$T = \operatorname{Alt} \circ \nabla - d_M : \Lambda^1(M) \to \Lambda^2(M)$$
$$R = \operatorname{Alt} \nabla \circ \nabla : \Lambda^1(M) \to \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2(M)$$

be its torsion and curvature, and let $R = R^{2,0} + R^{1,1} + R^{0,2}$ be the decomposition of the curvature according to the Hodge type.

Definition. The connection ∇ is called *Kählerian* if

(i) $$T = 0$$

(ii) $$R^{2,0} = R^{0,2} = 0$$

Example. The Levi-Civita connection on a Kähler manifold is Kählerian.
Remark. The condition $T = 0$ implies, in particular, that the component

$$\nabla^{0,1} : \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \to \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$$

of the connection ∇ coincides with the Dolbeault differential. Therefore a Kählerian connection is always holomorphic.
8.1.3. Recall that in 6.4.2 we have associated to any extended connection D on M a connection ∇ on the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ called the reduction of D. We can now formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 8.1 (i) *If an extended connection D on M is flat and linear, then its reduction ∇ is Kählerian.*

(ii) *Every Kählerian connection ∇ on $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the reduction of a unique linear flat extended connection D on M.*

The rest of this section is taken up with the proof of Theorem 8.1. To make it more accessible, we first give an informal outline. The actual proof starts with Subsection 8.2, and it is independent from the rest of this subsection.
8.1.4. Assume given a Kählerian connection ∇ on the manifold M. To prove Theorem 8.1, we have to construct a flat linear extended connection D on M with reduction ∇. Every extended connection decomposes into a series $D = \sum_{k\geq 0} D_k$ as in (6.2), and, since ∇ is the reduction of D, we must have $D_1 = \nabla$. We begin by checking in Lemma 8.2.1 that if D is linear, then $D_0 = C$, where $C : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is as in 6.3.8. The sum $C + \nabla$ is already a linear extended connection on M. By 6.4.5 it extends to a derivation $D_{\leq 1}$ of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the manifold M, but this derivation does not necessarily satisfy $D_{\leq 1} \circ D_{\leq 1} = 0$, thus the extended connection $D_{\leq 1}$ is not necessarily flat.

We have to show that one can add the "correction terms" $D_k, k \geq 2$ to $D_{\leq 1}$ so that $D = \sum_k D_k$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 8.1. To do this, we introduce in 8.3.3 a certain quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$, called the reduced Weil algebra. The reduced Weil algebra ia defined in such a way that for every extended connection D the associated derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ preserves the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet$, thus inducing a derivation $\widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ has the following two properties:

(i) The derivation $\widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$ if and only if the connection D_1 is Kählerian.

(ii) Let $\widetilde{D}$ be the weakly Hodge derivation of the quotient algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ induced by an arbitrary linear extended connection $D_{\leq 1}$ and such that $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$. Then the derivation $\widetilde{D}$ lifts uniquely to a weakly Hodge derivation D of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ such that $D \circ D = 0$, and the derivation also D comes from a linear extended connection on M (see Proposition 8.1 for a precise formulation of this statement).

8.1.5. The property (i) is relatively easy to check, and we do it in the end of the proof, in Subsection 8.4. The rest is taken up with establishing the property (ii). The actual proof of this statement is contained in Proposition 8.1, and Subsection 8.2 contains the necessary preliminaries.

Recall that we have introduced in 7.2.2 a new grading on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$, called the augmentation grading, so that the component D_k in the decomposition $D = \sum_k D_k$ is of augmentation degree k. In order to lift $\widetilde{D}$ to a derivation D so that $D \circ D = 0$, we begin with the given lifting $D_{\leq 1}$ and then add components $D_k, k \geq 2$, one by one, so that on each step for $D_{\leq k} = D_{\leq 1} + \sum_{2 \leq p \leq k}$ the composition $D_{\leq k} \circ D_{\leq k}$ is zero in augmentation degrees from 0 to k. In order to do it, we must find for each k a solution to the equation

$$D_0 \circ D_k = -R_k, \tag{8.1}$$

where R_k is the component of augmentation degree k in the composition $D_{\leq k-1} \circ D_{\leq k-1}$. This solution must be weakly Hodge, and the extended connection $D_{\leq k} = D_{\leq k-1} + D_k$ must be linear.

We prove in Lemma 8.2.1 that since $D_{\leq 0}$ is linear, we may assume that $D_0 = C$. In addition, since $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$, we may assume by induction that the image of R_k lies in the kernel $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ of the quotient map $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$.

8.1.6. In order to analyze weakly Hodge maps from $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$, we apply the functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0}(M) \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0(M)$ constructed in 2.1.4 to the bundle $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ to obtain the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}))$ of weight 0, which we studied in Subsection 7.2. The Hodge bundle $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ on the manifold M is of weight 0, and, by the universal property of the functor Γ, weakly Hodge maps from $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with Hodge bundle maps from $S^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$. The canonical map $C : S^1(,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ extends to a derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the weakly Hodge map $R_k : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^2(M,\mathbb{C})$ defines a Hodge bundle map $R_k^{tot} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^2_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$, and solving (8.1) is equivalent to finding a Hodge bundle map $D_k : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$C \circ D_k = -R_k. \tag{8.2}$$

8.1.7. Recall that by 7.3.2 the derivation

$$C : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$$

satisfies $C \circ C = 0$, so that the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$ becomes a complex with differential C. The crucial part of the proof of Theorem 8.1 consists in noticing that the subcomplex $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{I}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})) \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$ of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the kernel $\mathcal{I}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ of the quotient map $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ is canonically contractible. This statement is analogous to Proposition 7.1, and we prove it in the same way.

Namely, we check that the subcomplex $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ is preserved by the bundle map $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ constructed in 7.3.7, and that the anticommutator $h = \{\sigma_{tot}, C\} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$ is invertible on the subcomplex $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$ (Corollary 8.3.4 of Lemma 7.3.8). We also check that $C \circ R^{tot}_k = 0$, which implies that the Hodge bundle map

$$D_k = -h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ R^{tot}_k : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C}) \tag{8.3}$$

provides a solution to the equation (8.2).

8.1.8. To establish the property (ii), we have to insure additionally that the extended connection $D = D_{\leq k}$ is linear, and and we have to show that the solution D_k of (8.2) with this property is unique. This turns out to be pretty straightforward. We show in Lemma 8.2.1 that $D_{\leq k}$ is linear if and only if

$$\sigma_{tot} \circ D_k = 0. \tag{8.4}$$

Moreover, we show that the homotopy $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies $\sigma_{tot} \circ \sigma_{tot} = 0$. Therefore the solution D_k to (8.2) given by (8.3) satisfies (8.4) automatically.

The uniqueness of such a solution D_k follows from the invertibility of $h = C \circ \sigma_{tot} + \sigma_{tot} \circ C$. Indeed, for every two solutions D_k, D'_k to (8.1), both satisfying (8.4), their difference $P = D_k - D'_k$ satisfies $C \circ P = 0$. If, in addition, both D_k and D'_k were to satisfy (8.4), we would have had $\sigma_{tot} \circ P = 0$. Therefore $h \circ P = 0$, and P has to vanish.

8.1.9. These are the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 8.1. The proof itself begins in the next subsection, and it is organized as follows. In Subsection 8.2 we express the linearity condition on an extended connection D in terms of the associated derivation $D_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_C \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ of the manifold M. After that, we introduce in Subsection 8.3 the reduced Weil algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ and prove Proposition 8.1, thus reducing Theorem 8.1 to a statement about derivations of the reduced Weil algebra. Finally, in Subsection 8.4 we prove this statement.

Remark. In the Appendix we give, following Deligne and Simpson, a more geomteric description of the functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{WH}odge$ and of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$, which allows to give a simpler and more conceptual proof for the key parts of Theorem 8.1.

8.2. Linearity and the total Weil algebra

8.2.1. Assume given an extended connection $D : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ on the manifold M, and extend it to a derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ of the Weil algebra as in 6.4.5. Let $D = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k$ be the augmentation degree decomposition. The derivation D is weakly Hodge and defines therefore a derivation $D = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$.

Before we begin the proof of Theorem 8.1, we give the following rewriting of the linearity condition 6.4.4 on the extended connection D in terms of the total Weil algebra.

Lemma. *The extended connection D is linear if and only if $D_0 = C$ and $\sigma_{tot} \circ D_k = 0$ on $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$ for every $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 7.2.6 for odd integers k and $n = \pm 1$ the subbundle $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_o\right)^{n,-n}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ vanishes. Therefore the map $D_k : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ factors through $\mathcal{B}^1_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^1_{rr}$. Since by definition (7.3.7) we have $\sigma_{tot} = 0$ on both $\mathcal{B}^1_{ll}$ and $\mathcal{B}^1_{rr}$, for odd k we have $\sigma_{tot} \circ D_k = 0$ on S^1 regardless of the extended connection D. On the other hand, for even k we have $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)^{n,-n}_{k+1} = \left(\mathcal{B}^1_o\right)^{n,-n}_{k+1}$. Therefore on S^1 we have $\sigma_{tot} \circ D_k = \sigma \circ D_k$ (where $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is as in 7.3.2). Moreover, since $\sigma : \Lambda^1 \to S^1$ is an isomorphism, $D_0 = C$ is equivalent to $\sigma \circ D_0 = \mathsf{id} : S^1 \to \Lambda^1 \to S^1$. Therefore the condition of the lemma is equivalent to the following

$$\sigma \circ D_k = \begin{cases} \mathsf{id}, & \text{for } k = 0 \\ 0, & \text{for even integers } k > 0. \end{cases} \tag{8.5}$$

Let now $\iota^* : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ be the operator given by the action of the canonical involution $\iota : \overline{T}M \to \overline{T}M$, as in 6.3.6, and let $D^\iota = \sum_{k\geq 0} D^\iota_k = \iota^* \circ D \circ (\iota^*)^{-1}$ be the operator ι^*-conjugate to the derivation D. The operator ι^* acts as $-\mathsf{id}$ on $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ and as id on $\Lambda^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^1$. Since it is an algebra automorphism, it acts as $(-1)^{i+k}$ on $\mathcal{B}^i_k \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$. Therefore $D^\iota_k = (-1)^{k+1} D_k$, and (8.5) is equivalent to

$$\sigma \circ \frac{1}{2}(D - D^\iota) = \mathsf{id} : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1 \to S^1,$$

which is precisely the definition of a linear extended connection. □

8.3. The reduced Weil algebra

8.3.1. We now begin the proof of Theorem 8.1. Our first step is to reduce the classification of linear flat extended connections $D : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ on the manifold M to the study of derivations of a certain quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet$ of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$. We introduce this quotient in this subsection under the name of reduced Weil algebra. We then show that every extended connection D on M induces a derivation $\widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet+1}$ of the reduced Weil algebra, and that a linear flat extended connection D on M is completely defined by the derivation $\widetilde{D}$.

8.3.2. By Lemma 7.3.8 the anticommutator $h = \{C, \sigma_{tot}\} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ of the canonical bundle endomorphisms C, σ_{tot} of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ is invertible on every component $\left(\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}\right)_{p,q}$ of augmentation bidegree (p, q) with $p, q \geq 1$.

The direct sum $\oplus_{p,q\geq 1} \left(\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}\right)_{p,q}$ is an ideal in the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$, and it is obtained by applying the functor Γ to the ideal $\oplus_{p,q\geq 1} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q}$ in the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$. For technical reasons, it will be more convenient for us to consider the smaller subbundle

$$\mathcal{I}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{p\geq 2, q\geq 1} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q} + \bigoplus_{p\geq 1, q\geq 2} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$$

of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$. The subbundle $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ is a Hodge subbundle in $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$, and it is an ideal with respect to the multiplication in $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$.

8.3.3. Definition. The reduced Weil algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the manifold M is the quotient

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet = \mathcal{B}^\bullet / \mathcal{I}^\bullet$$

of the full Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ by the ideal $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$.

The reduced Weil algebra decomposes as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet = \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{1,1} \oplus \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,0} \oplus \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{0,q}$$

with respect to the augmentation bigrading on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$. The two summands on the right are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{p,0} &= \bigoplus_{pgeq0} S^p \left(S^{1,-1}\right) \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet,0}, \\ \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{0,q} &= \bigoplus_{qgeq0} S^q \left(S^{-1,1}\right) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}, \end{aligned}$$

8.3.4. Since $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ is a Hodge subbundle, the reduced Weil algebra carries a canonical Hodge bundle structure compatible with the multiplication. It also obviously inherits the augmentation bigrading, and defines an ideal $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot} = \Gamma(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ in the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$. Lemma 7.3.8 immediately implies the following fact.

Corollary. *The map* $h = \{C, \sigma_{tot}\} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ *is invertible on* $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$.

8.3.5. Let now $D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ be the derivation associated to the extended connection D as in 6.4.5. The derivation D does not increase the augmentation bidegree, it preserves the ideal $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ and defines therefore a weakly Hodge derivation of the reduced Weil algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet$, which we denote by $\widetilde{D}$. If the extended connection D is flat, then the derivation $\widetilde{D}$ satisfies $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$.

We now prove that every derivation $\widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet+1}$ of this type comes from a linear flat extended connection D, and that the connection D is completely defined by $\widetilde{D}$. More precisely, we have the following.

Proposition 8.1 *Let* $D : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ *be a linear but not necessarily flat extended connection on* M*, and let* $\widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet+1}$ *be the associated weakly Hodge derivation of the reduced Weil algebra* $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet$*. Assume that* $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$*.*

There exists a unique weakly Hodge bundle map $P : S^1 \to \mathcal{I}^1$ *such that the extended connection* $D' = D + P : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ *is linear and flat.*

8.3.6. *Proof.* Assume given a linear extended connection D satisfying the condition of Proposition 8.1. To prove the proposition, we have to construct a weakly Hodge map $P : S^1 \to \mathcal{I}^1$ such that the extended connection $D + P$ is linear and flat. We do it by induction on the augmentation degree, that is, we construct one-by-one the terms P_k in the augmentation degree decomposition $P = \sum_k P_k$. The identity $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$ is the base of the induction, and the induction step is given by applying the following lemma to $D + \sum_{i=2}^k P_k$, for each $k \geq 1$ in turn.

Lemma. *Assume given a linear extended connection* $D : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ *on* M *and let* $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ *also denote the associated derivation. Assume also that the composition* $D \circ D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+2}$ *maps* S^1 *into* $\mathcal{I}^2_{>k} = \oplus_{p>k}\mathcal{I}^2_p$.

There exists a unique weakly Hodge bundle map $P_k : S^1 \to \mathcal{I}^1_{k+1}$ *such that the extended connection* $D' = D + P_k : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ *is linear, and for the associated derivation* $D' : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ *the composition* $D' \circ D'$ *maps* S^1 *into* $\mathcal{I}^2_{>k+1} = \oplus_{p>k+1}\mathcal{I}^2_p$.

Proof. Let $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ be the derivation of the total Weil algebra associated to the extended connection D, and let

$$R : (\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot})_{\bullet} \to \left(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet+2}_{tot}\right)_{\bullet+k}$$

be the component of augmentation degree k of the composition $D \circ D : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^2_{tot}$. Note that by 6.4.5 the map R vanishes on the subbundle $\Lambda^1_{tot} \subset \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)_1$. Moreover, the composition $C \circ R : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+3}$ of the map R with the canonical derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ vanishes on the subbundle $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$. Indeed, since C maps S^1 into Λ^1_{tot}, the composition $C \circ R$ is equal to the commutator $[C, R] : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^3_{tot}$. Since the extended connection D is by assumption linear, we have $D_0 = C$, and

$$\begin{aligned} C \circ R = [C, R] &= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq k} [C, D_p \circ D_{k-p}] = \\ &= [C, \{C, D_k\}] + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} [C, D_p \circ D_{k-p}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C \circ C = 0$, the first term in the right hand side vanishes. Let $\Theta = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} D_p : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$. Then the second term is the component of augmentation degree k in the commutator $[C, \Theta \circ \Theta] : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^3_{tot}$. By assumption $\{D, D\} = 0$ in augmentation degrees $< k$. Therefore we have $\{C, \Theta\} = -\{\Theta, \Theta\}$ in augmentation degrees $< k$. Since Θ increases the augmentation degree, this implies that in augmentation degree k

$$[C, \Theta \circ \Theta] = \{C, \Theta\} \circ \Theta - \Theta \circ \{C, \Theta\} = [\Theta, \{\Theta, \Theta\}],$$

which vanishes tautologically.

The set of all weakly Hodge maps $P : S^1 \to \mathcal{I}^1_k$ coincides with the set of all maps $P : S^1 \to \left(\mathcal{I}^1_{tot}\right)_k$ preserving the Hodge bundle structures. Let P be such a map, and let $D' : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ be the derivation associated to the extended connection $D' = D + P$.

Since the extended connection D is by assumption linear, by Lemma 8.2.1 the extended connection D' is linear if and only if $\sigma_{tot} \circ P = 0$. Moreover, since the augmentation degree-0 component of the derivation D equals C, the augmentation degree-k component $Q : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^2_{tot}$ in the composition $D' \circ D'$ is equal to

$$Q = R + \{C, (D' - D)\}.$$

By definition $D' - D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ equals P on $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$ and vanishes on $\Lambda^1_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$. Since C maps S^1 into $\Lambda^1_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$, we have $Q = R + C \circ P$. Thus, a map P satisfies the condition of the lemma if and only if

$$\begin{cases} C \circ P = -R \\ \sigma_{tot} \circ P = 0 \end{cases}$$

To prove that such a map P is unique, note that these equations imply

$$h \circ P = (\sigma_{tot} \circ C + C \circ \sigma_{tot}) \circ P = \sigma_{tot} \circ R,$$

and h is invertible by Corollary 8.3.4. To prove that such a map P exists, define P by

$$P = -h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ R : S^1 \to \mathcal{I}^1_{k+1}.$$

The map $h = \{C, \sigma_{tot}\}$ and its inverse h^{-1} commute with C and with σ_{tot}. Since $\sigma_{tot} \circ \sigma_{tot} = C \circ C0$, we have $\sigma_{tot} \circ P = h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ R = 0$. On the other hand, $C \circ R = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C \circ P = -C \circ h^{-1} \circ \sigma_C \circ R = -h^{-1} \circ C \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ R = \\ = h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ C \circ R - h^{-1} \circ h \circ R = -R. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma and of Proposition 8.1. □

8.4. Reduction of extended connections

8.4.1. We now complete the proof of Theorem 8.1. First we will need to identify explicitly the low Hodge bidegree components of the reduced Weil algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet}$. The following is easily checked by direct inspection.

Lemma. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{2,-1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{1,0} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{0,1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{-1,2} = \Lambda^1 \oplus \left(S^1 \otimes \Lambda^1\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 \\ &\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{3,-2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{2,-1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{1,1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{-1,2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{-2,3} = \\ &\qquad\qquad = \left(S^{1,-1} \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}\right) \oplus \left(S^{-1,1} \otimes \Lambda^{0,2}\right) \oplus \Lambda^2 \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

8.4.2. Let now $\nabla : S^1 \to S^1 \otimes \Lambda^1$ be an arbitrary real connection on the bundle S^1. The operator

$$D = C + \nabla : S^1 \to \Lambda^1 \oplus \left(\Lambda^1 \otimes S^1\right) \subset \mathcal{B}^1$$

is then automatically weakly Hodge and defines therefore an extended connection on M. This connection is linear by Lemma 8.2.1. Extend D to a derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ as in 6.4.5, and let $\widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet+1}$ be the associated derivation of the reduced Weil algebra.

Lemma. *The derivation $\widetilde{D}$ satisfies $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$ if and only if the connection ∇ is Kählerian.*

Proof. Indeed, the operator $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D}$ is weakly Hodge, hence factors through a bundle map

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} : S^1 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{3,-1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{2,0} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{1,1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{0,2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{-1,3} = \\ = \left(S^{1,-1} \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}\right) \oplus \left(S^{-1,1} \otimes \Lambda^{0,2}\right) \oplus \Lambda^2 \subset \mathcal{B}^2.\end{aligned}$$

By definition we have

$$\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = (C + \nabla) \circ (C + \nabla) = \{C, \nabla\} + \{\nabla, \nabla\}.$$

An easy inspection shows that the sum is direct, and the first summand equals

$$\{C, \nabla\} = T \circ C : S^1 \to \Lambda^2,$$

where T is the torsion of the connection ∇, while the second summand equals

$$\{\nabla, \nabla\} = R^{2,0} \oplus R^{0,2} : S^{1,-1} \oplus S^{-1,1} \to \left(S^{1,-1} \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}\right) \oplus \left(S^{-1,1} \otimes \Lambda^{0,2}\right),$$

where $R^{2,0}$, $R^{0,2}$ are the Hodge type components of the curvature of the connection ∇. Hence $\widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{D} = 0$ if and only if $R^{2,0} = R^{0,2} = T = 0$, which proves the lemma and finishes the proof of Theorem 8.1. ☐

8.4.3. We finish this section with the following corollary of Theorem 8.1 which gives an explicit expression for the augmentation degree-2 component D_2 of a flat linear extended connection D on the manifold M. We will need this expression in Section 9.

Corollary. *Let* $D = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k : S^1 \to \mathcal{B}^1$ *be a flat linear extended connection on* M*, so that* $D_0 = C$ *and* D_1 *is a Kählerian connection on* M*. We have*

$$D_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sigma \circ R,$$

where $\sigma : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ *is the canonical derivation introduced in* 6.3.7*, and* $R = D_1 \circ D_1 : S^1 \to S^1 \otimes \Lambda^{1,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^2$ *is the curvature of the Kählerian connection* D_1.

Proof. Extend the connection D to a derivation $D_C = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k^{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ of the total Weil algebra. By the construction used in the proof of Lemma 8.3.6 we have $D_2^{tot} = h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ R_{tot} : S^1 \to \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)_3$, where $h : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is as in Lemma 7.3.8, the map $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is the canonical map constructed in 7.3.7, and $R^{tot} : S^1 \to \left(\mathcal{B}^2_{tot}\right)_3$ is the square $R_{tot} = D_1^{tot} \circ D_1^{tot}$ of the derivation $D_1^{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$. By Lemma 7.3.9 the map h acts on $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)_3$ by multiplication by 3. Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$\left(B^2_{tot}\right)_3 = \left(S^1 \otimes \Lambda^2\right) \oplus \left(S^{-1,1} \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}\right) \oplus \left(S^{1,-1} \otimes \Lambda^{0,2}\right),$$

and the map $R^{tot} : S^1 \to \left(B^2_{tot}\right)_3$ sends S^1 into the first summand in this decomposition and coincides with the curvature $R : S^1 \to S^1 \otimes \Lambda^2 \subset \left(B^2_{tot}\right)_3$ of the Kählerian connection D_1. Therefore $\sigma_{tot} \circ R^{tot} = \sigma \circ R$, which proves the claim. ☐

9. Metrics

9.1. Hyperkähler metrics on Hodge manifolds

9.1.1. Let M be a complex manifold equipped with a Kählerian connection ∇, and consider the associated linear formal Hodge manifold structure on the tangent bundle $\overline{T}M$. In this section we construct a natural bijection between the set of all polarizations on the Hodge manifold $\overline{T}M$ in the sense of Subsection 3.3 and the set of all Kähler metrics on M compatible with the given connection ∇.

9.1.2. Let h be a hyperkähler metric on $\overline{T}M$, or, more generally, a formal germ of such a metric in the neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$. Assume that the metric h is compatible with the given hypercomplex structure and Hermitian-Hodge in the sense of 1.5.2, and let ω_I be the Kähler form associated to h in the preferred complex structure $\overline{T}M_I$ on $\overline{T}M$.

Let h_M be the restriction of the metric h to the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$, and let $\omega \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{1,1}(M))$ be the associated real $(1,1)$-form on the complex manifold M. Since the embedding $M \subset \overline{T}M_I$ is holomorphic, the form ω is the restriction onto M of the form ω_I. In particular, it is closed, and the metric h_M is therefore Kähler.

9.1.3. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 9.1 *Restriction onto the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ defines a one-to-one correspondence between*

(i) *Kähler metrics on M compatible with the Kählerian connection ∇, and*

(ii) *formal germs in the neighborhood on $M \subset \overline{T}M$ of Hermitian-Hodge hyperkähler metrics on $\overline{T}M$ compatible with the given formal Hodge manifold structure.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

9.1.4. In order to prove Theorem 9.1, we reformulate it in terms of polarizations rather than metrics. Recall (see 3.3.3) that a *polarization* of the formal Hodge manifold $\overline{T}M$ is by definition a $(2,0)$-form $\Omega \in C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^{2,0}(\overline{T}M_J))$ for the complementary complex structure $\overline{T}M_J$ which is holomorphic, real and of H-type $(1,1)$ with respect to the canonical Hodge bundle structure on $\Lambda^{2,0}(\overline{T}M_J)$, and satisfies a certain positivity condition (3.3).

9.1.5. By Lemma 3.3.4 Hermitian-Hodge hyperkähler metrics on $\overline{T}M$ are in one-to-one correspondence with polarizations. Let h be a metric on M, and let ω_I and ω be the Kähler forms for h on $\overline{T}M_I$ and on $M \subset \overline{T}M_I$. The corresponding polarization $\Omega \in C^\infty(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^{2,0}(\overline{T}M_J))$ satisfies by (1.4)

$$\omega_I = \frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega + \nu(\Omega)\right) \in \Lambda^2(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}), \tag{9.1}$$

where $\nu : \Lambda^2(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \overline{\Lambda^2(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})}$ is the usual complex conjugation.

9.1.6. Let $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$ be the natural projection, and let

$$\operatorname{Res} : \rho_* \Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

be the map given by the restriction onto the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$. Both bundles are naturally Hodge bundles of the same weight on M in the sense of 2.1.2, and the bundle map Res preserves the Hodge bundle structures. Since Ω is of H-type $(1,1)$, the form $\operatorname{Res}\Omega \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^2(M, \mathbb{C}))$ is real and of Hodge type $(1,1)$. By (9.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Res}\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Res}\Omega + \overline{\operatorname{Res}\Omega}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega + \nu(\Omega))|_{M \subset \overline{T}M} = \\ = \omega_I|_{M \subset \overline{T}M} = \omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore to prove Theorem 9.1, it suffices to prove the following.

- For every polarization Ω of the formal Hodge manifold $\overline{T}M$ the restriction $\omega = \operatorname{Res}\Omega \in C^\infty(\Lambda^{1,1}(M))$ is compatible with the connection ∇, that is, $\nabla\omega = 0$. Vice versa, every real positive $(1,1)$-form $\omega \in C^\infty(\Lambda^{1,1}(M))$ satisfying $\nabla\omega = 0$ extends to a polarization Ω of $\overline{T}M$, and such an extension is unique.

This is what we will actually prove.

9.2. Preliminaries

9.2.1. We begin with introducing a convenient model for the holomorphic de Rham algebra $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J)$ of the complex manifold $\overline{T}M_J$, which would be independent of the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$. To construct such a model, consider the relative de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$ of $\overline{T}M$ over M (see 5.2 for a reminder of its definition and main properties). Let $\pi : \Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$ be the canonical projection. Recall that the bundle $\Lambda^i(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$ of relative i-forms on $\overline{T}M$ over M carries a natural structure of a Hodge bundle of weight i. Moreover, we have introduced in (5.5) a Hodge bundle isomorphism

$$\eta : \rho^* \Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$$

between $\Lambda^i(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$ and the pullback $\rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the bundle of $\mathbb{C}$-valued i-forms on M.

Lemma. (i) *The projection π induces an algebra isomorphism*

$$\pi : \Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$$

compatible with the natural Hodge bundle structures.

(ii) *Let* $\alpha \in C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^{i,0}(\overline{T}M_J))$ *be a smooth* $(i,0)$*-form on* $\overline{T}M_J$*, and consider the smooth* i*-form*

$$\beta = \eta^{-1}\pi(\alpha) \in C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^i(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}))$$

on $\overline{T}M$*. The forms* α *and* β *have the same restriction to the zero section* $M \subset \overline{T}M$*.*

Proof. Since η, π and the restriction map are compatible with the algebra structure on $\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$, it suffices to prove both claims for $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. For every bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\overline{T}M$ denote by $\mathcal{E}|_{M \subset \overline{T}M}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$. Consider the bundle map

$$\chi = \eta \circ \mathrm{Res} : \Lambda^{1,0}(\overline{T}M_J)|_{M \subset \overline{T}M} \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})|_{M \subset \overline{T}M}.$$

The second claim of the lemma is then equivalent to the identity $\chi = \pi$. Moreover, note that the contraction with the canonical vector field φ on $\overline{T}M$ defines an injective map $i_\varphi : C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})|_M) \to C^\infty(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $i_\varphi \circ \chi = i_\varphi \circ \pi$.

Every smooth section s of the bundle $\Lambda^{1,0}(\overline{T}M_J)|_{M \subset \overline{T}M}$ is of the form

$$s = (\rho^*\alpha + \sqrt{-1} j \rho^*\alpha)|_{M \subset \overline{T}M},$$

where $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ is a smooth 1-form on M, and $j : \Lambda^1(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})$ is the map induced by the quaternionic structure on $\overline{T}M$. For such a section s we have $\mathrm{Res}(s) = \alpha$, and by (5.2.8) $i_\varphi(\chi(s)) = \sqrt{-1}\tau(\alpha)$, where $\tau : C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})) \to C^\infty(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})$ is the tautological map introduced in 4.3.2. On the other hand, since $\pi \circ \rho^* = 0$, we have

$$i_\varphi(\pi(s)) = i_\varphi(\pi(\sqrt{-1} j \rho^*\alpha)) = i_\varphi(\sqrt{-1} j \rho^*\alpha).$$

Since the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$ is linear, this equals

$$i_\varphi(\pi(s)) = \sqrt{-1} i_\varphi(j(\rho^*\alpha)) = \sqrt{-1}\tau(\alpha) = \chi(s),$$

which proves the second claim of the lemma. Moreover, it shows that the restriction of the map π to the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ is an isomorphism. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1.9, this implies that the map π is an isomorphism on the whole $\overline{T}M$, which proves the first claim and finishes the proof of the lemma. □

9.2.2. Lemma 9.2.1 (i) allows to define the bundle isomorphism

$$\pi^{-1} \circ \eta : \rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^\bullet(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J),$$

between $\rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ and $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J)$, and it induces an isomorphism

$$\rho_*(\eta \circ \pi^{-1}) : \rho_*\rho^*\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \cong \rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J)$$

between the direct images of these bundles under the canonical projection $\rho : \overline{T}M \to M$.

On the other hand, by adjunction we have the canonical embedding

$$\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \rho_*\rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}),$$

and by the projection formula it extends to an isomorphism

$$\rho_*\rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^0,$$

where $\mathcal{B}^0 = \rho_*\Lambda^0(\overline{T}M,\mathbb{C})$ is the 0-th component of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ of M. All these isomorphisms are compatible with the Hodge bundle structures and with the multiplication.

9.2.3. It will be convenient to denote the image $\rho_*(\eta \circ \pi^{-1})(\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})) \subset \rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J)$ by $L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ or, to simplify the notation, by $L^{\bullet}$. (The algebra $L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ is, of course, canonically isomorphic to $\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$.) We then have the identification

$$L^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^0 \cong \rho_*\rho^*\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J). \tag{9.2}$$

This identification is independent of the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$. Moreover, by Lemma 9.2.1 (ii) the restriction map $\mathrm{Res} : \rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ is identified under (9.2) with the canonical projection $L^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^0 \to L^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^0_0 \cong L^{\bullet}$.

By Lemma 5.1.9 we also have the identification $\rho_*\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J) \cong \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$. Therefore (9.2) extends to an algebra isomorphism

$$\rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J) \cong \rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M/M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong L^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}. \tag{9.3}$$

This isomorphism is also compatible with the Hodge bundle structures on both sides.

9.3. The Dolbeault differential on $\overline{T}M_J$

9.3.1. Our next goal is to express the Dolbeault differential $\bar{\partial}_J$ of the complex manifold $\overline{T}M_J$ in terms of the model for the de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J)$ given by (9.3). For every $k \geq 0$ denote by

$$D : L^k \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to L^k \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}.$$

the differential operator induced by $\bar{\partial}_J : \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet+1}(\overline{T}M_J)$ under (9.3). The operator D is weakly Hodge. It satisfies the Leibnitz rule with respect to the algebra structure on $L^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$, and we have $D \circ D = 0$. By definition for $k = 0$ it coincides with the derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ defined by the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$. For $k > 0$ the complex $\langle L^k \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}, D\rangle$ is a free differential graded module over the Weil algebra $\langle \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}, D\rangle$.

9.3.2. The relative de Rham differential d^r (see Subsection 5.2) induces under the isomorphism (9.3) an algebra derivation

$$d^r : L^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to L^{\bullet+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}.$$

The derivation d^r also is weakly Hodge, and we have the following.

Lemma. *The derivations D and d^r commute, that is,*

$$\{D, d^r\} = 0 : L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to L^{\bullet+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}.$$

Proof. The operator $\{D, d^r\}$ satisfies the Leibnitz rule, so it suffices to prove that it vanishes on $\mathcal{B}^0$, $\mathcal{B}^1$ and $L^1 \otimes \mathcal{B}^0$. Moreover, the $\mathcal{B}^0$-modules $\mathcal{B}^1$ and $L^1 \otimes \mathcal{B}^0$ are generated, respectively, by local sections of the form Df and $d^r f$, $f \in \mathcal{B}^0$. Since $\{D, d^r\}$ commutes with D and d^r, it suffices to prove that it vanishes on $\mathcal{B}^0$. Finally, $\{D, d^r\}$ is continuous in the adic topology on $\mathcal{B}^0$. Since the subspace

$$\{fg | f, g \in \mathcal{B}^0, Df = d^r g = 0\} \subset \mathcal{B}^0$$

is dense in this topology, it suffices to prove that for a local section $f \in \mathcal{B}^0$ we have $\{D, d^r\}f = 0$ if either $d^r f = 0$ of $Df = 0$.

It is easy to see that for every local section $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ we have $d^r\alpha = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^\bullet_0$ is of augmentation degree 0. By definition the derivation D preserves the component $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_0 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ of augmentation degree 0 in $\mathcal{B}^0$. Therefore $d^r f = 0$ implies $d^r Df = 0$ and consequently $\{D, d^r\}f = 0$. This handles the case $d^r f = 0$. To finish the proof, assume given a local section $f \in \mathcal{B}^0$ such that $Df = 0$. Such a section by definition comes from a germ at $M \subset \overline{T}M$ of a holomorphic function $\widehat{f}$ on $\overline{T}M_J$. Since $\widehat{f}$ is holomorphic, we have $\bar{\partial}_J \widehat{f} = 0$ and $d\widehat{f} = \partial_J \widehat{f}$. Therefore $d^r f = \pi(d\widehat{f}) = \pi(\partial_J f)$, and

$$Dd^r f = \pi(\bar{\partial}_J \partial_J \widehat{f}) = -\pi(\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J \widehat{f}) = 0,$$

which, again, implies $\{D, d^r\}f = 0$. □

9.3.3. Let now $\nabla = D_1 : S^1 \to S^1 \otimes \Lambda^1$ be the reduction of the extended connection D. It induces a connection on the bundle $L^1 \cong S^1$, and this connection extends by the Leibnitz rule to a connection on the exterior algebra $L^\bullet$ of the bundle L^1, which we will also denote by ∇.

Denote by $R = \nabla \circ \nabla : L^\bullet \to L^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^2$ the curvature of the connection ∇. Since $\nabla \circ \nabla = \frac{1}{2}\{\nabla, \nabla\}$, the operator R also satisfies the Leibnitz rule with respect to the multiplication in $L^\bullet$.

9.3.4. Introduce the augmentation grading on the bundle $L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ by setting $\deg L^\bullet = 0$. The derivation $D : L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ obviously does not increase the augmentation degree, and we have the decomposition $D = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k$. On the other hand, the derivation d^r preserves the augmentation degree. Therefore Lemma 9.3.2 implies that for every $k \geq 0$ we have $\{D_k, d^r\} = 0$. This in turn implies that $D_0 = 0$ on L^p for $p > 0$, and therefore $D_0 = \mathsf{id} \otimes C : L^p \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to L^p \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$. Moreover, this allows to identify explicitly the components D_1 and D_2 of the derivation $D : L^\bullet \to L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^1$. Namely, we have the following.

Lemma. *We have*

$$D_1 = \nabla : L^\bullet \to L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^1_1 = L^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^1$$

$$D_2 = \frac{1}{3}\sigma \circ R : L^\bullet \to L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^1_2,$$

where $\sigma = \mathsf{id} \otimes \sigma : L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is as in 7.3.2.

Proof. Since both sides of these identities satisfy the Leibnitz rule with respect to the multiplication in $L^\bullet$, it suffices to prove them for L^1. But $d^r : \mathcal{B}^0 \to L^1 \otimes \mathcal{B}^0$ restricted to $S^1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ becomes an isomorphism $d^r : S^1 \to L^1$. Since $\{D_1, d^r\} = \{D_2, d^r\} = 0$, it suffices to prove the identities with L^1 replaced with S^1. The first one then becomes the definition of ∇, and the second one is Corollary 8.4.3. □

9.4. The proof of Theorem 9.1

9.4.1. We can now prove Theorem 9.1 in the form 9.1.6. We begin with the following corollary of Lemma 9.3.4.

Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ be the ideal introduced in* 8.3.3. *An arbitrary smooth section $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, L^\bullet)$ satisfies*

$$D\alpha \in C^\infty(M, L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{I}^1) \subset C^\infty(M, L^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^1) \tag{9.4}$$

if and only if $\nabla\alpha = 0$.

Proof. Again, both the identity (9.4) and the equality $\nabla\alpha$ are compatible with the Leibnitz rule with respect to the multiplication in α. Therefore it suffices to prove that they are equivalent for every $\alpha \in L^1$. By definition of the ideal $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ the equality (9.4) holds if and only if $D_1\alpha = D_2\alpha = 0$. By Lemma 9.3.4 this is equivalent to $\nabla\alpha = \sigma \circ R(\alpha) = 0$. But since $R = \nabla \circ \nabla$, $\nabla\alpha = 0$ implies $\sigma \circ R(\alpha) = 0$, which proves the claim. □

9.4.2. Let now $\Omega \in C^\infty(M, \rho_*\Lambda^{2,0}(\overline{T}M_J) \cong L^2 \otimes \mathcal{B}^0$ be a polarization of the Hodge manifold $\overline{T}M_J$, so that Ω is of Hodge type $(1,1)$ and $D\Omega = 0$. Let $\omega = \operatorname{Res}\Omega \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}))$ be its restriction, and let $\Omega = \sum_{k\geq 0}\Omega_k$ be its augmentation degree decomposition.

As noted in 9.2.3, the restriction map $\operatorname{Res} : \rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ is identified under the isomorphism (9.3) with the projection $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{B}^0 \to L^\bullet$ onto the component of augmentation degree 0. Therefore $\omega = \Omega_0$. Since the augmentation degree-1 component $\left(L^2 \otimes B^0_{tot}\right)^{1,1}_1 = 0$ and $D\Omega = 0$, we have $\nabla\omega = D_1\Omega_0 = 0$, which proves the first claim of Theorem 9.1.

9.4.3. To prove the second claim of the theorem, let ω be a Kähler form on M compatible with the connection ∇, so that $\nabla\omega = 0$. We have to show that there exists a unique section $\Omega = \sum_{k\geq 0}\Omega_k \in C^\infty(M, L^2 \otimes \mathcal{B}^0)$ which is of Hodge type $(1,1)$ and satisfies $D\Omega = 0$ and $\Omega_0 = \omega$. As in the proof of Theorem 8.1, we will use induction on k. Since Ω is of Hodge type $(1,1)$, we must have $\Omega_1 = 0$, and by Corollary 9.4.1 we have $D(\Omega_0 + \Omega_1) \in C^\infty(M, L^2 \otimes \mathcal{I}^1)$, which gives the base of our induction. The induction step is given by applying the following proposition to $\sum_{0\geq p\geq k}\Omega_k$ for each $k \geq 1$ in turn.

Proposition 9.1 *Assume given integers $p, q, k; p, q \geq 0, k \geq 1$ and assume given a section $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^0)$ of Hodge type (p,q) such that*

$$D\alpha \in C^\infty(M, L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{I}^1_{\geq k}),$$

where $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{\geq k} = \oplus_{m \geq k} \mathcal{I}^\bullet_m$. *Then there exists a unique section* $\beta \in C^\infty(M, L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}_k)$ *of the same Hodge type* (p,q) *and such that* $D(\alpha + \beta) \in C^\infty(M, L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{I}^1_{\geq k+1})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ be the total Weil algebra introduced in 7.2.4, and consider the free module $L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ over $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ generated by the Hodge bundle L^{p+q}. This module carries a canonical Hodge bundle structure of weight $p+q$. Consider the maps $C : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$, $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ introduced in 7.3.3 and 7.3.7, and let $C = \mathsf{id} \otimes C, \sigma_{tot} = \mathsf{id} \otimes \sigma_{tot} : L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{tot} \to L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{tot}$ be the associated endomorphisms of the free module $L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$.

The maps C and σ_{tot} preserve the Hodge bundle structure. The commutator $h = \{C, \sigma_{tot}\} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ is invertible on $\mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ by Corollary 8.3.4 and acts as $k\mathsf{id}$ on $\mathcal{B}^0_k \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$. Therefore the endomorphism

$$h = \mathsf{id} \otimes h = \{C, \sigma_{tot}\} : L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$$

is invertible on $L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{I}^\bullet_{tot}$ and acts as $k\mathsf{id}$ on $L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^0_k$.

Since the derivation $D : L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ is weakly Hodge, it induces a map $D^{tot} : L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$, and $D\alpha \in L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{I}^1_{\geq k}$ if and only if the same holds for $D^{tot}\alpha$. To prove uniqueness, note that $D_0 = C$ is injective on $L^{p+q} \otimes \mathcal{B}^0_k$. If there are two sections β, β' satisfying the conditions of the proposition, then $D_0(\beta - \beta') = 0$, hence $\beta = \beta'$.

To prove existence, let $\gamma = (D^{tot}\alpha)_k$ be the component of the section $D^{tot}\alpha$ of augmentation degree k. Since $D^{tot} \circ D^{tot} = 0$, we have $C\gamma = D^{tot}_0 \gamma = 0$ and $C \circ \sigma_{tot}\gamma = h\gamma$. Let $\beta = -\frac{1}{k} \circ \sigma_{tot}(\gamma)$. The section β is of Hodge type (p,q) and of augmentation degree k. Moreover, it satisfies

$$D^{tot}_0 \beta = C\beta = -Ch^{-1}\sigma_{tot}(\gamma) = -h^{-1} \circ C \circ \sigma_{tot}\gamma = -h^{-1} \circ h\gamma = -\gamma.$$

Therefore $D_{tot}(\alpha + \beta)$ is indeed a section of $L^{p+q} \otimes (I^1_{tot})_{\geq k+1}$, which proves the proposition and finishes the proof of Theorem 9.1. □

9.5. The cotangent bundle

9.5.1. For every Kähler manifold M Theorem 9.1 provides a canonical formal hyperkähler structure on the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M. In particular, we have a closed holomorphic 2-form Ω_I for the preferred complex structure $\overline{T}M_I$ on M.

Let T^*M be the total space to the cotangent bundle to M equipped with the canonical holomorphic symplectic form Ω. To obtain a hyperkähler metric of the formal neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset T^*M$, one can apply an appropriate version of the Darboux Theorem, which gives a local symplectic isomorphism $\kappa : \overline{T}M \to T^*M$ in a neighborhood of the zero section. However, this theorem is not quite standard in the holomorphic and formal situations. For the sake of completeness, we finish this section with a sketch of a construction of such an isomorphism $\kappa : \overline{T}M \to T^*M$ which can be used to obtain a hyperkähler metric on T^*M rather than on $\overline{T}M$.

9.5.2. We begin with the following preliminary fact on the holomorphic de Rham complex of the manifold $\overline{T}M_I$.

Lemma. *Assume given either a formal Hodge manifold structure on the* $U(1)$-*manifold* $\overline{T}M$ *along the zero section* $M \subset \overline{T}M$, *or an actual Hodge manifold structure on an open neighborhood* $U \subset \overline{T}M$ *of the zero section.*

(i) *For every point* $m \in M$ *there exists an open neighborhood* $U \subset \overline{T}M_I$ *such that the spaces* $\Omega^{\bullet}(U)$ *of holomorphic forms on the complex manifold* $\overline{T}M_I$ *(formally completed along* $M \subset \overline{T}M$ *if necessary) equipped with the holomorphic de Rham differential* $\partial_I : \Omega^{\bullet}(U) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(U)$ *form an exact complex.*

(ii) *If the subset* $U \subset \overline{T}M$ *is invariant under the* $U(1)$-*action on* $\overline{T}M$, *then the same is true for the subspaces* $\Omega^{\bullet}_k(U) \subset \Omega^{\bullet}(U)$ *of forms of weight* k *with respect to the* $U(1)$-*action.*

(iii) *Assume further that the canonical projection* $\rho : \overline{T}M_I \to M$ *is holomorphic for the preferred complex structure* $\overline{T}M_I$ *on* $\overline{T}M$. *Then both these claims hold for the spaces* $\Omega^{\bullet}(U/M)$ *of relative holomorphic forms on* U *over* M.

Proof. The claim (i) is standard. To prove (ii), note that, both in the formal and in the analytic situation, the spaces $\Omega^{\bullet}(U)$ are equipped with a natural topology. Both this topology and the $U(1)$-action are preserved by the holomorphic Dolbeault differential ∂_I.

The subspaces $\Omega^{\bullet}_{fin}(U) \subset \Omega^{\bullet}(U)$ of $U(1)$-finite vectors are dense in the natural topology. Therefore the complex $\langle \Omega^{\bullet}_{fin}(U), \partial_I \rangle$ is also exact. Since the group $U(1)$ is compact, we have

$$\Omega^{\bullet}_{fin}(U) = \bigoplus_k \Omega^{\bullet}_k(U),$$

which proves (ii). The claim (iii) is, again, standard. □

9.5.3. We can now formulate and prove the main result of this subsection.

Proposition 9.2 *Assume given a formal polarized Hodge manifold structure on the manifold* $\overline{T}M$ *along the zero section* $M \subset \overline{T}M$ *such that the canonical projection* $\rho : \overline{T}M_I \to M$ *is holomorphic for the preferred complex structure* $\overline{T}M_I$ *on* $\overline{T}M$. *Let* Ω_I *be the associated formal holomorphic* 2-*form on* $\overline{T}M_I$. *Let* T^*M *be the total space of the cotangent bundle to the manifold* M *equipped with a canonical holomorphic symplectic form* Ω. *There exists a unique* $U(1)$-*equivariant holomorphic map* $\kappa : \overline{T}M_I \to T^*M$, *defined in a formal neighborhood of the zero section, which commutes with the canonical projections onto* M *and satisfies* $\Omega_I = \kappa^*\Omega$. *Moreover, if the polarized Hodge manifold structure on* $\overline{T}M_I$ *is defined in an open neighborhood* $U \subset \overline{T}M$ *of the zero section* $M \subset \overline{T}M$, *then the map* κ *is also defined in a (possibly smaller) open neighborhood of the zero section.*

Proof. By virtue of the uniqueness, the claim is local on M, so that we can assume that the whole M is contained in a $U(1)$-invariant neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}M_I$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 9.5.2. Holomorphic maps $\kappa : U \to T^*M$ which commute with the canonical projections onto M are in a natural one-to-one correspondence with holomorphic sections α of the bundle $\rho^*\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$ on $\overline{T}M_I$. Such a map κ is $U(1)$-equivariant if and only if the corresponding 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(U)$ is of weight 1 with respect to the $U(1)$-action. Moreover, it satisfies $\kappa^*\Omega = \Omega_I$ if and only if $\partial_I\alpha = \Omega_I$. Therefore to prove the formal resp. analytic parts of the proposition it suffices to prove that there exists a unique holomorphic formal resp. analytic section $\alpha \in C^\infty(U, \rho^*\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$ which is of weight 1 with respect to the $U(1)$-action and satisfies $\partial_I\alpha = \Omega_I$.

The proof of this fact is the same in the formal and in the analytic situations. By definition of the polarized Hodge manifold the 2-form $\Omega_I \in \Omega^2(U)$ is of weight 1 with respect to the $U(1)$-action. Therefore by Lemma 9.5.2 (ii) there exists a holomorphic 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(U)$ of weight 1 with respect to the $U(1)$-action and such that $\partial_I\alpha = \Omega_I$. Moreover, the image of the form Ω_I under the canonical projection $\Omega^2(U) \to \Omega^2(U/M)$ is zero. Therefore by Lemma 9.5.2 (iii) we can arrange so that the image of the form α under the projection $\Omega^1(U) \to \Omega^1(U/M)$ is also zero, so that α is in fact a section of the bundle $\rho^*\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$. This proves the existence part. To prove uniqueness, note that every two such 1-forms must differ by a form of the type $\partial_i f$ for a certain holomorphic function $f \in \Omega^0(U)$. Moreover, by Lemma 9.5.2 (ii) we can assume that the function f is of weight 1 with respect to the $U(1)$-action. On the other hand, by Lemma 9.5.2 (iii) we can assume that the function f is constant along the fibers of the canonical projection $\rho : \overline{T}M_I \to M$. Therefore we have $f = 0$ identically on the whole U. □

10. Convergence

10.1. Preliminaries

10.1.1. Let M be a complex manifold. By Theorem 8.1 every Kählerian connection $\nabla : \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ on the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ to the manifold M defines a flat linear extended connection $D : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ on M and therefore a formal Hodge connection D on the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M. By Proposition 5.2, this formal Hodge connection defines, in turn, a formal Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$ in the formal neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$.

In this section we show that if the Kählerian connection ∇ is real-analytic, then the corresponding formal Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$ is the completion of an actual Hodge manifold structure on an open neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}M$ of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$. We also show that if the connection ∇ comes from a Kähler metric ω on M, then the corresponding polarization Ω of the formal Hodge manifold $\overline{T}M$ defined in Theorem 9.1 converges in a neighborhood $U' \subset U \subset \overline{T}M$ of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ to a polarization of the Hodge manifold structure on U'. Here is the precise formulation of these results.

Theorem 10.1 *Let M be a complex manifold equipped with a real-analytic Kählerian connection $\nabla : \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ on its cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. There exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}M$ of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ in the total space $\overline{T}M$ of the complex-conjugate to the tangent bundle to M and a Hodge manifold structure on $U \subset \overline{T}M$ such that its completion along the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ defines a linear flat extended connection D on M with reduction ∇.*

Moreover, assume that M is equipped with a Kähler metric ω such that $\nabla\omega = 0$, and let $\Omega \in C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^2(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}))$ be the formal polarization of the Hodge manifold structure on $U \subset \overline{T}M$ along $M \subset \overline{T}M$. Then there exists an open neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of $M \subset U$ such that $\Omega \in C^\infty(U', \Lambda^2(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C})) \subset C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^2(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}))$.

10.1.2. We begin with some preliminary observations. First of all, the question is local on M, therefore we may assume that M is an open neighborhood of 0 in the complex vector space $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Fix once and for all a real structure and an Hermitian metrics on the vector space V, so that it is isomorphic to its dual $V \cong V^*$.

The subspace $\mathfrak{J} \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ of functions vanishing at $0 \in M$ is an ideal in the algebra $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$, and $\mathfrak{J}$-adic topology on $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ extends canonically to the de Rham algebra $\Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the manifold M and, further, to the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ of M introduced in 6.3.5. Instead of working with bundle algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ on M, it will be convenient for us to consider the vector space

$$\mathcal{B}^\bullet = C^\infty_{\mathfrak{J}}(M, B^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})),$$

which is by definition the $\mathfrak{J}$-adic completion of the space $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}))$ of global sections of the Weil algebra. This vector space is canonically a (pro-)algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the Hodge bundle structure on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ induces an $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure on the algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$.

10.1.3. The $\mathfrak{J}$-adic completion $C^\infty_{\mathfrak{J}}(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the space of smooth functions on M is canonically isomorphic to the completion $\widehat{S}^\bullet(V)$ of the symmetric algebra of the vector space $V \cong V^*$. The cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{V}$ with fiber V over M, and the completed de Rham algebra $C^\infty_{\mathfrak{J}}(M, \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}))$ is isomorphic to the product

$$C^\infty_{\mathfrak{J}}(M, \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})) \cong S^\bullet(V) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(V).$$

This is a free graded-commutative algebra generated by two copies of the vector space V, which we denote by $V_1 = V \subset \Lambda^1(V)$ and by $V_2 = V \subset S^1(V)$. It is convenient to choose the trivialization $\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{V}$ in such a way that the de Rham differential $d_M : \Lambda^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ induces an identity map $d_M : V_2 \to V_1 \subset C^\infty_{\mathfrak{J}}(M, \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$.

10.1.4. The complex vector bundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ on M is also isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{V}$. Choose a trivialization $S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{V}$ in such a way that the canonical map $C : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is the identity map. Denote by

$$V_3 = V \subset C^\infty(M, S^1(M,\mathbb{C})) \subset \mathcal{B}^0$$

the subset of constant sections in $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{V}$. Then the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ becomes isomorphic to the product

$$\mathcal{B}^i \cong \widehat{S}^\bullet(V_2 \oplus V_3) \otimes \Lambda^i(V_1)$$

of the completed symmetric algebra $\widehat{S}^\bullet(V_2 \oplus V_3)$ of the sum $V_2 \oplus V_3$ of two copies of the vector space V and the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet(V_1)$ of the third copy of the vector space V.

10.1.5. Recall that we have introduced in 7.2.2 a grading on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ which we call *the augmentation grading*. It induces a grading on the the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$. The augmentation grading on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is multiplicative, and it is obtained by assigning degree 1 to the generator subspaces $V_1, V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ and degree 0 to the generator subspace $V_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$. As in 7.2.2, we will denote the augmentation grading on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ by lower indices.

We will now introduce yet another grading on the algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ which we will call *the total grading*. It is by definition the multiplicative grading obtained by assigning degree 1 to *all* the generators $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ We will denote by $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{k,n} \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ the component of augmentation degree k and total degree n. Note that by definition $n, k \geq 0$ and, moreover, $n \geq k$.

Remark. In 7.2.2 we have also defined a finer *augmentation bigrading* on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C})$ and it this bigrading that was denoted by double lower indices throughout Section 8. We will now longer need the augmentation bigrading, so there is no danger of confusion.

10.1.6. The trivialization of the cotangent bundle to M defines an isomorphism $\overline{T}M \cong M \times V$ and a constant Hodge connection on the pair $\langle \overline{T}M, M\rangle$. The corresponding extended connection $D^{const} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is the sum of the trivial connection

$$\nabla_1^{const} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$$

on $S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{V}$ and the canonical isomorphism

$$C = \mathsf{id} : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C}).$$

The derivation $D^{const} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ of the Weil algebra associated to the extended connection D^{const} by 6.4.5 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} D^{const} &= C = \mathsf{id} : V_3 \to V_1 \\ D^{const} &= d_M = \mathsf{id} : V_2 \to V_1 \\ D^{const} &= d_M = 0 \text{ on } V_1 \end{aligned}$$

on the generator spaces $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$. In particular, the derivation D^{const} preserves the total degree.

10.1.7. Let now $D : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ be the an arbitrary linear extended connection on the manifold M, and let

$$D = \sum_{k\geq 0} D_k : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$$

be the derivation of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ associated to the extended connection D by 6.4.5. The derivation D admits a finer decomposition

$$D = \sum_{k,n\geq 0} D_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$$

according to both the augmentation and the total degree on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$. The summand $D_{k,n}$ by definition raises the augmentation degree by k and the total degree by n.

10.1.8. Since the extended connection $D : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is linear, its component $D_0 : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ of augmentation degree 0 coincides with the canonical isomorphism $C : S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(M,\mathbb{C})$. Therefore the restriction of the derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ to the generator subspace $V_3 \subset S^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ satisfies

$$D_0 = C = D_0^{const} = D_{0,0}^{const} : V_3 \to V_1 \subset \mathcal{B}^1.$$

In particular, all the components $D_{0,n}$ except for $D_{0,0}$ vanish on the subspace $V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$.

The restriction of the derivation D to the subspace $\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ by definition coincides with the de Rham differential $d_M : \Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C})$. Therefore on the generator subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ we have $D = d_M = D^{const}$. In particular, all the components $D_{k,n}$ except for $D_{1,0}$ vanish on the subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$.

10.1.9. The fixed Hermitian metric on the generator spaces $V_1 = V_2 = V_3 = V$ extends uniquely to a metric on the whole Weil algebra such that the multiplication map $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ is an isometry. We call this metric *the standard metric* on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$. We finish our preliminary observations with the following fact which we will use to deduce Theorem 10.1 from estimates on the components $D_{n,k}$ of the derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$.

Lemma. *Let $D = \sum_{n,k} D_{n,k} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ be a derivation associated to an extended connection D on the manifold M. Consider the norms $\|D_{k,n}\|$ of the restrictions $D_{k,n} : V_3 \to \mathcal{B}^1_{n+1,k+1}$ of the derivations $D_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ to the generator subspace $V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ taken with respect to the standard metric on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$. If for certain constants $C, \varepsilon > 0$ and for every natural $n \geq k \geq 0$ we have*

$$\|D_{k,n}\| < C\varepsilon^n, \tag{10.1}$$

then the formal Hodge connection on $\overline{T}M$ along M associated to D converges to an actual real-analytic Hodge connection on the open ball of radius ε in $\overline{T}M$ with center at $0 \in M \subset \overline{T}M$. Conversely, if the extended connection D comes *from a real-analytic Hodge connection on an open neighborhood* $U \subset \overline{T}M$*, and if the Taylor series for this Hodge connection converge in the closed ball of radius* ε *with center at* $0 \in M \subset \overline{T}M$*, then there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that* (10.1) *holds for every* $n, k \geq 0$*.*

Proof. The constant Hodge connection D^{const} is obviously defined on the whole $\overline{T}M$, and every other formal Hodge connection on $\overline{T}M$ is of the form

$$D = D^{const} + d^r \circ \Theta : \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $d^r : \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^1(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$ is the relative de Rham differential, and $\Theta \in C^\infty_M(\overline{T}M, \Lambda^1(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}))$ is a certain relative 1-form on the formal neighborhood of $M \subset \overline{T}M$ with values in the bundle $\rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. Both bundles $\Lambda^1(\overline{T}M/M, \mathbb{C})$ and $\rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ are canonically isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{V}$ with fiber V on $\overline{T}M$. Therefore we can treat the 1-form Θ as a formal germ of a $\operatorname{End}(V)$-valued function on $\overline{T}M$ along M. The Hodge connection D converges on a subset $U \subset \overline{T}M$ if and only if this formal germ comes from a real-analytic $\operatorname{End}(V)$-valued function Θ on U.

The space of all formal Taylor series for $\operatorname{End}(V)$-valued functions on $\overline{T}M$ at $0 \in M \subset \overline{T}M$ is by definition equal to $\operatorname{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{B}^0$. Moreover, for every $n \geq 0$ the component $\Theta_n \in \mathcal{B}^0_n \otimes \operatorname{End}(V) = \operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathcal{B}^0_n \otimes V)$ of total degree n of the formal power series for the function Θ at $0 \in \overline{T}M$ is equal to the derivation

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} D_{k,n} : V = V_3 \to V = V_1 \otimes \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq n} \mathcal{B}^0_{k,n}.$$

Every point $x \in \overline{T}M$ defines the “evaluation at x” map

$$\operatorname{ev}_x : C^\infty(\overline{T}M, \operatorname{End}(V)) \to \mathbb{C},$$

and the formal Taylor series for $\Theta \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{B}^0$ converges at the point $x \in \overline{T}M$ if and only if the series

$$\Theta(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{ev}_x(\Theta_n) \in \operatorname{End}(V)$$

converges. But we have

$$\| \operatorname{ev}_x(\Theta_n) \| = \left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} D_{k,n} \right\| |x|^n,$$

where $|x|$ is the distance from the point x to $0 \in \overline{T}M$. Now the application of standard criteria of convergence finishes the proof of the lemma. □

10.2. Combinatorics

10.2.1. We now derive some purely combinatorial facts needed to obtain estimates for the components $D_{k,n}$ of the extended connection D. First, let a_n be the Catalan numbers, that is, the numbers defined by the recurrence relation

$$a_n = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} a_k a_{n-k}$$

and the initial conditions $a_1 = 1$, $a_n = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. As is well-known, the generating function $f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^k$ for the Catalan numbers satisfies the equation $f(z) = f(z)^2 + z$ and equals therefore

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - z}.$$

The Taylor series for this function at $z = 0$ converges for $4|z| < 1$, which implies that

$$a_k < C(4 + \varepsilon)^k$$

for some positive constant $C > 0$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$.

10.2.2. We will need a more complicated sequence of integers, numbered by two natural indices, which we denote by $b_{k,n}$. The sequence $b_{k,n}$ is defined by the recurrence relation

$$b_{k,n} = \sum_{p,q;1 \leq p \leq k-1} \frac{q+1}{k} b_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q}$$

and the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} b_{k,n} & = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \leq 0, \\ b_{k,n} & = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, n < 0, \\ b_{k,n} & = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

which imply, in particular, that if $n < 0$, then $b_{k,n} = 0$ for every k. For every $k \geq 1$ let $g_k(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{k,n} z^n$ be the generating function for the numbers $b_{k,n}$. The recurrence relations on $b_{k,n}$ give

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(z) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} g_{k-p}(z) \left(1 + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)(g_p(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \left(2 + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)(g_p(z) g_{k-p}(z)), \end{aligned}$$

and the initial conditions give

$$g_1(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

10.2.3. Say that a formal series $f(z)$ in the variable z is *non-negative* if all the terms in the series are non-negative real numbers. The sum and product of two non-negative series and the derivative of a non-negative series is also obviously non-negative. For two formal series $s(z)$, $t(z)$ write $s(z) \ll t(z)$ if the difference $t(z) - s(z)$ is a non-negative power series.

Our main estimate for the generating functions $g_k(z)$ is the following.

Lemma. *For every $k \geq 1$ we have*

$$g_k(z) \ll a_k \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-1}},$$

where a_k are the Catalan numbers.

Proof. Use induction on k. For $k = 1$ we have $g_1(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and $a_1 = 1$, which gives the base for induction. Assume that the claim is proved for all $p < k$. Since all the $g_n(z)$ are non-negative power series, this implies that for every p, $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ we have

$$g_p(z) g_{k-p}(z) \ll a_p a_{k-p} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2p-1}} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-2p-1}} = a_p a_{k-p} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(2 + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)(g_p(z) g_{k-p}(z)) &\ll a_p a_{k-p} \left(2 + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-2}} \\
&= a_p a_{k-p} \left(\frac{2}{(1-z)^{2k-2}} + \frac{(2k-2)z}{(1-z)^{2k-1}}\right) \\
&= a_p a_{k-p} \left(\frac{2k-2}{(1-z)^{2k-1}} - \frac{2k-4}{(1-z)^{2k-2}}\right) \\
&\ll (2k-2) a_p a_{k-p} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
g_k(z) &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \left(2 + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)(g_p(z) g_{k-p}(z)) \\
&\ll \frac{2k-2}{2k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} a_p a_{k-p} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-1}} \\
&\ll \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} a_p a_{k-p} = a_k \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. □

10.2.4. This estimate yields the following estimate for the numbers $b_{k,n}$.

Corollary. *The power series*

$$g(z) = \sum_{k,n} b_{k,n} z^{n+k} = \sum_{k \geq 1} g_k(z) z^k$$

converges for $z < 3-\sqrt{8}$. Consequently, for every C_2 such that $(3-\sqrt{8})C_2 > 1$ there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$b_{n,k} < CC_2^{n+k}$$

for every n and k. (One can take, for example, $C_2 = 6$.)

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$g(z) \ll \sum_{k\geq 1} a_k z^k \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2k-1}} = (1-z)f\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}\right), \tag{10.2}$$

where $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - z}$ is the generating function for the Catalan numbers. Therefore

$$g(z) \ll (1-z)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}}\right),$$

and the right hand side converges absolutely when

$$\frac{|z|}{(1-z)^2} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Since $3-\sqrt{8}$ is the root of the quadratic equation $(1-z)^2 = 4z$, this inequality holds for every z such that $|z| < 3-\sqrt{8}$. $\square$

10.2.5. To study polarizations of Hodge manifold structures on $\overline{T}M$, we will need yet another recursive sequence of integers, which we denote by $b^m_{k,n}$. This sequence is defined by the recurrence relation

$$b^m_{k,n} = \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} \frac{q+m(k-p)}{k} b^m_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q}$$

and the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} b^m_{k,n} & = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad k, m \leq 0, \\ b^m_{k,n} & = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, n < 0, \\ b^m_{k,n} & = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

10.2.6. To estimate the numbers $b^m_{k,n}$, consider the auxiliary sequence $c_{k,n}$ defined by setting

$$c_{k,n} = \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} c_{p.q} b_{k-p,n-q} \qquad k \geq 2,$$

and $c_{k,n} = b_{k,n}$ for $k \leq 1$. The generating series $c(z) = \sum_{k,n\geq 0} c_{k,n} z^{n+k}$ satisfies

$$c(z) = c(z)g(z) + \frac{z}{1-z},$$

so that we have $c(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-g(z))}$, which is non-singular when $|z|, |g(z)| < 1$ and $g(z)$ is non-singular. By (10.2) the latter inequality holds if

$$\left|(1-z)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}}\right)\right| < 1,$$

which holds in the whole disc where $g(z)$ converges, that is, for $|z| < 3 - \sqrt{8}$. Therefore, as in Corollary 10.2.4, we have

$$c_{k,n} < C6^{n+k} \tag{10.3}$$

for some positive constant C.

10.2.7. We can now estimate the numbers $b^m_{k,n}$.

Lemma. *For every m, k, n we have*

$$b^m_{k,n} \leq (2m)^{k-1} c_{k,n} b_{k,n}, \tag{10.4}$$

where $c_{k,n}$ are as in 10.2.6 *and $b_{k,n}$ are the numbers introduced in* 10.2.2*. Consequently, we have*

$$b^m_{k,n} < C(72m)^{n+k+m}$$

for some positive constant $C > 0$.

Proof. Use induction on k. The case $k = 1$ follows from the initial conditions. Assume the estimate (10.4) proved for all $b^m_{p,q}$ with $p < k$. Note that by the recurrence relations we have $b_{p,q} \leq b_{k,n}$ and $c_{p,q} \leq c_{k,n}$ whenever $p < k$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
b^m_{k,n} &= \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} \frac{q + m(k-p)}{k} b^m_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} \\
&\leq \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} \frac{q}{k} b^m_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} + \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} b^m_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} \\
&\leq \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} 2^{p-1} c_{p,q} \frac{q}{k} b_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} + \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} 2^{p-1} b_{p,q} c_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} \\
&\leq 2^{k-2} c_{k,n} \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} \frac{q+1}{k} b_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} \\
&\quad + 2^{k-2} b_{k,n} \sum_{p,q;1\leq p\leq k-1} c_{p,q} b_{k-p,n-q} \\
&= 2^{k-2} c_{k,n} b_{k,n} + 2^{k-2} c_{k,n} b_{k,n} = 2^{k-1} c_{k,n} b_{k,n},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (10.4) for $b^m_{k,n}$. The second estimate of the lemma now follows from (10.3) and Corollary 10.2.4. □

10.3. The main estimate

10.3.1. Let now $D = \sum_{k,n} D_{n,k} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ be a derivation of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ associated to a flat linear extended connection on M. Consider the restriction $D_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{p,q} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}p+k, q+n$ of the derivation $D_{k,n}$ to the component $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ of augmentation degree p and total degree q. Since both $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{p,q}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}p+k, q+n$ are finite-dimensional vector spaces, the norm of this restriction with respect to the standard metric on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$ is well-defined. Denote this norm by $\|D_{k,n}\|_{p,q}$.

By Lemma 10.1.9 the convergence of the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$ corresponding to the extended connection D is related to the growth of the norms $\|D_{k,n}\|_{1,1}$. Our main estimate on the norms $\|D_{k,n}\|_{1,1}$ is the following.

Proposition 10.1 *Assume that there exist a positive constant C_0 such that for every n the norms $\|D_{1,n}\|_{1,1}$ and $\|D_{1,n}\|_{0,1}$ satisfy*

$$\|D_{1,n}\|_{1,1}, \|D_{1,n}\|_{1,0} < C_0^n.$$

Then there exists a positive constant C_1 such that for every n, k the norm $\|D_{k,n}\|_{1,1}$ satisfies

$$\|D_{k,n}\|_{1,1} < C_1^n.$$

10.3.2. In order to prove Proposition 10.1, we need some preliminary facts. Recall that we have introduced in 7.2.4 the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C})$ of the manifold M, and let

$$\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} = C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{J}}(M, \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}(M, \mathbb{C}))$$

be the algebra of its smooth sections completed at $0 \subset M$. By definition for every $k \geq 0$ we have $\mathcal{B}^k_{tot} = \mathcal{B}^k \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_k$, where $\mathcal{W}_k$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight k universal for weakly Hodge maps, as in 1.4.5. There exists a unique Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{W}_k$ such that all the Hodge components $\mathcal{W}^{p,q} \subset \mathcal{W}_k$ are orthogonal and all the Hodge degree components $w_k^{p,q}$ of the universal weakly Hodge map $w_k : \mathbb{R}(0) \to \mathcal{W}_k$ are isometries. This metric defines a canonical Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{W}^*_k$.

Definition. The *standard metric* on the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is the product of the canonical metric and the standard metric on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}$.

10.3.3. By Lemma 7.1.4 the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is generated by the subspaces $V_2, V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$ and the subspace $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1 \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$, which we denote by V_1^{tot}. The ideal of relations for the algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is the ideal in $S^{\bullet}(V_2 \oplus V_3) \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}(V_1^{tot})$ generated by $S^2(V_1) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathcal{W}^*_1) \subset \Lambda^2(V_1^{tot})$.

The direct sum decomposition (7.3) induces a direct sum decomposition

$$V_1^{tot} = V_1^{ll} \oplus \mathcal{V}_1^{o} \oplus V_1^{rr}$$

of the generator subspace $V_1^{tot} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$. The subspaces $V_1^o \subset V_1^{tot}$ and $V_1^{ll} \oplus V_1^{rr} \subset V_1^{tot}$ are both isomorphic to the vector space V_1. More precisely, the universal weakly Hodge map $w_1 : \mathbb{R}(0) \to \mathcal{W}_1$ defines a projection

$$P : V_1^{tot} = V_1 \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_1 \to V_1,$$

and the restriction of the projection P to either of the subspaces $V_1^o, V_1^{ll} \oplus V_1^{rr} \subset V_1^{tot}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, either of these restrictions is an isometry with respect to the standard metrics.

10.3.4. The multiplication in $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ is not an isometry with respect to this metric. However, for every $b_1, b_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ we have the inequality

$$\|b_1 b_2\| \leq \|b_1\| {\bullet} \|b_2\|.$$

Moreover, this inequality becomes an equality when $b_1 \subset \mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$. In particular, if we extend the map $P : V_1^{tot} \to V_1$ to a $\mathcal{B}^0$-module map

$$P : \mathcal{B}^1_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^1,$$

then the restriction of the map P to either of the subspaces $\mathcal{B}^1_o, \mathcal{B}^1_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^1_{rr} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ is an isometry with respect to the standard metric. Therefore the norm of the projection $P : \mathcal{B}^1_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^1$ is at most 2.

10.3.5. The total and augmentation gradings on the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ extend to gradings on the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$, also denoted by lower indices. The extended connection D on M induces a derivation $D^{tot} = \sum_{n,k} D^{tot}_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$. As in 10.3.1, denote by $\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,q}$ the norm of the map $D^{tot}_{k,n} : (\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot})_{p,q} \to (\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot})_{p+k,q+n}$ with respect to the standard metric on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$. The derivations $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ are related to $D_{k,n}$ by

$$D_{k,n} = P \circ D^{tot}_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}^1,$$

and we have the following.

Lemma. *For every k, n and $p = 0, 1$ we have*

$$\|D_{k,n}\|_{p,1} = \|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,1}.$$

Proof. By definition we have $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{0,1} \oplus \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{1,1} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$. Moreover, the derivation $D_{k,n}$ vanishes on V_1, hence $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ vanishes on V_1^{tot}. Therefore it suffices to compare their norms on $V_2 \oplus V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{B}^0_{tot}$.

Since on $S^\bullet(V_2) \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ the derivation $D_{k,n}$ coincides with the de Rham differential, the derivation $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ maps the subspace V_2 into $\mathcal{B}^1_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^1_{rr}$. Moreover, by Lemma 7.2.6 the derivation $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ maps V_3 either into $\mathcal{B}^1_o$ or into $\mathcal{B}^1_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^1_{rr}$, depending on the parity of the number k. Since $D_{k,n} = P \circ D^{tot}_{k,n}$ and the map $P : \mathcal{B}^1_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^1$ is an isometry on both $\mathcal{B}^1_o \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ and $\mathcal{B}^1_{ll} \oplus \mathcal{B}^1_{rr} \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$, we have $\|D_{k,n}\| = \|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|$ on both $V_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ and $V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$, which proves the lemma. □

This lemma allows to replace the derivations $D_{k,n}$ in Proposition 10.1 with associated derivations $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$.

10.3.6. Since the extended connection D is linear and flat, the construction used in the proof of Lemma 8.3.6 shows that

(10.5)
$$D^{tot}_k = h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} D^{tot}_p \circ D^{tot}_{k-p} : V_3 \to \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)_{k+1},$$

where $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is the canonical map constructed in 7.3.7, and $h : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$ is as in Lemma 7.3.8. Both σ_{tot} and h preserve the augmentation degree. In order to obtain estimates on $\|D_{k,n}\|_{1,1} = \|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{1,1}$, we have to estimate the norms $\|h^{-1}\|$ and $\|\sigma_{tot}\|$ of the restrictions of maps h^{-1} and σ_{tot} on the subspace $(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot})_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot}$.

By Lemma 7.3.9 the map $h : (\mathcal{B}^{\bullet})_{k+1} \to (\mathcal{B}^{\bullet})_{k+1}$ is diagonalizable, with eigenvalues $k+1$ if k is even and $(k+1)/2, (k-1)/2$ if k is odd. Since $m \geq 2$, in any case on $(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet})_{k+1}$ we have

$$\|h^{-1}\| < \frac{3}{k}. \tag{10.6}$$

10.3.7. To estimate $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{B}^2_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^2_{tot}$, recall that, as noted in 7.3.7, the map σ_{tot} is a map of $\mathcal{B}^0$-modules. The space $\mathcal{B}^2_{tot} = \mathcal{B}^0 \otimes \left(\mathcal{B}^2_{tot}\right)_2$ is a free $\mathcal{B}^0$-module generated by a finite-dimensional vector space $\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{tot}\right)_2$. The map σ_{tot} preserves the augmentation degree, hence it maps $\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{tot}\right)_2$ into the finite-dimensional vector space $\left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)_2$. Therefore there exists a constant K such that

$$\|\sigma_{tot}\| \leq K \tag{10.7}$$

on $\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{tot}\right)_2$. Since we have $\|b_1 b_2\| = \|b_1\| \cdot \|b_2\|$ for every $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}^0$, $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}^2_{tot}$, and the map σ_{tot} is $\mathcal{B}^0$-linear, the estimate (10.7) holds on the whole $\mathcal{B}^2_{tot} = \mathcal{B}^0 \otimes \left(\mathcal{B}^2_{tot}\right)_2$. We can assume, in addition, that $K \geq 1$.

Remark. In fact $K = 2$, but we will not need this.

10.3.8. Let now $b_{k,n}$ be the numbers defined recursively in 10.2.2. Our estimate for $\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,q}$ is the following.

Lemma. *In the assumptions and notations of Proposition* 10.1*, we have*

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,q} < q(3K)^{k-1} C_0^n b_{k,n}$$

for every k, n.

Proof. Use induction on k. The base of induction is the case $k = 1$, when the inequality holds by assumption. Assume that for some k we have proved the inequality for all $\|D^{tot}_{m,n}\|_{p,q}$ with $m < k$, and fix a number $n \geq k$.

Consider first the restriction of $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ onto the generator subspace $V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$. Taking into account the total degree, we can rewrite (10.5) as

$$D^{tot}_{k,n} = h^{-1} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sum_{q} D^{tot}_{k-p,n-q} \circ D^{tot}_{p,q} : V_3 \to \left(\mathcal{B}^1_{tot}\right)_{k+1}.$$

Therefore the norm of the map $D^{tot}_{k,n} : V_3 \to \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$ satisfies

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\} \leq \|h^{-1}\| \cdot \|\sigma_{tot}\| \cdot \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sum_{q} \|D^{tot}_{k-p,n-q}\|_{p+1,q+1} \cdot \|D^{tot}_{p,q}\|_{1,1}.$$

Substituting into this the estimates (10.6), (10.7) and the inductive assumption, we get

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\| < \frac{3}{k}K \sum_{1\le p\le k-1} \sum_q (q+1)(3K)^{k-2} C_0^n b_{k-p,n-q} b_{p,q} = (3K)^{k-1} C_0^n b_{k,n}.$$

Since by definition $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ vanishes on $V_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ and on $V^{tot}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}^1_{tot}$, this proves that

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,1} < (3K)^{k-1} C_0^n b_{k,n}$$

when $p = 0, 1$. Since the map $D^{tot}_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{tot}$ is a derivation, the Leibnitz rule and the triangle inequality show that for every p, q

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,q} < q(3K)^{k-1} C_0^n b_{k,n},$$

which proves the lemma. □

10.3.9. *Proof of Proposition* 10.1. By Lemma 10.3.5 we have $\|D_{k,n}\|_{p,1} = \|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,1}$, and by Lemma 10.3.8 we have

$$\|D_{k,n}\|_{p,1} = \|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|_{p,1} < (3K)^{k-1} C_0^n b_{n,k}.$$

Since $k \le n$ and $K \ge 1$, this estimate together with Corollary 10.2.4 implies that

$$\|D_{k,n}\|_{p,1} < C(3K)^{k-1} C_0^n 6^{2n} < C(108KC_0)^n$$

for some positive constant $C > 0$, which proves the proposition. □

10.3.10. Proposition 10.1 gives estimates for the derivation $D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ or, equivalently, for the Dolbeault differential

$$\bar{\partial}_J : \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^{0,\bullet+1}(\overline{T}M_J)$$

for the complementary complex structure $\overline{T}M_J$ on $\overline{T}M$ associated to the extended connection D on M. To prove the second part of Theorem 10.1, we will need to obtain estimates on the Dolbeault differential $\bar{\partial}_J : \Lambda^{p,0}(\overline{T}M_J) \to \Lambda^{p,1}(\overline{T}M_J)$ with $p > 0$. To do this, we use the model for the de Rham complex $\Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J)$ constructed in Subsection 9.2.

Recall that in 9.2.3 we have identified the direct image $\rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J)$ of the de Rham algebra of the manifold $\overline{T}M$ with the free module over the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ generated by a graded algebra bundle $L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ on M. The Dolbeault differential $\bar{\partial}_J$ for the complementary complex structure $\overline{T}M_J$ induces an algebra derivation $D : L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C})$, so that the free module $\rho_*\Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}(\overline{T}M_J) \cong L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ becomes a differential graded module over the Weil algebra.

10.3.11. The algebra bundle $L^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the de Rham algebra $\Lambda^{\bullet}(M,\mathbb{C})$. In particular, the bundle $L^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{V}$ with fiber V over M. By 9.3.2 the relative de Rham differential

$$d^r : \Lambda^{\bullet}(\overline{T}M/M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{\bullet+1}(\overline{T}M/M,\mathbb{C})$$

induces a derivation

$$d^r : L^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \to L^{\bullet+1}(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}),$$

and we can choose the trivialization $L^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{V}$ in such a way that d^r identifies the generator subspace $V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ with the subspace of constant sections of $\mathcal{V} \cong L^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \subset L^1(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^0(M,\mathbb{C})$.
10.3.12. Denote by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet} = C^\infty_{\mathfrak{J}}(L^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C}))$$

the $\mathfrak{J}$-adic completion of the space of smooth sections of the algebra bundle $L^\bullet(M,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}(M,\mathbb{C})$ on M. The space $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a bigraded algebra equipped with the derivations $d^r : \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{L}^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$, $D^{tot} : \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$, which commute by Lemma 9.3.2. The algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is the free graded-commutative algebra generated by the subspaces $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subset \mathcal{L}^{0,\bullet} = \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ and the subspace $V = d^r(V_3) \subset \mathcal{L}^{1,0}$, which we denote by V_4.
10.3.13. As in 10.3.2, the given metric on the generator subspaces $V_1 = V_2 = V_3 = V_4 = V$ extends uniquely to a multiplicative metric on the algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}$, which we call *the standard metric*. For every $k > 0$, introduce the total and augmentation gradings on the free $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$-module $\mathcal{L}^{k,\bullet} = \Lambda^k(V_4) \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ by setting $\deg \Lambda^k(V_4) = (0,0)$. Let $D = D_{k,n}$ be the decomposition of the derivation $D : \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$ with respect to the total and the augmentation degrees. Denote by $\|D_{k,n}\|^p_q$ the norm with respect to the standard metric of the restriction of the derivation $D_{k,n} : \mathcal{L}^{p,0} \to \mathcal{L}^{p,1}$ to the component in $\mathcal{L}^{p,0}$ of total degree q.
10.3.14. Let now $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{tot} = \Lambda^\bullet(V_4) \otimes \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$ be the product of the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet(V_4)$ with the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{tot}$. We have the canonical identification $\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{tot} = \mathcal{L}^{p,q} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*_q$, and the canonical projection $P : \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{tot} \to \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}$, identical on $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,0}_{tot} = \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,0}$. As in 10.3.4, the norm of the projection P on $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,1}_{tot}$ is at most 2.

The derivation $D : \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,0} \to \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,1}$ induces a derivation $D^{tot} : \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,0}_{tot} \to \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,1}_{tot}$, related to D by $D = P \circ D^{tot}$. The gradings and the metric on $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ extend to $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{tot}$, in particular, we have the decomposition $D^{tot} = \sum_{k,n} D^{tot}_{k,n}$ with respect to the total and the augmentation degrees. Denote by $\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|^p_q$ the norm with respect to the standard metric of the restriction of the derivation $D^{tot}_{k,n} : \mathcal{L}^{p,0}_{tot} \to \mathcal{L}^{p,1}_C$ to the component in $\mathcal{L}^{p,0}$ of total degree q. Since $\|P\| \leq 2$ on $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,1}_{tot}$, we have

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|^p_q \leq 2 \cdot \|D_{k,n}\|^p_q. \tag{10.8}$$

10.3.15. The estimate on the norms $\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|^{\mathcal{L}}_{p,q}$ that we will need is the following.

Lemma. *In the notation of Lemma* 10.3.8*, we have*

$$\|D^{tot}_{k,n}\|^p_q < 2(q + pk)(3K)^{k-1} C_0^n b_{k,n},$$

for every p, q, k, n.

Proof. Since $D_{k,n}$ satisfies the Leibnitz rule, it suffices to prove the estimate for the restriction of the derivation $D^{tot}_{k,n}$ to the generator subspaces $V_2, V_3, V_4 \subset \mathcal{L}^{\bullet,0}$. By (10.8) it suffices to prove that on V_2, V_3, V_4 we have

$$\|D_{k,n}\|^p_q < (q + pk)(3K)^{k-1} C^n_0 b_{k,n}.$$

On the generator subspaces $V_2, V_3 \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ we have $p = 0$, and this equality is the claim of Lemma 10.3.8. Therefore it suffices to consider the restriction of the derivation $D_{k,n}$ to the subspace $V_4 = d^r(V_3) \subset \mathcal{L}^{1,0}$. We have $d^r \circ D_{k,n} = D_{k,n} \circ d^r : V_3 \to \mathcal{L}^{1,1}$. Moreover, $d^r = \mathsf{id} : V_3 \to V_4$ is an isometry. Since the operator $d^r : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{L}^{1,\bullet}$ satisfies the Leibnitz rule and vanishes on the generators $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$, the norm $\|d^r\|_k$ of its restriction to the subspace $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_k$ of augmentation degree k does not exceed k. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|D_{k,n}\|^1_0 = \|D_{k,n}|_{V_4}\| &= \|D_{k,n} \circ d^r|_{V_3}\| = \|d^r \circ D_{k,n}|_{V_3}\| \\ &\leq \|D_{k,n}|_{V_3}| \cdot \left\| d^r_{\mathcal{B}^1_k} \right\| < k(3K)^{k-1} C^n_0 b_{k,n},\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. □

10.4. The proof of Theorem 10.1

10.4.1. We can now prove Theorem 10.1. Let $D : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ be a flat linear extended connection on M. Assume that its reduction $D_1 = \nabla : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is a real-analytic connection on the bundle $S^1(M, \mathbb{C})$.

The operator $D_1 : S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \to S^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ considered as an extended connection on M defines a Hodge connection $D_1 : \Lambda^0(\overline{T}M, \mathbb{C}) \to \rho^*\Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ on the pair $\langle \overline{T}M, M\rangle$, and this Hodge connection is also real-analytic. Assume further that the Taylor series at $0 \subset M \subset \overline{T}M$ for the Hodge connection D_1 converge in the closed ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$.

10.4.2. Let $D = \sum_{n,k} D_{k,n} : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ be the derivation of the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ associated to the extended connection D. Applying Lemma 10.1.9 to the Hodge connection D_1 proves that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 0$ the norm $\|D_{1,n}\|_{1,1}$ of the restriction of the derivation $D_{1,n}$ to the generator subspace $V_3 = \mathcal{B}^0_{1,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ satisfies

$$\|D_{1,n}\|_{1,1} < CC^n_0,$$

where $C_0 = 1/\varepsilon$.

By definition the derivation $D_{1,n}$ vanishes on the generator subspace $V_1 \subset \mathcal{B}^1$. If $n > 0$, then it also vanishes on the generator subspace $V_2 = \mathcal{B}^0_{0,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^0$. If $n = 0$, then its restriction to $V_2 = \mathcal{B}^0_{0,1} \subset \mathcal{B}^0$ is the identity isomorphism $D_{1,0} = \mathsf{id} : V_2 \to V_1$. In any case, we have $\|D_{1,n}\|_{0,1} \leq 1$. Increasing if necessary the constant C_0, we can assume that for any n and for $p = 0, 1$ we have

$$\|D_{1,n}\|_{p,1} < C^n_0.$$

10.4.3. We can now apply our main estimate, Proposition 10.1. It shows that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for every k, n we have

$$\|D_{k,n}\|_{1,1} < C_1^n.$$

Together with Lemma 10.1.9 this estimate implies that the formal Hodge connection D on $\overline{T}M$ along $M \subset \overline{T}M$ corresponding to the extended connection D converges to a real-analytic Hodge connection on an open neighborhood $U \subset \overline{T}M$ of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$. This in turn implies the first claim of Theorem 10.1.

10.4.4. To prove the second claim of Theorem 10.1, assume that the manifold M is equipped with a Kähler form ω compatible with the Kählerian connection ∇, so that $\nabla\omega = 0$. The differential operator $\nabla : \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \to \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ is elliptic and real-analytic. Since $\nabla\omega = 0$, the Kähler form ω is also real-analytic.

For every $p, q \geq 0$ we have introduced in 10.3.12 the space $\mathcal{L}^{p,q}$, which coincides with the space of formal germs at $0 \in M \subset \overline{T}M$ of smooth forms on $\overline{T}M$ of type (p, q) with respect to the complementary complex structure $\overline{T}M_J$. The spaces $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ carry the total and the augmentation gradings. Consider ω as an element of the vector space $\mathcal{L}_0^{2,0}$, and let $\omega = \sum_n \omega_n$ be the total degree decomposition. The decomposition $\omega = \sum_n \omega_n$ is the Taylor series decomposition for the form ω at $0 \in M$. Since the form ω is real-analytic, there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$\|\omega_n\| < C_2^n \tag{10.9}$$

for every n.

10.4.5. Let $\Omega = \sum_k \Omega_k = \sum_{k,n} \Omega_{k,n} \subset \mathcal{L}^{2,0}$ be the formal polarization of the Hodge manifold $\overline{T}M$ at $M \subset \overline{T}M$ corresponding to the Kähler form ω by Theorem 9.1. By definition we have $\omega = \Omega_0$. Moreover, by construction used in the proof of Proposition 9.1 we have

$$\Omega_k = -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sigma_{tot}(D_{k-p}^{tot}\Omega_p), \tag{10.10}$$

where $D^{tot} : \mathcal{L}^{2,0} \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1}$ is the derivation associated to the extended connection D on M and $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{L}^{2,\bullet+1} \to \mathcal{L}^{2,\bullet}$ is the extension to $\mathcal{L}^{2,\bullet} = L_0^2 \otimes \mathcal{B}_{tot}^\bullet$ of the canonical endomorphism of the total Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}_{tot}^\bullet$ constructed in the proof of Proposition 9.1.

10.4.6. The map $\sigma_{tot} : \mathcal{L}^{2,1} \to \mathcal{L}^{2,0}$ is a map of $\mathcal{B}_{tot}^0$-modules. Therefore, as in 10.3.7, there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|\sigma_{tot}\| < K_1 \tag{10.11}$$

on $\mathcal{L}^{2,1}$. We can assume that $K_1 > 3K$, where K is as in (10.7). Together with the recursive formula (10.10), this estimate implies the following estimate on the norms $\|\Omega_{k,n}\|$ of the components $\Omega_{k,n}$ of the formal polarization Ω taken with respect to the standard metric.

Lemma. *For every k, n we have*

$$\|\Omega_{k,n}\| < (2K_1)^{k-1} C^n b^2_{k,n},$$

where $C = \max(C_0, C_2)$ is the bigger of the constants C_0, C_2, and $b^2_{k,n}$ are the numbers defined recursively in 10.2.5.

Proof. Use induction on k. The base of the induction is the case $k = 1$, where the estimate holds by (10.9). Assume the estimate proved for all $\Omega_{p,n}$ with $p < k$, and fix a number n. By (10.10) we have

$$\Omega_{k,n} = -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sum_q \sigma_{tot}(D^{tot}_{k-p,n-q} \Omega_{p,q}).$$

Substituting the estimate (10.11) together with the inductive assumption and the estimate on $\|D^{tot}_{k-p,n-q}\|^2_q$ obtained in Lemma 10.3.15, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Omega_{k,n}\| &< \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sum_q \|\sigma_{tot}\| \bullet \|D^{tot}_{k-p,n-q}\|^2_q \bullet \|\Omega_{p,q}\| \\
&< \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sum_q K_1 \bullet 2(q + 2(k-p))(3K)^{k-p-1} C_0^{n-q} b_{k-p,n-q} \\
&\qquad\qquad \bullet (2K_1)^{p-1} C^q b_{p,q} \\
&< \frac{1}{k} (2K_1)^{k-1} C^n \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k-1} \sum_q (q + 2(k-p)) b_{k-p,n-q} b^2_{p,q} \\
&= (2K_1)^{k-1} C^n b^2_{k,n},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. □

10.4.7. This estimate immediately implies the last claim of Theorem 10.1. Indeed, together with Lemma 10.2.7 it implies that for every k, n

$$\|\Omega_{k,n}\| < (C_3)^n$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. But $\Omega = \sum_n \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \Omega_{k,n}$ is the Taylor series decomposition for the formal polarization Ω at $0 \subset M$. The standard convergence criterion shows that this series converges in an open ball of radius $1/C_3 > 0$. Therefore the polarization Ω is indeed real-analytic in a neighborhood of $0 \subset M \subset \overline{T}M$. □

Appendix

A.1.1. In this appendix we describe a well-known Borel-Weyl type localization construction for quaternionic vector spaces (see, e.g. [HKLR]) which provides a different and somewhat more geometric approach to many facts in the theory of Hodge manifolds. In particular, we establish, following Deligne and Simpson

([D2], [S1]), a relation between Hodge manifolds and the theory of mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. For the sake of simplicity, we consider only Hodge manifold structures on the formal neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ instead of actual Hodge manifolds, as in Section 10. To save the space all proofs are either omitted or only sketched.

A.1.2. Let SB be the Severi-Brauer variety associated to the algebra $\mathbb{H}$, that is, the real algebraic variety of minimal right ideals in $\mathbb{H}$. The variety SB is a twisted $\mathbb{R}$-form of the complex projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

For every algebra map $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ let the algebra $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ act on the 2-dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{H}_I$ by left multiplication, and let $\widehat{I} \subset \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the annihilator of the subspace $I(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{H}_I$ with respect to this action. The subspace $\widehat{I}$ is a minimal right ideal in $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Therefore it defines a $\mathbb{C}$-valued point $\widehat{I} \subset \mathrm{SB}(\mathbb{C})$ of the real algebraic variety SB. This establishes a bijection between the set $\mathrm{SB}(\mathbb{C})$ and the set of algebra maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{H}$.

A.1.3. Let Shv(SB) be the category of flat coherent sheaves on SB. Say that a sheaf $\mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{Ob} \operatorname{Shv}(\mathrm{SB})$ is *of weight p* if the sheaf $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathrm{SB} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a sum of several copies of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(p)$.

Consider a quaternionic vector space V. Let $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SB}}$ be the tautological minimal left ideal in the algebra sheaf $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SB}}$, and let $V_{loc} \in \operatorname{Ob} \operatorname{Shv}(\mathrm{SB})$ be the sheaf defined by

$$V_{loc} = V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SB}} / \mathcal{I} \cdot V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SB}}.$$

The correspondence $V \mapsto V_{loc}$ defines a functor from quaternionic vector spaces to Shv(SB). It is easy to check that this functor is a full embedding, and its essential image is the subcategory of sheaves of weight 1. Call V_{loc} *the localization* of the quaternionic vector space V. For every algebra map $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ the fiber $V_{loc}|_{\widehat{I}}$ of the localization V_{loc} over the point $\widehat{I} \subset \mathrm{SB}(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the map $i : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ is canonically isomorphic to the real vector space V with the complex structure V_I.

A.1.4. The compact Lie group $U(1)$ carries a canonical structure of a real algebraic group. Fix an algebra embedding $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ and let the group $U(1)$ act on the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ as in 1.1.2. This action is algebraic and induces therefore an algebraic action of the group $U(1)$ on the Severi-Brauer variety SB. The point $\widehat{I} : \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{SB}$ is preserved by the $U(1)$-action. The action of the group $U(1)$ on the complement $\mathrm{SB} \setminus \widehat{I}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{SB}$ is free, so that the variety SB consists of two $U(1)$-orbits. The corresponding orbits of the complexified group $\mathbb{C}^* = U(1) \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$ on the complexification $\mathrm{SB} \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}P$ are the pair of points $0, \infty \subset \mathbb{C}P$ and the open complement $\mathbb{C}P \setminus \{0, \infty\} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{C}P$.

Let $\operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$ be the category of $U(1)$-equivariant flat coherent sheaves on the variety SB. The localization construction immediately extends to give the equivalence $V \mapsto V_{loc}$ between the category of equivariant quaternionic vector spaces and the full subcategory in $\operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$ consisting of sheaves of weight 1. For an equivariant quaternionic vector space V, the fibers of the sheaf V_{loc} over the point $\widehat{I} \subset \mathrm{SB}(\mathbb{C})$ and over the complement $\mathrm{SB} \setminus \widehat{I}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C})$ are isomorphic to the space V equipped, respectively, with the preferred and the complementary complex structures V_I and V_J.

A.1.5. The category of $U(1)$-equivariant flat coherent sheaves on the variety SB admits the following beautiful description, due to Deligne.

Lemma ([D2],[S1]). (i) *For every integer n the full subcategory*

$$\operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}_n(\mathrm{SB}) \subset \operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$$

of sheaves of weight n is equivalent to the category of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures of weight n.

(ii) *The category of pairs $\langle \mathcal{E}, W_\bullet \rangle$ of a flat $U(1)$-equivariant sheaf*

$$\mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{Ob}\operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$$

and an increasing filtration $W_\bullet$ on $\mathcal{E}$ such that for every integer n

$$W_n\mathcal{E}/W_{n-1}\mathcal{E} \quad \text{is a sheaf of weight} \quad n \quad \text{on} \quad \mathrm{SB} \tag{A.1}$$

is equivalent to the category of mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. (In particular, it is abelian.)

A.1.6. For every pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V call the corresponding $U(1)$-equivariant flat coherent sheaf on the variety SB *the localization* of V and denote it by V_{loc}. For the trivial $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\mathbb{R}(0)$ of weight 0 the sheaf $\mathbb{R}(0)_{loc}$ coincides with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}$ on SB. If V, W are two pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures, then the space $\operatorname{Hom}(V_{loc}, W_{loc})$ of $U(1)$-equivariant maps between the corresponding sheaves coincides with the space of weakly Hodge maps from V to W in the sense of Subsection 1.4.

For every pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure V the space $\Gamma(\mathrm{SB}, V_{loc})$ of the global sections of the sheaf V_{loc} is equipped with an action of the group $U(1)$ and carries therefore a canonical $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight 0. This $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure is the same as the universal $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\Gamma(V)$ of weight 0 constructed in Lemma 1.4.6. This explains our notation for the functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}odge_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{WH}odge_0$.

A.1.7. Assume given a complex vector space V and let M be the formal neighborhood of $0 \in V$. Let $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ be the Weil algebra of the manifold M, as in 10.1.2. For every $n \geq 0$ the vector space $\mathcal{B}^n$ is equipped with an $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight n, so that we can consider the localization $\mathcal{B}^n_{loc}$. The sheaf $\oplus\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc}$ is a commutative algebra in the tensor category $\operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$. We will call *the localized Weil algebra.*

The augmentation grading on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ defined in 7.2.2 is compatible with the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. Therefore it defines an augmentation grading on the localized Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc}$. The finer augmentation bigrading on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ does not define a bigrading on $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc}$. However, it does define a bigrading on the complexified algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant sheaves on the manifold $\mathrm{SB} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}P$.

A.1.8. Assume now given a flat extended connection $D : \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}$ on M. Since the derivation D is weakly Hodge, it corresponds to a derivation

$D : \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{loc}$ of the localized Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc}$. It is easy to check that the complex $\langle \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc}, D\rangle$ is acyclic in all degrees but 0. Denote by $\mathcal{H}^0$ the 0-th cohomology sheaf $H^0(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc})$. The sheaf $\mathcal{H}^0$ carries a canonical algebra structure. Moreover, while the derivation D does not preserve the augmentation grading on $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc}$, it preserves the decreasing *augmentation filtration* $(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc})_{\geq\bullet}$. Therefore we have a canonical decreasing filtration on the algebra $\mathcal{H}^0$, which we also call the augmentation filtration.

A.1.9. It turns out that the associated graded quotient algebra $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{H}^0$ with respect to the augmentation filtration does not depend on the extended connection D. To describe it, introduce the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure W of weight -1 by setting $W = V$ as a real vector space and

$$W^{-1,0} = V \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \qquad W^{0,-1} = \overline{V} \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}. \tag{A.2}$$

The k-th graded piece $\operatorname{gr}_k \mathcal{H}^0$ with respect to the augmentation filtration is then isomorphic to the symmetric power $S^k(W_{loc})$ of the localization W_{loc} of the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure W. In particular, it is a sheaf of weight $-n$, so that up to a change of numbering the augmentation filtration on $\mathcal{H}^0$ satisfies the condition (A.1). The extension data between these graded pieces depend on the extended connection D. The whole associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{H}^0$ is isomorphic to the completed symmetric algebra $\widehat{S}^{\bullet}(W_{loc})$.

A.1.10. Using standard deformation theory, one can show that the algebra map $\mathcal{H}^0 \to \mathcal{B}^0_{loc}$ is the universal map from the algebra $\mathcal{H}^0$ to a complete commutative pro-algebra in the tensor category of $U(1)$-equivariant flat coherent sheaves of weight 0 on SB. Moreover, the localized Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc}$ coincides with the relative de Rham complex of $\mathcal{B}^0_{loc}$ over $\mathcal{H}^0$. Therefore one can recover, up to an isomorphism, the whole algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{loc}$ and, consequently, the extended connection D, solely from the algebra $\mathcal{H}^0$ in $\operatorname{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$. Together with Lemma A.1.5 (ii) this gives the following, due also to Deligne (in a different form).

Proposition A.1 *The correspondence* $\langle \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}, D\rangle \mapsto \mathcal{H}^0$ *is a bijection between the set of all isomorphism classes of flat extended connections on* M *and the set of all algebras* $\mathcal{H}^0$ *in the tensor category of mixed* $\mathbb{R}$*-Hodge structures equipped with an isomorphism* $\operatorname{gr}^W_{-1}\mathcal{H}^0 \cong W$ *between the* -1*-th associated graded piece of the weight filtration on* $\mathcal{H}^0$ *and the pure* $\mathbb{R}$*-Hodge structure* W *defined in* (A.2) *which induces for every* $n \geq 0$ *an isomorphism* $\operatorname{gr}^W_{-n}\mathcal{H}^0 \cong S^n W$.

Remark. The scheme $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{H}^0$ over SB coincides with the so-called twistor space of the manifold $\overline{T}M$ with the hypercomplex structure given by the extended connection D (see [HKLR] for the definition). Deligne's and Simpson's ([D2], [S1]) approach differs from ours in that they use the language of twistor spaces to describe the relation between $U(1)$-equivariant hypercomplex manifolds and mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures. Since this requires some additional machinery, we have avoided introducing twistor spaces in this paper.

A.1.11. We will now try to use the localization construction to eludicate some of the complicated linear algebra used in Section 8 to prove our main theorem. As we have already noted, the category $\mathcal{WH}\mathit{odge}$ of pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structures with weakly Hodge maps as morphisms is identified by localization with the category $\mathrm{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$ of $U(1)$-equivariant flat coherent sheaves on SB. Moreover, the functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{WH}\mathit{odge}_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{WH}\mathit{odge}_0$ introduced in Lemma 1.4.6 is simply the functor of global sections $\Gamma(\mathrm{SB}, \bullet)$.

A.1.12. Consider the localized Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc}$ with the derivation $C : \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc} \to \mathcal{B}^{\bullet+1}_{loc}$ associated to the canonical weakly Hodge derivation introduced in 7.3.2. The differential graded algebra $\langle \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc}, C\rangle$ is canonically an algebra over the completed symmetric algebra $\widehat{S}^\bullet(V)$ generated by the constant sheaf on SB with the fiber V. Moreover, it is a free commutative algebra generated by the complex

$$V \longrightarrow V(1) \tag{A.3}$$

placed in degrees 0 and 1, where $V(1)$ is the $U(1)$-equivariant sheaf of weight 1 on SB corresponding to the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure given by $V(1)^{1,0} = V$ and $V(1)^{0,1} = \overline{V}$.

A.1.13. The homology sheaves of the complex (A.3) are non-trivial only in degree 1. This non-trivial homology sheaf is a skyscraper sheaf concentrated in the point $\widehat{I}(\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{SB}$ with fiber V. The associated sheaf on the complexification $\mathrm{SB} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}P$ splits into the sum of skyscraper sheaf with fiber V concentrated at $0 \in \mathbb{C}P$ and the skyscraper sheaf with fiber $\overline{V}$ concentrated at $\infty \in \mathbb{C}P$. This splitting cooresponds to the splitting of the complex (A.3) itself into the components of augmentation bidegrees $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$.

A.1.14. Let now $\mathcal{I}^\bullet = \mathcal{B}^\bullet_{\geq 0, \geq 0}$ be the sum of the components in the Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet$ of augmentation bidegree greater or equal than $(1,1)$. The subspace $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \subset \mathcal{B}^\bullet$ is compatible with the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure. The crucial point in the proof of Theorem 8.1 is Proposition 7.1, which claim the acyclycity of the complex $\langle \Gamma(\mathcal{I}^\bullet), C\rangle$. It is this fact that becomes almost obvious from the point of view of the localization construction. To show it, we first prove the following.

Lemma. *The complex* $\langle \mathcal{I}^\bullet_{loc}, C\rangle$ *of* $U(1)$*-equivariant sheaves on* SB *is acyclic.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the complex $I^\bullet_{loc} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of sheaves on $\mathrm{SB} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}P$ is acyclic. To prove it, let $p, q \geq 1$ be arbitrary integer, and consider the component $(B^\bullet_{loc})_{p,q}$ of augmentation bidegree (p,q) in the localized Weil algebra $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{loc}$. By definition we have

$$(B^\bullet_{loc})_{p,q} = (B^\bullet_{loc})_{p,0} \otimes (B^\bullet_{loc})_{0,q}$$

Since the complex $(B^\bullet_{loc})_{p,0} = S^p\,(B^\bullet_{loc})_{1,0}$ has homology concentrated at $0 \in \mathbb{C}P$, while the complex $(B^\bullet_{loc})_{0,q} = S^q\,(B^\bullet_{loc})_{0,1}$ has homology concentrated at $\infty \in \mathbb{C}P$, their product is indeed acyclic. □

Now, we have $\Gamma(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) = \Gamma(\mathrm{SB}, \mathcal{I}^\bullet_{loc})$, and the functor $\Gamma(\mathrm{SB}, \bullet)$ is exact on the full subcategory in $\mathrm{Shv}^{U(1)}(\mathrm{SB})$ consisting of sheaves of positive weight. Therefore the complex $\langle \Gamma(\mathcal{I}^\bullet), C\rangle$ is also acyclic, which gives an alternative proof of Proposition 7.1.

A.1.15. We would like to finish the paper with the following observation. Proposition A.1 can be extended to the following claim.

Proposition A.2 *Let M be a complex manifold. There exists a naturla bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of germs of Hodge manifold structures on $\overline{T}M$ in the neighborhood of the zero section $M \subset \overline{T}M$ and the set of multiplicative filtrations $F^\bullet$ on the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathbb{C}$-valued real-analytic functions on M satisfying the following condition:*

- *For every point $m \in M$ let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_m$ be the formal completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_m$ of germs of real-analytic functions on M in a neighborhood of m with respect to the maximal ideal. Consider the filtration $F^\bullet$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_m \otimes \mathbb{C}$ induced by the filtration $f^\bullet$ on the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and for every $k \geq 0$ let $W_{-k}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_m \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_m$ be the k-th power of the maximal ideal in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_m$. Then the triple $\langle \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_m, F^\bullet, W^\bullet \rangle$ is a mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure. (In particular, $F^k = 0$ for $k > 0$.)*

If the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$ is such that the projection $\rho : \overline{T}M_I \to M$ is holomorphic for the preferred complex structure $\overline{T}M_I$ on $\overline{T}M$, then it is easy to see that the first non-trivial piece $F^0\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ of the filtration $F^\bullet$ on the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ coincides with the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}(M) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of holomorphic functions on M. Moreover, since the filtration $F^\bullet$ is multiplicative, it is completely defined by the subsheaf $F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)\otimes\mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)\otimes\mathbb{C}$. It would be very interesting to find an explicit description of this subsheaf in terms of the Kählerian connection ∇ on M which corresponds to the Hodge manifold structure on $\overline{T}M$.

References

[B] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[Beau] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Diff. Geom. **19** (1983), 755–782.

[BG] O. Biquard and P. Gauduchon, *Hyperkähler metrics on cotangent bundles of Hermitian symmetric spaces*, in "Proc. of the Special Session an Geometry and Physics held at Aarhus University and of the Summer School at Odense University, Denmark, 1995", Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, volume 184, Marcel Dekker Inc. 1996, 768 pages.

[B] Charles P. Boyer, *A note on hyper-Hermitian four-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1988), 157–164.

[C] E. Calabi, *Métriques kähleriennes et fibrés holomorphes*, Ann. Ecol. Norm. Sup. **12** (1979), 269–294.

[DS] A. Dancer and A. Swann, *The structure of quaternionic kähler quotients*, in "Proc. of the Special Session an Geometry and Physics held at Aarhus University and of the Summer School at Odense University, Denmark, 1995", Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, volume 184, Marcel Dekker Inc. 1996, 768 pages.

[D1] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie a support propre et construction du foncteur* $f^!$, Appendix in: Robin Hartshorne, Residues and Duality, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 20, Springer - Verlag, 1966.

[D2] Deligne, a letter to Simpson, quoted in [S1].

[H1] N.J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 59–126.

[H2] N.J. Hitchin, *Metrics on moduli spaces*, Proc. Lefschetz Centennial Conference (Mexico City 1984), Contemp. Math. **58**, Part I, AMS, Providence, RI, 1986.

[HKLR] N.J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, M. Roček, *Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry*, Comm. Math. Phys (1987).

[K] D. Kaledin, *Integrability of the twistor space for a hypercomplex manifold*, alg-geom preprint 9612016.

[Kr1] P.B. Kronheimer, *A hyper-Kählerian structure on coadjoint orbits of a semisimple complex group*, J. of LMS, **42** (1990), 193–208.

[Kr2] P.B. Kronheimer, *Instantons and the nilpotent variety*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990), 473–490.

[N] H. Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), 365–416.

[S1] C. Simpson, *Mixed twistor structures*, alg-geom preprint, 1997.

[S2] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety*, I: Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **79** (1994), 47–129; II: Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **80** (1994), 5–79.

[S3] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **75** (1992), 5–95.

INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW
B. VLASSIEVSKY, 11
MOSCOW, RUSSIA, 121002
E-mail: KALEDIN@BALTHI.DNTTM.RSSI.RU